

A Mercury Free Dopamine Dithiocarbamate Modified Electrode For Pb (II) Determination by Anodic Stripping Voltammetry

J. Kavitha, S. Vasanthi, M. Devendiran, S. Sriman Narayanan*

Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai , Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

A mercury free dopamine dithiocarbomate (DDTC) modified electrode has been proposed for the anodic stripping voltammetric (ASV) determination of Pb (II). DDTC synthesized from dopamine and carbon disulfide. An ethanolic solution of this compound was drop casted on paraffin impregnated graphite electrode to prepare the modified electrode. This electrode was used for Pb (II) determination by ASV. Various parameters such as background electrolyte, pH and preconcentration time were optimized. Under optimized condition, the stripping method provided a linear range from 0.33 to 35 μ M for the determination of Pb (II) by ASV. The proposed method is simple, sensitive and selective for the determination of Pb (II) by ASV.

Keywords: DDTC, ASV, pH, EDTA, MWCNT

I. INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals such as lead, arsenic, cadmium and mercury constitute global environmental hazards [1,2]. The environmental hazards of these heavy metals which pose a great threat to living organisms are due to their non-biodegradability and persistence [3, 4]. The concern about the toxic effects of heavy metals has led to an increasing demand to monitor trace metals in variety of matrices. This has resulted in the development of highly sensitive analytical methods for the determination of trace amounts of toxic heavy metals. Among the heavy metals, Pb (II) has been considered as a highly toxic metal as it has the tendency to accumulate in organism even in low concentrations [5, 6]. Pb (II) poisoning occurs due to the consumption of Pb (II) contaminated food or water, and in some cases accidental ingestion of contaminated soil, dust or air and Pb (II) based paints also led to lead poisoning [7]. Further Pb (II) is considered as the most toxic as it exhibits neurotoxicity and results in serious brain disorder [8]. Thus, the determination of Pb (II) at trace level has assumed greater significance.

Numerous analytical methods have been proposed for the determination of Pb (II). They include Uv-Visible spectroscopy [9], atomic absorption spectroscopy [10], atomic fluorescence spectroscopy [11], flame atomic absorption spectroscopy [12], flow injection analysis [13], inductively coupled plasma – mass spectrometry [14], and solid phase extraction [15]. These methods were highly sensitive for trace level determination of Pb (II). Nevertheless, they are less attractive because of the requirement of expensive instruments, tedious sample preparation, lengthy operational procedures and unsuitability for online monitoring [16].

Electroanalytical techniques have been considered as powerful tools for the determination of metal ions in water samples and in wide variety of organic pollutants [17]. Anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) is one of the versatile analytical technique which has been extensively used for the determination of metal ions [18]. ASV allows the quantification of metal ions in the concentration range from $\mu g/L$ to ng/L. The sensitivity in stripping method is achieved due to preconcentration step in which the metal ions are deposited either as reduced metal (with mercury as amalgam) or accumulated as a complex on the working electrode surface [19].

The determination of metal ions by ASV has been mostly carried out using mercury based working electrodes, because they exhibited wide potential window [20]. However, the toxicity associated with mercury and the difficulties related to the storage and handlings of mercury have discouraged the researchers to opt for non - mercury based electrodes for ASV [21, 22]. Researchers have attempted to develop mercury free electrodes for the determination of heavy metals. Among the various electrodes, bismuth film modified electrodes have been extensively used as mercury free electrodes for stripping analysis [23, 24, 25, 26].

Other electrodes in this category to be mentioned are antimony film based electrodes [27, 28, 29], copper electrode [30], tellurium based electrode [31], conducting polymer electrode [32], peptide modified electrode [33] and crown ether modified electrode [34]. Eventhough these electrodes have been used as mercury free electrodes for stripping analysis, they also have certain disadvantages and hence, even today electrodes that can potentially replace mercury based electrodes for stripping analysis are being sought. In our laboratory, we are working on the development of CMEs in lieu of mercury based electrodes for the determination of heavy metal ions by ASV. Recently we have reported a poly zincon film modified electrode as a mercury free electrode for anodic stripping voltammetric determination of Pb (II) ions [35].

CMEs have also been developed in our laboratory as amperometric sensors for the determination of substrates of pharmaceutically, biologically and environmentally important compounds. Recently we have reported the determination of hydrazine using MWCNT/dopamine dithiocarbamate (DDTC) modified electrode [36]. It is well known that dithiocarbamates are very good ligands for preparation of large number of metal complexes of dithiocarbamates [37]. Therefore an attempt has been made to use the DDTC modified electrode as a mercury free electrode for the determination of Pb by ASV. In this method, the DDTC ligand present on the electrode surface preconcentrates the metal ion through complexation which will be reduced subsequently for stripping analysis. It was found that this DDTC modified electrode exhibited good sensitivity and selectivity for the determination of Pb (II).

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

A. Reagent

Graphite rods of 3mm diameter and dopamine hydrochloride were purchased from Aldrich, Germany. Lead acetate, EDTA, KNO₃, NaNO₃, NH₄NO₃ were of AR grade and used as received. Phosphate and acetate buffers were prepared as reported [38]. Doubly distilled water was used for preparing aqueous solutions.

B. Instruments:

ASV was performed with 660B electrochemical work station (CH- Instruments CHI). The cell set up was a three electrode system in which Pt electrode was used as counter electrode SCE electrode as the reference electrode and DDTC modified electrode as the working electrode. The pHs of the solutions were checked with digital pH meter (DIGISUN electronic system). All experiments have been carried out a room temperature.

C. Preparation of DDTC modified electrode:

DDTC was synthesized by following the reported method with a slight modification [39]. The DDTC modified electrode was prepared using paraffin impregnated graphite electrode (PIGE) which was prepared as reported [40]. One end of the electrode was carefully polished first with emery paper then with 0.05 μ m alumina slurry, washed with distilled water and dried in air. DDTC in ethanol (10 μ L) was drop casted on the PIGE electrode and dried at room temperature.

D. Stripping procedure:

The DDTC modified electrode was kept in 0.1M acetate buffer (pH 6) containing Pb (II) ions for a period of 4 mins under stirring condition. This procedure helps in preconcentrating the metal ions on the electrode surface through complexation. The electrode was taken out washed thoroughly with distilled water and kept in a fresh acetate buffer solution of pH 6. Then a potential of -1.0V was applied to the electrode for 60 secs. This step reduces the Pb (II) present in the complex to metallic state. Finally the stripping was carried out anodically by scanning with differential pulse from -1.0V to -0.3V and the anodic current was measured. The modified electrode was cleaned by immersing in 0.1M EDTA in hexamine buffer and washed thoroughly with distilled water for subsequent use.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. ASV study of Pb (II) using DDTC modified electrode:

The DPASV was employed for the determination of Pb (II) with both PIGE and DDTC modified electrode by following the stripping procedure described in the above section. Fig 1 shows the DPASV response of the PIGE (a) and DDTC modified electrode (b) in 0.1 M acetate buffer of pH 6 containing 2.9 µM of Pb (II). The DDTC modified electrode showed a higher anodic current than the PIGE electrode as Pb (II) was preconcentrated on the modified electrode through complexation. The PIGE electrode showed an anodic peak at -0.55 V and the modified electrode showed the anodic peak at -0.57 V for Pb (II). The stripping current for Pb (II) with DDTC modified electrode was higher than the PIGE electrode. Thus the DDTC modified electrode showed nearly 10 fold higher stripping current than the PIGE electrode for Pb (II) ions. The enhancement in the stripping current was due to the preconcentration of Pb (II) ions through complexation with DDTC present on the electrode surface. Only a smaller current was observed for the PIGE electrode as only absorbed Pb (II) was present on the electrode surface for stripping analysis. The higher peak current observed with the DDTC modified electrode indicated that this electrode could be used for trace level determination of Pb (II) ions.



Figure 1. DPASV of (a) PIG electrode and (b) DDTC modified electrode for 2.9μ M of Pb (II) at a scan rate of

50mVs⁻¹ in Acetate buffer (pH 6) as background electrolyte, Pulse amplitude: 0.1 V; Pulse width: 0.5s.

B. Optimization of Parameters

The experimental conditions such as nature of electrolytes, pH of the medium, preconcentration time were optimized to obtain maximum sensitivity for the determination of Pb (II) by ASV. The results obtained in the above study are presented below. The nature of electrolyte may alter the complexation of Pb (II) with DDTC. Hence the preconcentration of Pb (II) with the modified electrode was carried out with different electrolyte of same concentration such as KNO₃, NaNO₃, NH₄NO₃, acetate buffer and phosphate buffer (PBS). The anodic stripping currents obtained in the above study for the different electrolyte are shown in fig 2 (i) as bar diagram. It is clear from the figure that the acetate buffer solution (ABS) was the most suitable medium for preconcentration of Pb (II) on the modified electrode. Therefore ABS was chosen for the preconcentration of Pb (II) on the modified electrode. In addition to the nature of electrolyte, the pH of the medium plays an important role in complex formation and hence the effect of pH on the preconcentration was also studied. The pH of the acetate buffer was varied from pH 4.5 to 7 for preconcentration of Pb (II) and the stripping currents in the above are shown in figure 2 (ii). It is clearly seen from the figure that the maximum stripping current was observed at pH 6 and hence acetate buffer (0.1 M) of pH 6 was chosen for the stripping analysis of Pb (II). The effect of preconcentration time was also studied by varying the time from 1-5 min for preconcentration and stripping of Pb (II) was carried out. The results obtained are shown in fig 2 (iii). It is seen from the figure that the stripping current increased with increase in time upto 3 min beyond which it remained constant. This indicated that 3 min stirring was sufficient for maximum complex formation. A time of 4 min was chosen as the optimum time for the preconcentration of Pb (II) on the DDTC modified electrode. A reduction time of 60 sec was chosen for the reduction of Pb (II) ions present on the modified electrode for carrying out stripping analysis. The DDTC modified electrode was regenerated after each stripping experiment by immersing the modified electrode in a stirred solution of 0.1M EDTA in

hexamine medium which removed all the Pb (II) from the electrode surface through water soluble Pb (II)-EDTA complex formation. The modified electrode was then washed with distilled water to remove all the absorbed Pb-EDTA complex to regenerate the electrode and this method did not affect the stability of the DDTC present on the electrode surface. Using the optimized parameters differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry of Pb (II) was carried out as detailed below.









C. Determination of Pb (II) by ASV using DDTC modified electrode.

Different aliquots of Pb (II) were preconcentrated on the DDTC modified electrode for 4 mins. Then the electrode was washed thoroughly to remove the absorbed / uncomplexed Pb (II) from the electrode surface. The electrode was then placed in a fresh 0.1 M acetate buffer of pH 6 and a potential of -1.0 V was applied for 60 sec. The step has converted all the Pb (II) present on the electrode surface as Pb (0). Stripping of the reduced metal was carried out by DPASV in the potential range from -1.0 to -0.3 V. The anodic stripping peak currents for different concentration of Pb (II) ions were measured around -0.57 V where the anodic peaks appeared for Pb oxidation. The DPASV of different concentrations (lower side) of Pb (II) are shown in Fig 5. From the figure it is seen that the stripping current increased with increase in the concentration of Pb (II). A calibration plot was drawn for the concentrations of Pb (II) taken against the anodic stripping peak currents and the plot is shown in figure.6. It is seen from the plot that there was a linear increase in the stripping current as the concentration of Pb (II) was increased. A linear range from 0.33 µM to 35 µM for Pb (II) determination was observed with a correlation coefficient of 0.998. A

negative deviation at higher concentration of Pb (II) was observed which may be due to the saturation of the electrode with the metal ion. The proposed electrode showed good linear range, higher sensitivity, good reproducibility and a reasonable LOD for Pb (II) determination by ASV.

The mechanism of the Pb (II) determination involves the following steps.

1. Preconcentration through complexation in 0.1M acetate buffer (pH 6) - 5minutes

DDTC + Pb (II) → DDTC-Pb (II) 1

2. Reduction in 0.1M Acetate buffer (pH 6) at -1.0

V for 60seconds

(0) 2

3. Stripping in the potential range -1.0 to -0.3 V in

0.1 M Acetate buffer (pH 6).

(II)3

4. Regeneration of electrode surface by dipping in0.1 M EDTA in Hexamine medium

DDTC-Pb (II) → DDTC+ Pb (II)-EDTA...... 4

Experiments with 5 aliquots of the same concentration of Pb (II) ions showed an RSD of 2.4 % indicating good repeatability of the proposed method.



Figure 3. DPASV responses of different concentration of Pb (II) from 0.33- 35µM with DDTC modified electrode.





D. Interference studies

The DDTC modified electrode was examined for the possible interference from other ions in the determination of Pb (II) ions. Studies with Cd (II), Zn (II), Hg (II) showed no interference as the stripping potentials of these metal ions were different from that of Pb (II). Some common cations such as Ca (II), Mg (II), Na (I) and K (I) did not interfere in the determination. Cu (II) ion reduced the current of Pb (II) by 50 % when

present up to 10 folds and higher concentration of Cu caused complete decrease in anodic current of Pb indicating that Cu will interfere in the determination of Pb if present more than 10 folds . This may be due to the competition between Cu and Pb for complex formation with DDTC and also may be due to bimetallic complex formation.

IV. CONCLUSION

A mercury free DDTC modified electrode was fabricated and used for the anodic stripping voltammetric determination of Pb (II). Various parameters such as background electrolyte, pH and preconcentration time were optimized for the sensitive determination of Pb (II) ions. The linear range of determination was from 0.33 to 35 µM with a correlation coefficient of 0.998. The modified electrode can be easily regenerated for subsequent use. The modified electrode showed good stability, high sensitivity and good repeatability.

V. REFERENCE

- Mejare M, Bulow, L, Metal binding proteins and peptides in bioremediation and phytoremediation of heavy metals, Trends Biotechnology 19 (2001) 67.
- [2] M.A. El. Mhammedi, M. Achak, M. Bakasse, A. Chtaini, Electroanalytical methods for the determination of lead (II) in orange and apple using kaolin modified platinum electrode, Chemosphere 76 (2009) 1130-1134.
- [3] J.O. Nriagu, J.M. Pacyna, Quantitative assessment of worldwide contamination of air, water and soil by trace metals, Nature 333 (1988) 134.
- [4] L. Zhu, L. Xu, B. Huang, N. Jia, L. Tan, S. Yao, Simultaneous determination of Cd (II) and Pb (II) using square wave anodic stripping voltammetry at GNP-graphene-cysteine composite modified bismuth film electrode, Electrochimica acta, 115 (2014) 471-477.
- [5] Mei-Rong Huang, Yong-Bo Ding, and Xin-Gui Li, Combinatorial Screening of Potentiometric Pb(II) Sensors from Poly sulfoamino anthraquinone Solid Ionophore, American Chemical Society – Combinational Science, 16 (2014) 128 – 138.

- [6] Sema Gunduz, Suleyman Akman, Determination of lead in rice grains by solid sampling HR-CS GFAAS, Food Chemistry 141 (2013) 2634 – 2638.
- [7] Homeira Ebrahimzadeha, Ali Akbar Asgharinezhada, Elahe Moazzenav, Mostafa M. Aminia, Omid Sadeghib, A magnetic ionimprinted polymer for lead (II) determination: A study on the adsorption of lead (II) by beverages, Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 41 (2015) 74 – 80.
- [8] Aristidis N. Anthemidis, Ibrahim S.I. Adam, George A. Zachariadis, Poly (etheretherketone)turnings a novel sorbent material for lead determination by flow injection flame atomic absorption spectrometry and factorial design optimization, Talanta 81 (2010) 996 – 1002.
- [9] N. Rajesh, S. Manikandan, Spectrophotometric determination of lead after preconcentration of its diphenylthiocarbazone complex on an Amberlite XAD-1180 column, Spectrochimica Acta Part A 70 (2008) 754 – 757.
- [10] Latif E, Zikri Arslanb, Julian F. Tyson, Determination of lead in wine and rum samples by flow injection-hydride generation-atomic absorption spectrometry, Journal of Hazardous Materials 162 (2009) 880 – 885.
- [11] T. Frentiu, M. Ponta, A.I. Mihaltan, E. Darvasi, M. Frentiu, E. Cordos, Quenching of the OH and nitrogen molecular emission by methane addition in an Ar capacitively coupled plasma to remove spectral interference in lead determination by atomic fluorescence spectrometry, Spectrochimica Acta Part B 65 (2010) 565 – 570.
- [12] R.S. Praveena, G.R.K. Naidub, T. Prasada Raoa, Dithiocarbamate functionalized or surface sorbed Merrifield resin beads as column materials for on line flow injection–flame atomic absorption spectrometry determination of lead, Analytica Chimica Acta 600 (2007) 205 – 213.
- [13] Wirat Ruengsitagoon, Alberto Chisvert, Saisunee Liawruangrath, Flow injection spectrophotometric determination of lead using 1,5diphenylthiocarbazone in aqueous micellar, Talanta 81 (2010) 709 – 713.
- [14] Vedat Yilmaz, Zikri Arslana, Lakeysha, Determination of lead by hydride generation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (HG-ICP-MS): On-line generation of plumbane

using potassium hexacyanomanganate(III), Analytica Chimica Acta 761 (2013) 18 – 26.

- [15] Ariel Maratta, Sandra Vazquez, Ayelen Lopez, Miriam Augusto and Pablo H. Pachecol, Lead preconcentration by solid phase extraction using oxidized carbon xerogel and spectrophotometric determination with dithizone, Microchemical Journal 128 (2016) 166 - 171.
- [16] Adil Mandil, Laila Idrissi and Aziz Amine, Stripping voltammetric determination of mercury (II) and lead (II) using screen-printed electrodes modified with gold films, and metal ion preconcentration with thiol-modified magnetic particles, Microchim Acta 170 (2010) 299 – 305.
- [17] C. Rojas-Romo, N.Serrano, C. Arino, V. Arancitria, J. M Diaz-Cruz, M. Esteban, Determination of Antimony (II) using an ex-situ bismuth screen printed carbon electrode by adsorptive stripping voltammetry, Talanta, 155 (2016) 21-27.
- [18] Bengi Uslu and Sibel A. Ozkan, Electroanalytical methods for the Determination of pharmaceuticals: A review of recent trends and developments, Analytical Letters 44 (2011) 2644 – 2702.
- [19] Sudkate Chaiyoa, Orawon Chailapakul, Weena Siangproha, Highly Sensitive determination of mercury using copper enhancer by diamond electrode coupled with sequential injection–anodic stripping voltammetry, Analytica Chimica Acta 852 (2014) 55 – 62.
- [20] Lorena C. Martiniano, Vivia R. Abrantesa, Sakae Y. Netoa, Edmar P. Marquesa,b, Teresa C.O. Fonsecac, Leonardo L. Paimd, Antônio G. Souzab, Nelson R. Stradiottod, Ricardo Q. Aucelioe, Glene H.R. Cavalcantea, Aldalea L.B. Marquesa, Direct simultaneous determination of Pb (II) and Cu (II) in biodiesel by anodic stripping voltammetry at a mercury-film electrode using microemulsions, Fuel 103 (2013) 1164 – 1167.
- [21] S.J. Richard Prabakar, C. Sakthivel, S. Sriman Narayanan, Hg (II) immobilized MWCNT graphite electrode for the anodic stripping voltammetric determination of lead and cadmium, Talanta 85 (2011) 290 – 297.
- [22] Qiang Zhao, Yaqin Chai, Ruo Yuan, Junhua Luo, Square wave anodic stripping voltammetry determination of lead based on the Hg(II) immobilized graphene oxide composite film as an

enhanced sensing platform, Sensors and Actuators B: 178 (2013) 379 – 384.

- [23] Joseph Wang, Stripping Analysis at Bismuth Electrodes: A Review, Electroanalysis 17 (2005) 15–16.
- [24] Economou, Bismuth film electrodes: recent developments and potentialities for electroanalysis, Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 24 (2005) 4 - 10.
- [25] Nuria Serrano, Aristides Alberich, Jose manuel Diaz Cruz, Cristina Arino, Miquel Esteban, Coating methods, modifiers and applications of bismuth screen – printed electrodes, Trends in Analytical Chemistry 46 (2013) 15 – 29.
- [26] Kannika Keawkima, Suchada Chuanuwatanakula, Orawon Chailapakula, Shoji Motomizuc, Determination of lead and cadmium in rice samples by sequential injection/ anodic stripping voltammetry using a bismuth film/crown ether/Nafion modified screen-printed carbon electrode, Food Control 31 (2013) 14 – 21.
- [27] Nuria Serrano, Jose Manuel Diaz-Cruz, Cristina Arino, Miquel Esteban, Antimony- based electrodes for analytical determinations, Trends in Analytical Chemistry 77 (2016) 203 – 213.
- [28] Bine Sebeza, Bozidar Ogorevca, Samo B. Hocevara, Marjan Veberb, Functioning of antimony film electrode in acid media under cyclic and anodic stripping voltammetry conditions, Analytica Chimica Acta 785 (2013) 43 – 49.
- [29] Veronica Arancibia, Edgar Nagles, Carlos Rojas, Marisol Gomez, Ex Situ prepared nafion- coated antimony film electrode for adsorptive stripping voltammetry of model metal ions in the presence of pyrogallol red, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 182 (2013) 368 – 373.
- [30] V. Jovanovski, N.I. Hrastnik, S.B. Hocevar, Copper film electrode for anodic stripping voltammetric determination of trace mercury and lead, Electrochemistry Communications 57 (2015) 1-4.
- [31] Jie Jiang, Miao Qing Xu, Jin- Feng Liu, Guang-Chao Zhao, A novel tellurium film electrode for simultaneous determination of Cd (II) and Pb (II) using anodic stripping voltammetry, International Journal of Electrochemical Science 9 (2014) 6572 – 6579.
- [32] Zhuo Guo, Dong-di Li, Xian-ke Luo, Ya-hui Li, Qi-Nai Zhao, Meng-meng Li, Yang-ting Zhao,

Tian-shuai Sun, Chi Ma, Simultaneous determination of trace Cd(II), Pb(II) and Cu(II) by differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry using a reduced grapheme oxide-chitosan/poly-L-lysine nanocomposite modified glassy carbon electrode, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 490 (2017) 11 - 22.

- [33] Nuria Serrano, Beatriz Prieto-Simon, Xavier Ceto, Manel del Valle, Array of peptide-modified electrodes for the simultaneous determination of Pb(II), Cd(II) and Zn(II), Talanta 125 (2014) 159 – 166.
- [34] Nuria Serrano, Andreu Gonzalez-Calabuig, Manel del Valle, Crown ether-modified electrodes for the simultaneous stripping voltammetric determination of Cd(II), Pb(II) and Cu(II), Talanta 138 (2015) 130 – 137.
- [35] S. Vasanthi, M. Devediran, S. Sriman Narayanan. A mercury free electrode for anodic stripping voltammetric determination of Pb (II) ions using poly zincon film modified electrode, Applied Surface Science, 422 (2017) 138-146.
- [36] J. Kavitha, M. Devendiran, K. Krishna Kumar, S. Sriman Narayanan, Electrochemical Sensor for the Determination of Hydrazine Using Mwcnt/Dopamine Dithiocarbamate Modified Electrode, International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology, 2017 (3) 6: 227-232.
- [37] Damian. C Onwudine, Peter A. Ajibada, Synthesis and characterization of metal complexes of Nalkyl – N – phenyl dithiocarbamates, Polyhedron, 29 (2010) 1431- 1436.
- [38] Arda Alden green, The preparation of acetate and phosphate buffer solutions of known pH and ionic strength, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 55 (1933) 2331 – 2336.
- [39] K. Suresh-Kumar, W. Hui-Fen, One-pot synthesis of dopamine dithiocarbamate functionalized gold nanoparticles for quantitative analysis of small molecules and phosphopeptides in SALDI- and MALDI-MS, Analyst 137 (2012) 1629-1638.
- [40] F. Scholz, B. Lange, Abrasive stripping voltammetry - an electrochemical solid state spectroscopy of wide applicability, Trends Anal. Chem. 11 (1992) 359-367.