

Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Dr. C. Vijender

Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we state and prove some common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. These theorems generalize and improve known results (see [1]).

Keywords : Fixed point, Fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy mapping.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, the theory of fuzzy sets was investigated by Zadeh [2]. In 1981, Heilpern [3] first introduced the concept of fuzzy contractive mappings and proved a fixed point theorem for these mappings in metric linear spaces. His result is a generalization of the fixed point theorem for point-to-set maps of Nadler [4]. Therefore, several fixed point theorems for types of fuzzy contractive mappings have appeared (see, for instance [1,5–9]).

In this paper, we state and prove some common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. These theorems generalize and improve known results (see [1]).

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

Basic Preliminaries

The definitions and terminologies for further discussions are taken from Heilpern [3]. Let (X, d) be a metric linear space. A **fuzzy set** in X is a function with domain X and values in $[0, 1]$. If A is a fuzzy set and $x \in X$, then the function-value $A(x)$ is called the **grade of membership** of x in A . The collection of all fuzzy sets in X is denoted by $I(X)$.

Let $A \in I(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. The **α -level set** of A , denoted by A_α , is defined by

$A_\alpha = \{x : A(x) \geq \alpha\}$ if $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $A_0 = \overline{\{x : A(x) > 0\}}$,
whenever \bar{B} is the closure of set (non-fuzzy) B .

Definition 2.1

A fuzzy set A in X is an **approximate quantity** iff its α -level set is a nonempty compact convex subset (non-fuzzy) of X for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\sup_{x \in X} A(x) = 1$. The set of all approximate quantities, denoted by $W(X)$, is a subcollection of $I(X)$.

Definition 2.2

Let $A, B \in W(X)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $CP(X)$ be the set of all nonempty compact subsets of X . Then

$$p_\alpha(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A_\alpha, y \in B_\alpha} d(x, y), \delta_\alpha(A, B) = \sup_{x \in A_\alpha, y \in B_\alpha} d(x, y)$$

and $D_\alpha(A, B) = H(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$,

where H is the **Hausdorff metric** between two sets in the collection $CP(X)$. We define the following functions

$$p(A, B) = \sup_\alpha p_\alpha(A, B), \delta(A, B) = \sup_\alpha \delta_\alpha(A, B) \text{ and } D(A, B) = \sup_\alpha D_\alpha(A, B).$$

It is noted that p_α is nondecreasing function of α .

Definition 2.3

Let $A, B \in W(X)$. Then A is said to be **more accurate** than B (or B includes A), denoted by $A \subset B$, iff $A(x) \leq B(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

The relation \subset induces a partial order on $W(X)$.

Definition 2.4

Let X be an arbitrary set and Y be a metric linear space. F is said to be a **fuzzy mapping** iff F is a mapping from the set X into $W(Y)$, i.e., $F(x) \in W(Y)$ for each $x \in X$.

The following proposition is used in the sequel.

Proposition 2.1

([4]). If $A, B \in CP(X)$ and $a \in A$, then there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq H(A, B)$.

Following Beg and Ahmed [10], let (X, d) be a metric space. We consider a subcollection of $I(X)$ denoted by $W^*(X)$. Each fuzzy set $A \in W^*(X)$, its α -level set is a nonempty compact subset (non-fuzzy) of X for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. It is obvious that each element $A \in W(X)$ leads to $A \in W^*(X)$ but the converse is not true.

The authors [10] introduced the improvements of the lemmas in Heilpern [3] as follows.

Lemma 2.1

If $\{x_0\} \subset A$ for each $A \in W^*(X)$ and $x_0 \in X$, then $p_\alpha(x_0, B) \leq D_\alpha(A, B)$ for each $B \in W^*(X)$.

Lemma 2.2

$p_\alpha(x, A) \leq d(x, y) + p_\alpha(y, A)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $A \in W^*(X)$.

Lemma 2.3

Let $x \in X$, $A \in W^*(X)$ and $\{x\}$ be a fuzzy set with membership function equal to a characteristic function of the set $\{x\}$. Then $\{x\} \subset A$ if and only if $p_\alpha(x, A) = 0$ for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.4

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $F: X \rightarrow W^*(X)$ be a fuzzy map and $x_0 \in X$. Then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset F(x_0)$.

Remark 2.1

It is clear that Lemma 2.4 is a generalization of corresponding lemma in Arora and Sharma [1] and Proposition 3.2 in Lee and Cho [7].

Let Ψ be the family of real lower semi-continuous functions $F: [0, \infty)^6 \rightarrow R$, $R :=$ the set of all real numbers, satisfying the following conditions:

(ψ_1) F is non-increasing in 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th coordinate variable,

(ψ_2) there exists $h \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $u, v \geq 0$ with

(ψ_{21}) $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) \leq 0$ or

(ψ_{22}) $F(u, v, u, v, 0, u + v) \leq 0$, we have $u \leq h v$, and

(ψ_3) $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) > 0$ for all $u > 0$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 2000, Arora and Sharma [1] proved the following result.

Theorem 3.1

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T_1, T_2 be fuzzy mappings from X into $W(X)$. If there is a constant q , $0 \leq q < 1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$D(T_1(x), T_2(y)) \leq q \max\{d(x, y), p(x, T_1(x)), p(y, T_2(y)), p(x, T_2(y)), p(y, T_1(x))\},$$

then there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{z\} \subset T_1(z)$ and $\{z\} \subset T_2(z)$.

Remark 3.1

If there is a constant q , $0 \leq q < 1$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$,

$$D(T_1(x), T_2(y)) \leq q \max\{d(x, y), p(x, T_1(x)), p(y, T_2(y))\}, \quad (1)$$

then the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 remains valid. This result is considered as a special case of Theorem 3.1.

Beg and Ahmed [10] generalized Theorem 3.1 as follows.

Theorem 3.2

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T_1, T_2 be fuzzy mappings from X into $W^*(X)$. If there is a $F \in \Psi$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$F(D(T_1(x), T_2(y)), d(x, y), p(x, T_1(x)), p(y, T_2(y)), p(x, T_2(y)), p(y, T_1(x))) \leq 0, \quad (2)$$

then there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{z\} \subset T_1(z)$ and $\{z\} \subset T_2(z)$.

We give another different generalization of Theorem 3.1 with contractive condition (1) as follows.

Theorem 3.3

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T_1, T_2 be fuzzy mappings from X into $W^*(X)$. Assume that there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [0, \infty)$ with $c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$ and $c_2 + c_3 < 1$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$D^2(T_1(x), T_2(y)) \leq c_1 \max\{d^2(x, y), p^2(x, T_1(x)), p^2(y, T_2(y))\} + c_2 \max\{p(x, T_1(x))p(x, T_2(y)), p(y, T_2(y))p(x, T_1(x))\} + c_3 \max\{p(x, T_1(x))p(y, T_2(y)), p(y, T_2(y))p(x, T_1(x))\}$$

$$T_1(x))p(y, T_2(y))\} + c_3p(x, T_2(y))p(y, T_1(x)). \quad (3)$$

Then there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{z\} \subset T_1(z)$ and $\{z\} \subset T_2(z)$.

Proof

Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Then by [Lemma 2.4](#), there exists an element $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset T_1(x_0)$. For $x_1 \in X$, $(T_2(x_1))_1$ is nonempty compact subset of X . Since $(T_1(x_0))_1, (T_2(x_1))_1 \in CP(X)$ and $x_1 \in (T_1(x_0))_1$, then [Proposition 2.1](#) asserts that there exists $x_2 \in (T_2(x_1))_1$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) \leq D_1(T_1(x_0), T_2(x_1))$. So, we obtain from the inequality $D(A, B) \geq D_\alpha(A, B) \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_1, x_2) &\leq D_1^2(T_1(x_0), T_2(x_1)) \\ &\leq D^2(T_1(x_0), T_2(x_1)) \\ &\leq c_1 \max\{d^2(x_0, x_1), p^2(x_0, T_1(x_0)), p^2(x_1, T_2(x_1))\} \\ &\quad + c_2 \max\{p(x_0, T_1(x_0))p(x_0, T_2(x_1)), p(x_1, T_1(x_0))p(x_1, T_2(x_1))\} \\ &\quad + c_3 p(x_0, T_2(x_1))p(x_1, T_1(x_0)) \\ &\quad + c_1 \max\{d^2(x_0, x_1), d^2(x_1, x_2)\} + c_2 d(x_0, x_1)[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

If $d(x_1, x_2) > d(x_0, x_1)$, then we have

$$d^2(x_1, x_2) \leq (c_1 + 2c_2) d^2(x_1, x_2),$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq hd(x_0, x_1),$$

where $h = c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$. Similarly, one can deduce that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq hd(x_1, x_2).$$

By induction, we have a sequence (x_n) of points in X such that, for all $n \in N \cup \{0\}$,

$$\{x_{2n+1}\} \subset T_1(x_{2n}), \{x_{2n+2}\} \subset T_2(x_{2n+1}).$$

It follows by induction that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h^n d(x_0, x_1)$. Since

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \leq$$

$$h^n d(x_0, x_1) + h^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + h^{m-1} d(x_0, x_1) \leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(x_0, x_1),$$

$x_1)$,

then $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$. Therefore, (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, then there exists $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$. Next, we show that $\{z\} \subset T_i(z)$, $i = 1, 2$. Now, we get from [Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2](#) that

$$p_\alpha(z, T_2(z)) \leq d(z, x_{2n+1}) + p_\alpha(x_{2n+1}, T_2(z)) \leq d(z, x_{2n+1}) + D_\alpha(T_1(x_{2n}), T_2(z)),$$

for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Taking supremum on α in the last inequality, we obtain that

$$p(z, T_2(z)) \leq d(z, x_{2n+1}) + D(T_1(x_{2n}), T_2(z)). \quad (4)$$

From the inequality (3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(T_1(x_{2n}), T_2(z)) &\leq c_1 \max\{d^2(x_{2n}, z), p^2(x_{2n}, T_1(x_{2n})), p^2(z, T_2(z))\} \\ &\quad + c_2 \max\{p(x_{2n}, T_1(x_{2n}))p(x_{2n}, T_2(z)), p(z, T_1(x_{2n}))p(z, T_2(z))\} \\ &\quad + c_3 p(x_{2n}, T_2(z))p(z, T_1(x_{2n})) \\ &\leq c_1 \max\{d^2(x_{2n}, z), d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), p^2(z, T_2(z))\} \\ &\quad + c_2 \max\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})p(x_{2n}, T_2(z)), d(z, x_{2n+1})p(z, T_2(z))\} \\ &\quad + c_3 p(x_{2n}, T_2(z))d(z, x_{2n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequalities (4) and (5), it follows that

$$p(z, T_2(z)) \leq c_1 p(z, T_2(z)).$$

Since $c_1 < 1$, we see that $p(z, T_2(z)) = 0$. So, we get from [Lemma 2.3](#) that $\{z\} \subset T_2(z)$. Similarly, one can be shown that $\{z\} \subset T_1(z)$.

Remark 3.2

(I) Condition (3) is not deducible from condition (2) since the function F from $[0, \infty)^6$ into $[0, \infty)$ defined as

$$F(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1^2 - c_1 \max\{t_2^2, t_3^2, t_4^2\} - c_2 \max\{t_3 t_5, t_6 t_4\} - c_3 t_5 t_6,$$

for all $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6 \in [0, \infty)$, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [0, \infty)$ with $c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$ and $c_2 + c_3 < 1$, does not generally satisfy condition (ψ_3). Indeed, we have that

$$F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) = u^2 - c_1 u^2 - c_3 u^2, \text{ for all } u > 0 \text{ and does not imply that } F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) > 0 \text{ for all } u > 0.$$

It suffices to consider $c_1 = \frac{3}{4}$, $c_2 = \frac{1}{9}$, $c_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ and

then $c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$ and $c_2 + c_3 < 1$

but $F(u, u, 0, 0, u, u) < 0$ for all $u > 0$.

Therefore, [Theorems 3.2 and 3.3](#) are two different generalizations of [Theorem 3.1](#) with contractive condition (1).

(II) If there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [0, \infty)$ with $c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$ and $c_2 + c_3 < 1$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\delta^2(T_1(x), T_2(y)) \leq c_1 \max \{d^2(x, y), p^2(x, T_1(x)), p^2(y, T_2(y))\} + c_2 \max \{p(x, T_1(x))p(x, T_2(y)), p(y, T_1(x))p(y, T_2(y))\} + c_3 p(x, T_2(y))p(y, T_1(x)),$$

then the conclusion of [Theorem 3.3](#) remains valid. This result is considered as a special case of [Theorem 3.3](#) because $D(F_1(x), F_2(y)) \leq \delta(F_1(x), F_2(y))$. Moreover, this result generalizes [Theorem 3.3](#) of Park and Jeong [8].

Theorem 3.4

Let $(T_n: n \in N \cup \{0\})$ be a sequence of fuzzy mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into $W^*(X)$. Assume that there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [0, \infty)$ with $c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$ and $c_2 + c_3 < 1$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$D^2(T_0(x), T_n(y)) \leq c_1 \max \{d^2(x, y), p^2(x, T_0(x)), p^2(y, T_n(y))\} + c_2 \max \{p(x, T_0(x))p(x, T_n(y)), p(y, T_0(x))p(y, T_n(y))\} + c_3 p(x, T_n(y))p(y, T_0(x)) \quad \forall n \in N.$$

Then there exists a common fixed point of the family $(T_n: n \in N \cup \{0\})$.

Proof

Putting $T_1 = T_0$ and $T_2 = T_n \forall n \in N$ in [Theorem 3.3](#). Then, there exists a common fixed point of the family $(T_n: n \in N \cup \{0\})$.

IV. REFERENCES

- [1]. S. C. Arora, V. Sharma Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings Fuzzy Sets Syst., 110 (2000), pp. 127-130
- [2]. L.A. Zadeh Fuzzy sets Inform. Contr., 8 (1965), pp. 338-353
- [3]. S. Heilpern Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem J. Math. Anal. Appl., 83 (1981), pp. 566-569
- [4]. S.B. Nadler Multivalued contraction mappings Pac. J. Math., 30 (1969), pp. 475-488
- [5]. I. Beg, A. Azam Fixed points of asymptotically regular multivalued mappings J. Austral. Math. Soc., 53 (1992), pp. 313-326

- [6]. R.K. Bose, D. Sahani Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems Fuzzy Sets Syst., 21 (1987), pp. 53-58
- [7]. B.S. Lee, S.J. Cho A fixed point theorems for contractive type fuzzy mappings Fuzzy Sets Syst., 61 (1994), pp. 309-312
- [8]. J.Y. Park, J.U. Jeong Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings Fuzzy Sets Syst., 87 (1997), pp. 111-116
- [9]. V. Popa Common fixed points for multifunctions satisfying a rational inequality Kobe J. Math., 2 (1985), pp. 23-28
- [10]. I. Beg, M.A. Ahmed, Common fixed point for generalized fuzzy contraction mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Appl. Math. Lett. (2012) (in press).