Cancer Risk Assessment of Patients Undergoing Computed Tomography Examination at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to estimate the effective dose and assess the lifetime attributable risk of cancer incidence of patients undergoing computed tomography scan at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital in the Greater Accra Region of Ghana. Data on Volume CT Dose Index (CTDIvol) and Dose Length Product (DLP) displayed on the scanner control console was recorded after confirmation of the results by performing independent checks on a phantom. The effective doses were estimated using the DLP displayed and the anatomic region specific conversion factors (K). The average effective dose for the head, abdomen, chest, neck, and pelvis were 3.63±2.39mSv, 15.37±8.49 mSv, 12.72 ± 13.97 mSv, 4.04 ± 1.47 mSv and 15.8 ± 3.59 mSv respectively. Effective doses for the head and neck were within the typical range of (1-10mSv) for CT examinations whilst abdomen, chest and pelvis were above 10mSv. The average life attributable risk of cancer incidence for each region of examination were determined from the effective dose, sex and age using the model proposed in BEIR VII Report. The average cancer risk incidence for head, neck, chest, abdomen and pelvis examinations were low in the range 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 1,000. There were wide variations in the effective dose values obtained for the same region under examination. This trend calls for the optimization of CT examination protocols to be established to ensure that patient doses are as low as reasonably achievable, economic and social factors being taken into account especially for chest examinations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There has been a sharp increase in CT examination in recent times. There are approximately 80 million CT examinations performed in the United States of America annually of which about 7 million occur in children [1]. In Ghana, it is estimated that between 40,000 and 55,000 go for CT examinations in a year [2]. Even though the dose received from such an examination is small compared to Radiotherapy procedures and so the deterministic effect is minimal. The increasing number of the population undergoing the CT examination gives cause for concern when one considers stochastic effects and therefore the number of people who risk developing cancer. CT is the most substantial contributor to the collective effective dose for all radiographic procedures [3]. Surveys [4-8] have shown that the contribution of CT to the total collective dose can be as high as 67% in the United States and 40% in Europe although CT examinations represent only 11% and 4% respectively. Therefore, the dose levels delivered in CT examinations should
be known and available to patients and their physicians. Also, the rapid evolution of CT technology and the resultant explosion in new clinical applications including cardiac CT combined with the significance of CT dose levels and the CT contribution to the collective dose to the population have created a compelling need to understand and to reduce dose that the patients receive especially the pediatric patients [9]. In other words one has to Image gently.

Computed Tomography has become a routine imaging modality for many clinical applications due to its wide availability, less invasiveness, short scanning time, excellent anatomical resolution and high diagnostic value [10]. It is also suitable for patients who are contraindicated for magnetic resonance imaging procedures. In Ghana there is an increase in CT applications as more CT centres are being established [2]. But CT examination is known to give much higher doses compared with other diagnostic imaging techniques; therefore, CT patient dose management systems must be optimized. The increasing numbers of the population undergoing the CT examination gives cause for concern when one considers the stochastic effects and therefore the number of people who risk developing cancer. Again, large variations in exposure parameters and patient doses even for a single CT examination have been reported [11]. There is therefore the need to determine the effective doses of patients who undergo CT examination and hence to be able to estimate the cancer risk and the life attributable risk to serve as a guide to the hospital staff in charge of the CT examinations [12].

In estimating lifetime risks of cancer incidence and mortality, models are developed and used. These models take into account sex, age at exposure, dose rate and other factors [13]. Estimates are given for all solid cancers, leukaemia and cancer of several specific sites. Most of these risks models are based on data from Japanese atomic bomb survivors. Risk estimates are subject to several sources of uncertainty due to inherent limitations in epidemiology data. In addition to statistical uncertainty, the populations and exposures for which risk estimates are needed nearly always differ from those from whom epidemiology data are available [12]. As indicated much earlier, risk may depend on the type of cancer, the magnitude of the dose, the quality of the radiation, the dose – rate, the age and sex of the person exposed, exposure to other carcinogens such as tobacco, and other characteristics of the exposed individual. In recent times, several national and international organizations have developed models for estimating cancer risk from exposure to low levels of low LET ionizing radiation. These bodies include Biological Effect of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR V) committee in 1990, the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP, 2007) [14], the National Council on Radiation Protection and measurements (NCRP, 1993) [15], the United State Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, 1994, 1999) [16], the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effect of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR, 2000) [17]. But by far, the body which have been accepted and quoted extensively in literature for cancer risk estimation is Biological Effect of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR VII) report of 2006. BEIR VII (2006) report provides a method for the estimation of Lifetime Attributable Risk (LAR) of Cancer based on the magnitude of a single radiation exposure and a patient’s age at exposure [13].

**Aim**

The main objective of this work is to determine the effective dose of patients undergoing Computed Tomography at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital and secondly, assess the risk of developing cancer in the future of such patients.

**II. MATERIALS**

The materials used for this study include:

- 640 – slice Toshiba CT scanner (Aquilion One).
- Barracuda multipurpose multi-meter.
CTDI head phantom
- CTDI body phantom.
- Microsoft excels spreadsheet and access database tools.
- Water phantom for checking resolution.

Figure 1. Aquilion One CT Scanner

III. METHODOLOGY

Image Data Collection
The Advanced Medical Imaging Centre which houses the Toshiba Aquilion One CT scanner has been in operation since December 2012. Three Hundred and (300) patients were randomly selected for this study. Parameters such as; kVp, mAs, DLP, CTDI\text{vol}, Pitch factor and scan length, were collected from the control console, matching each patient's identity with a given serial number with the given parameters. Data was reduced and analysed with the Microsoft Excel 14.0.

1) Estimation of Effective Dose
The effective dose was estimated using the equation 1.

\[ E = DLP \times K \]

where, \( K \) is the slope of the \( E \) and the DLP relationship, the \( K \) values vary for various CT examinations. These are given in table 1 for adults examinations at 120 kV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TISSUE ORGAN</th>
<th>CONVERSION FACTOR ( K (E/DLP) [mSv/mGy - cm] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimation of LAR of Cancer
The LAR is defined as additional cancer risk above and beyond baseline cancer risk and can be calculated for specific cancers as well as for all cancers combined [18]. The LAR was calculated using the equation 2.

\[ \text{BEIR VII LAR at an age } = \left( \frac{E(\text{mSv}) \times \text{LAR(cancer incidence)}}{D \times 100,000} \right) \times 100\% \]

\( D = 0.1 \text{Gy} \), the reference dose to the population considered in the BEIR VII report.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Presentation of Results
The results of the measurements are presented in Table 2

Table 2: QC Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Deviation of X-ray machine (measurement)</th>
<th>Acceptable Deviation (Value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KV Accuracy</td>
<td>2.4 %</td>
<td>( \leq 6.0% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVL@130KV</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>( \geq 3.2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Summary for CTDI test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KV</th>
<th>Phantom Type</th>
<th>CTDIvol (mGy)</th>
<th>DLP (mGycm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>29.82</td>
<td>447.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>42.95</td>
<td>659.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>266.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>412.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Summary for Geometric Efficiency test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KV</th>
<th>Geometric Efficiency</th>
<th>Beam width (FWHM)</th>
<th>CTDI(100) (mGycm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>91.6%</td>
<td>11.1mm</td>
<td>26.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
<td>11.1mm</td>
<td>48.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td>11.1mm</td>
<td>69.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. CTDIvol and DLP Reference levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination</th>
<th>National Reference Levels</th>
<th>This Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTDIvol (mGy)</td>
<td>DLP (mGycm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head (Adult)</td>
<td>75*</td>
<td>55**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body (Adult)</td>
<td>25*</td>
<td>47**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ACR **Netherlands, ***UK

The results obtained from the quality control measurements taken on the CT scanner to ensure the reliability of the patients’ data collected on the control console. The effective dose and subsequently the lifetime cancer risk incidence of the patients undergoing the CT examinations were determined and the findings discussed.

**Head CT Examinations**

Three main reasons inform the choice of patients seeking any form of imaging modality. Firstly, the cost, then availability and lastly the reason for the examination. The head CT scan examination was the most frequent requested examination (70%) at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital. This is because Ultrasound Imaging which is less expensive cannot be used to image the head and conventional X-ray cannot give cross sectional images. Also, the CT scan of the head is very important for the management of Cerebrovascular accidents, Meningitis, Road Traffic Accidents, Head injuries and for staging of cancers of the head and Neck. Of the 209 cases for the Head CT, 128 were males and 81 were females (Figure 3).

![Figure 2. Pie chart of the frequency of examinations](image-url)
Abdomen CT Examinations
Most of the abdominal cases rely on the Ultrasound as the main imaging modality because it is readily available, less expensive and does not give ionizing radiation. CT scan is recommended only when the ultrasound images do not show any clear cut diagnosis or when the patient cannot co-operate with the Ultrasound. Again, when cancer has to be staged and also when there are gases in the bowel then CT scan is recommended. 59 cases were recorded for the CT Abdomen which represents 20% of the sample size of which 32 were males and 27 were females (Figure 4).

Chest CT Examinations
The chest cases seen were 20 which represent 7% of the 300 cases seen. And of the 20 cases seen, 12 were males and 8 were females. The chest CT scan examination was very few because conventional X-ray gives a lot of information in the chest such as cardiomegaly and other heart related cases. And because conventional x-rays are cheaper may people go for that modality (Figure 5).

Neck CT Examinations
There were only 8 neck cases recorded representing 3%. Of this number 5 were males and 3 were females. There were only few neck cases seen (Figure 6). There were only few neck cases seen because most of the anterior neck diagnosis such as the cases involving the Thyroid gland can be picked up by Ultrasound imaging and so many patients opt for ultrasound imaging. And for the posterior, they go for the MRI since the spine is very sensitive to radiation.
Pelvis CT Examinations

There were only 3 pelvis examinations recorded representing 1%. Of this number there was 1 male and 2 females (Figure 7).

Discussion

In this research work the effective dose and the cancer risk were estimated for patients undergoing the most common types of CT examinations namely head, abdomen, chest, neck and pelvis case at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital. The head CT examination (70 %) was the most frequently requested for, followed by abdomen (20 %) then chest (7 %), neck (3 %) and pelvis case representing (1 %).

There were effective dose variations depending upon the anatomic region scanned. For instance with the head, the average effective dose for the males (128 cases) was 3.622 mSv but varied from 0.691 mSv to 12.791 mSv and the average for the female was 3.65 mSv also ranging from 0.234 mSv to 11.687 mSv. For the abdomen, the effective dose for the females varied from 4.985 mSv to 45.86 mSv and has an average value of 16.08 mSv whereas for the males the effective dose varied from 4.16 mSv to 33.78 mSv having an average reading for the males as 14.78 mSv. The chest recorded the one with greatest variation in the effective dose values. The average effective dose for the females was 21.21 mSv but varied from 5.80 mSv to62.61 mSv, whereas the effective dose for the males varied from 0.545 mSv to 18.76 mSv with an average effective dose of7.062 mSv. There were only 3 pelvic cases recorded.
with the average effective dose of 15.89 mSv but ranges from 12.345 mSv to 19.53 mSv. The neck has mean effective dose of 4.1 mSv and in the range of 2.568 mSv and 5.995 mSv. The estimated average effective dose for head and neck were within the range of typical effective doses for CT examinations set by the European Commission (EC) and the American College of Radiology (ACR) which is (1 mSv – 10 mSv). The values for the abdomen, pelvis and chest were higher than the range given. Although the effective doses recorded may look slightly higher, the study found that the lifetime attributable risk (LAR) of cancer incidence for someone who had say head scan ranges from 0.003 % representing (1 in 33,333) and 0.078 % (1 in 1,280).

For those who went for the abdomen scan their lifetime attributable risk of cancer incidence (LAR) was in the range of 0.021 % (1 in 4760) to 0.22 % (1 in 450) and for the person who had the chest scan the lifetime attributable risk of cancer incidence was in the range of 0.013 % (1 in 7690) to 0.35 % (1 in 300). So comparing these Lifetime Attributable Risk of cancer incidence (LAR) for a sample size of 300, it indicates that the patients were exposed at an acceptable risk. The average risk for all the examination was observed to be low (1 in 10000 to 1 in 100). Since stochastic risk is proportional to effective dose. Any reduction in dose will reduce the risk of having cancer. All attempts must therefore be made at all times to reduce dose to patients and therefore minimize cancer risk without sacrificing image quality.

V. CONCLUSION

There were wide variations in the effective dose values obtained for the same region under examination. This trend calls for the optimization of CT examination protocols to be established to ensure that patient doses are as low as reasonably achievable, economic and social factors being taken into account especially for chest examinations. The average cancer risk incidence for head, neck, chest, abdomen and pelvis examinations were low in the range 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 1,000. The risk incurred by patients during the CT examinations were acceptable.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that a multidisciplinary approach to patient dose management be implemented. Secondly, there should be a CT examination protocol as to what parameters to choose and who should be given contrast and who should not be given contrast. Regular training of imaging staff and referring physicians can go a long way in helping with the optimization of patient protection during the CT examinations. There is the need to established baseline data for future referencing.
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