

Magnetic Susceptibility as a Proxy for Rainfall in the Tropics : New Data from Hirekolale Lake Sediments, Southern India

Shetty, S. B.1, Shankar, R.1, Weijian, Z.2, Peng, C.2

¹ Department of Marine Geology, Mangalore University, Karnataka, Mangalagangothri, India ²Xi'an AMS Center, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, China # Present address: No. 29, 4th Main, Chamarajapete, Bengaluuru - 560018, India. Corresponding author E-mail: shettyshwethamu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present the environmental magnetic record of a sediment core from Hirekolale (HK) Lake situated in tropical Southern India and decipher the paleorainfall conditions of the region. We determined environmental magnetic properties and inter-parametric ratios for subsamples from a 1.1 m-long sediment core. Accelerator mass spectrometer ¹⁴C dates, obtained on sedimentary organic matter, suggest that the core spans the past \sim 1250 years. The environmental magnetic data suggest the absence of greigite, biogenic and anthropogenic magnetite and of dissolution of magnetic minerals in the samples. Magnetic susceptibility is positively correlated with rainfall data for Peninsular India, All-India, Karnataka, and Chikkamagalur Station. Hence, magnetic susceptibility may be used as a proxy for rainfall in tropical regions. During the past \sim 1250 years, rainfall has varied significantly in the study area. During 1.25-0.79 cal. ka B.P., rainfall was profoundly low (= low $\chi_{\rm If}$ values). During 0.79-0.37 cal. ka B.P., it was relatively high (= relatively high $\chi_{\rm If}$ values). Rainfall was comparatively high (= significantly high $\chi_{\rm If}$ values) during 0.36 cal. ka B.P. to Present. Many high- and low-rainfall events documented in the HK $\chi_{\rm If}$ record are correlatable with similar ones in recorded in proxy climate data from different parts of India.

Keywords: Paleorainfall, Environmental magnetism, Proxy, Hirekolale, Southern India

I. INTRODUCTION

Rainfall and temperature are important components of the Earth's climate system that influence life on Earth. Extreme climatic events like floods and droughts, resulting from human-induced climate change, are reported from many parts of the world [1]. Through high-resolution paleoclimatic data, it is possible to understand the causes and mechanisms of climate change. By determining the past climatic changes quantitatively, it would be possible to model the future climatic scenario and the impact of anthropogenic activities on climate[2]. Lake sediments are a valuable archive of paleoclimatic data. They are more sensitive to climatic and environmental changes when compared to marine sediments because of their higher sedimentation rate and smaller areal extent. Hence, lake sediment data are of fine temporal which resolution, can be compared with instrumental data and historical records of climate [3] [4]. Lake sediments also have the potential to record regional as well as global climatic signals. Several proxies like organic and inorganic geochemistry [5] [6], particle size [7], stable isotopes

of oxygen and carbon [8] [9], diatoms [10] [11] and pollen [12] have been used to determine the past climatic conditions. Many have attempted to estimate rainfall using magnetic susceptibility as a proxy. However, the focus has primarily been on lakes from temperate regions [13] [14] and on the Chinese loess plateau [15] [16] [17]. Such studies for tropical regions are limited. Based on the positive correlation between magnetic susceptibility of Thimmannanayakanakere (TK) Lake sediments and instrumental rainfall data, Shankar et al. (2006) proposed magnetic susceptibility as a proxy for rainfall in TK area in tropical Southern India (average annual rainfall = 638 mm yr^{-1}) [3]. They backed up their proposition with historical and proxy climate data from many diverse geographic locations. In addition, they put forth geochemical [5] and sedimentological [7] lines of evidence in support of the proposition. Sandeep et al. (2015) confirmed that magnetic susceptibility may be used as a rainfall proxy in tropical regions with high average annual rainfall (4200 mm/year) as well [18].

Here, we have investigated the environmental magnetic properties of a sediment core from Hirekolale Lake from tropical Southern India with the following objectives: to test Shankar et al.'s (2006) proposition in a tropical area with medium rainfall (1925 mm/year); and to reconstruct paleorainfall variations in HK area using high-resolution magnetic susceptibility data.

A. Study Area

For the present study, Hirekolale Lake (13°21'34"N; 75°42'30"E), Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka, Southern India, was selected (Figure 1). The lake is situated at an elevation of 1138 m. It covers an area of $\sim 1.09 \times 10^5$ m². The average water depth is ~ 6 m. According to an inscription at the lake site, a dam was constructed in AD 1967. The lake is surrounded by the Bababudan Range of hills in the north. The main source of water and sediment to the lake is the small streams that drain the surrounding hills. Anthropogenic perturbations may be ruled out as the lake is situated away from human settlements,

industries and pollution sources. Agriculture is being carried out to the east of the lake; as this area has a relatively low elevation (~1120 m), agricultural activity here may not have an influence on sedimentation in HK lake. There is no record of deforestation near the study area.



Figure 1. Location of Hirekolale Lake in Chikkamagalur District, Karnataka, southern India. Sample location is shown by a white dot. Location of the Chikkamagalur meteorological station is marked by a red star in the inset map. Location of the Thimmannanayakanakere, Chitradurga and Tsokar Lake, Ladakh is marked as 1 and 2 respectively.

No large river contributes sediments to the lake; hence, the climate signal is not brought from afar but principally derived from the lake catchment. Because of the small size of the lake, it is reasonable to expect that local / regional climate is recorded by the HK sediments. The catchment rocks come under the Bababudan Group of the Dharwar Supergroup with granitic gneisses, schists and banded iron formations [19]. Black soil occurs around the Bababudan Hill range whereas red loamy and sandy soils are seen in the southern part of Chikkamagalur District. The temperature varies from 11 °C to 20 °C during winter and from 25 °C to 32 °C during summer. The annual average rainfall is 1925 mm that is received mainly during the

southwest monsoon (http://chickmagalur.nic.in/ htmls/dic_main.htm).

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Sample Collection

A 1.1 m-long sediment core was collected from the centre of Hirekolale Lake (\sim 6 m water depth) by driving a PVC pipe (0.064 m diameter) into the sediment bed. After the sediment had entered the PVC pipe, it was retrieved carefully and the overlying water removed. The core was stored in a freezer until it was sampled to prevent microbial activity. The core was sub-sampled using a stainless steel knife at 0.3-m interval to obtain high-resolution paleoclimatic data. Care was taken throughout to avoid contamination from iron and rust particles.

B. Geochronology

To obtain a timeframe for the HK sediment core, carbon-14 dating by accelerator mass spectrometry was carried out on the organic matter of bulk sediment samples at the Xi'an Accelerator Mass Spectrometer Center, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, China. The ¹⁴C ages were calibrated using the code clam [20], which runs on the open source software 'R' (R Development Core Team 2010) and uses the IntCal09.14C calibration curve [21] [22]. Based on the dates obtained, an age-depth model (Figure 2) was constructed and the age for each sample depth calculated using the linear interpolation model. The sedimentation rate was calculated using the agedepth model.

C. Environmental Magnetic Measurements

Standard techniques outlined by Walden (1999) were adopted for environmental magnetic measurements [23]. Approximately 3 to 6 g of sediment sample each was tightly packed in preweighed, 8-cm³, cylindrical, non-magnetic plastic bottles. The samples were tightly packed to avoid movement of particles within the bottle, which would affect remanence measurements. After the magnetic measurements, the samples were ovendried at \sim 35 °C. A range of magnetic parameters was determined on the samples (Table 1). The dry weight of the samples was used for expressing the magnetic parameters on a mass-specific basis. All the magnetic parameters are expressed in Standards International (SI) units.

Low- and high-frequency magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_{\rm lf}$ and $\chi_{\rm hf}$ at 0.47 and 4.7 kHz) were determined on a Bartington susceptibility meter (Model MS2B) with a dual frequency sensor. The sensor was calibrated using the standard (1 % Fe₃O₄) provided by the manufacturer. Mass-specific magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_{\rm lf}$) was calculated from the data obtained with the MS2B meter. Frequencydependent susceptibility ($\chi_{\rm fd}$) was calculated from the difference between low- and high-frequency susceptibility values.

An anhysteretic remanent magnetisation (ARM) was induced in the samples using a Molspin demagnetizer with an ARM attachment. The demagnetizer produces an alternating magnetic field of 100 milli Tesla (mT). While the alternating field was reduced at the rate of 0.016 mT/ cycle, a small, constant direct current (DC) magnetic field (0.04 mT) was superimposed on the sample [24]). A Molspin spinner fluxgate magnetometer was used to measure the ARM. Susceptibility of ARM (χ_{ARM}) was obtained by dividing the mass-specific ARM by the size of the biasing field [23].

A Molspin pulse magnetiser was used to induce an isothermal remanent magnetisation (IRM) in the samples at different field strengths: initially at 20 mT, followed by progressively larger fields (100, 300 and 600 mT), culminating with the maximum field (1000 mT) attainable at the Environmental Magnetism Laboratory of Mangalore University. The remanence acquired by the sample was measured using the Molspin spinner fluxgate magnetometer [24]. The isothermal remanence induced at 1T field was considered as the saturation isothermal remanent magnetisation (SIRM).

Table 1. Rock Magnetic Parameters	And Inter-Parametric Ratios, The	ir Units And Interpretation	[24] [25]
-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------

Parameter or ratio and its units	Indicative of
Mass-specific magnetic susceptibility (χ_{1f}) (10 ⁻⁸ m ³ kg ⁻¹)	Concentration of magnetic minerals
Frequency-dependent susceptibility (χ_{fd}) (10 ⁻⁸ m ³ kg ⁻¹)	Concentration of superparamagnetic (SP) grains
Percentage frequency-dependent susceptibility $(\chi_{\rm fd})$ (%)	Concentration of SP grains
Susceptibility of anhysteretic remanent magnetisation (χ_{ARM}) (10 ⁻⁵ m ³ kg ⁻¹)	Concentration of single domain (SD) ferrimagnetic minerals
Saturation isothermal remanent magnetisation (SIRM) (10 ⁻⁵ A m ² kg ⁻¹)	Concentration and grain size of remanence-carrying minerals
$\mathrm{SIRM}/\chi_{\mathrm{lf}}(10^3~\mathrm{A}~\mathrm{m}^{-1})$	Magnetic grain size and mineralogy. The higher the ratio, the coarser the grain size. But values > 40 indicate greigite
χarm/SIRM (10 ⁻⁵ m A ⁻¹)	Magnetic grain size and concentration of remanence- carrying SD minerals. The higher the ratio, the finer the grain size
$\chi_{ m ARM}/\chi_{ m if}$	Magnetic grain size. The higher the ratio, the finer the grain size
$\chi_{ m ARM}/\chi_{ m fd}$	Magnetic grain size. The higher the ratio, the finer the grain size
S-ratio	Magnetic mineralogy (relative proportions of ferrimagnetic and anti-ferromagnetic minerals)
"Hard" isothermal remanent magnetisation (HIRM) (10 ⁻⁵ A m ² kg ⁻¹)	Concentration of magnetically "hard" minerals like hematite and goethite

Table 2. Radiocarbon Ages And	Calibrated Ages Of Hirekolale Lake	Sediment Samples
-------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------

Depth (cm)	Laboratory code	δ ¹³ C (‰)	¹⁴ C age	Calibrated age (Cal. yr. B.P.)	Calibrated age range (Cal. yr. B.P.)
0-0.9	XA14021	-28.70	Modern sample	-	-
81.6-82.2	XA14025	-23.74	1047 ± 28	960	941-978
109.8-110.4	XA14026	-24.49	1329 ± 28	1264	1237-1291

Inter-parametric ratios like $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\chi_{\text{If}}$, $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\chi_{\text{fd}}$, XARM/SIRM, SIRM/X1f, and S-ratio (IRM300mT / SIRM) were calculated to determine magnetic mineralogy and magnetic grain size (Table 1) [26] [27]. An S-ratio value close to 1 signifies the dominance of magnetically "soft" minerals like magnetite and maghemite whereas profoundly low values indicate the dominance of magnetically "hard" minerals like hematite and goethite. "Hard" isothermal remanent magnetisation (HIRM) is a measure of the concentration of anti-ferromagnetic minerals; it was calculated by subtracting the IRM300mT value from the SIRM value (Evans and Heller 1994). The magnetic parameter and inter-parametric ratio values obtained for the samples were plotted against their respective ages using SIGMAPLOT v. 11.

III. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

A. Chronology

The chronology of the HK Lake sediment core is provided by three AMS ^{14}C dates from depths of 0-0.09 m, 0.816-0.822 m and 1.098-1.104 m (Table 2). The ^{14}C dates indicate that the core spans the past 1.25 cal. ka B.P.



Figure 2. Age-depth model for the Hirekolale Lake sediment core.

We agree that only three radiocarbon dates are available for the HK core, which are insufficient to provide a secure chronological framework for the 1.1m long core. In the absence of more C-14 dates, the age-depth model (Figure 2) presumes that there was no slumping or bioturbation of sediments and there was conformable deposition at HK with no episodes of fast sedimentation. The average sedimentation rate is 0.84 mm yr⁻¹ for the period 1.26-0.96 cal. ka B.P. and 0.95 mm yr⁻¹ for the period 0.96 cal. ka B.P.-Present. The mean sedimentation rate for the entire sediment column is 0.90 mm yr⁻¹, which is comparable with 0.99 mm yr⁻¹for Thimmannanayakanakere, Chitradurga [3] and 0.95 mm yr⁻¹ for Tsokar Lake, Ladakh [28].

B. Origin of Magnetic Minerals

Depending on their origin, catchment-derived magnetic minerals may be primary or secondary. Primary magnetic minerals are inherited from parent rocks whereas secondary magnetic minerals form during pedogenesis. During chemical weathering, the iron contained in ferromagnesian minerals is leached out. The ferrous ions are oxidised under suitable EhpH conditions to form magnetite [29]. This magnetite is, therefore, secondary and may be termed pedogenic. High rainfall and temperature accentuate pedogenesis. As temperature is more or less constant in tropical regions over time scales of a few millennia, rainfall becomes the dominant factor governing pedogenic magnetite formation. Thus, high (low) rainfall would produce a high (low) amount of pedogenic magnetite/ maghemite (high $\chi_{\rm lf}$ and SIRM) [3]. As pedogenic magnetite/ maghemite is ultrafine grained and superparamagnetic (SP), a high (low) amount of pedogenic magnetite would give rise to high (low) values of $\chi_{\rm fd}$ [24].

It appears that pedogenic magnetic minerals can form relatively rapidly. Our earlier study of a soil profile from HK catchment documented magnetic enhancement in the top-soil [30]. Post-monsoon sampling of the soil profile in October also documented magnetic enhancement. One would normally expect obliteration of the magnetic enhancement signal due to top-soil erosion, resulting from rainfall during the monsoon season (\sim 1925 mm yr⁻¹). The fact that the soil profile was magnetically enhanced again after the monsoon season is proof enough for the rapid formation of pedogenic magnetite. Sandeep et al. (2012) too reported magnetite formation pedogenic and magnetic enhancement of top-soil in the catchment of Pookot Lake in Southwestern India in a relatively short period [31]. The Pookot catchment soil magnetic data are even more convincing because pedogenic magnetite formed within two months after the heavy monsoonal rains (~ 4000 mm yr⁻¹) had washed out all the previously formed pedogenic magnetite. Maher and Thompson's (1995) study of the Chinese loess and paleosol deposits documented that pedogenic susceptibility is a rapidly formed soil property [29]. Taylor et al. (1987) also reported that pedogenic magnetite can be synthesised in the laboratory in 36 to 2720 minutes under pH and temperature conditions similar to the natural soil environment [32].

In the following paragraphs, we discuss and evaluate our data for the presence of bacterial magnetite, greigite and anthropogenic magnetite in the HK sediments.

The bi-logarithmic plot of χ_{ARM}/χ_{If} *vs.* χ_{ARM}/χ_{fd} [33] for HK Lake sediments is displayed in Figure 3. It is used for differentiating the sources of sediments. When bacterial magnetite is present, χ_{ARM}/χ_{If} and χ_{ARM}/χ_{fd} ratios exhibit considerably high values, i.e., > 40 and > 1000 respectively [33] [34] and plot in a distinct envelope in the bi-plot of the two ratios [33] [35].

The average χ_{ARM}/χ_{If} ratio value of HK sediments is 3.62 (range: 0.15 to 7.49). The lowest χ_{ARM}/χ_{If} value was recorded around 1.09 cal. ka B.P. and the highest around 0.45 cal. ka B.P. The average value of χ_{ARM}/χ_{fd} is 55.97 (range: 2.27 to 174.78). The lowest χ_{ARM}/χ_{fd} ratio value was recorded about 0.98 cal. ka B.P. and the highest about 1.00 cal. ka B.P. A $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ ratio

value of > 200 x 10^{-5} m A⁻¹ also indicates the presence of bacterial magnetite [36].



Figure 3. Bi-logarithmic plot of χ_{ARM}/ χ_{If} *vs.* χ_{ARM}/ χ_{fd} for Hirekolale Lake sediments [33].



Figure 4. Bi-plots of magnetic parameters and interparametric ratios indicating the magnetic grain size of HK lake sediment samples. (a) Scatter plot of X_{ARM}/SIRM *Vs.* X_{fd} [39] [40], and (b) Bi-plot of X_{lf} *Vs.* X_{ARM} (King's Plot) [41].



Figure 5. Down-core variations of rock magnetic parameters and inter-parametric ratios for the Hirekolale lake sediment core. Note: Three zones have been differentiated based on variations in χ lf.

But the average χ_{ARM} /SIRM ratio value of HK is < 200 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ in all the three zones. This indicates the absence of bacterial magnetite in HK sediment samples. An SIRM/ χ_{lf} value of > 40 x 10³ A m⁻¹ indicates the presence of greigite, which forms under reducing conditions [25] [37] [38] [24]. However, the SIRM/ χ_{lf} values of < 40 x 10³ A m⁻¹ indicate the absence of greigite in HK sediments (Figure 5). Hence, the possibility of greigite contributing to the HK magnetic signal may be ruled out. There is no coarsening of magnetic grain size (= no steep increase in magnetic minerals in HK sediments may be discounted.

A scatter plot of $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ *vs.* χ_{fd} % [39] [40] for the HK sediment samples is shown in Figure 4a. It helps one decipher the magnetic grain size and also the contribution from superparamagnetic (SP) grains. The magnetic properties of anthropogenic magnetic minerals differ from those of naturally produced ones [42] in having a coarse magnetic grain size like multidomain and pseudo-single domain (MD and PSD) [43]

[44]. Values of χ_{ARM} /SIRM indicate magnetic grain size: < 16 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ - Multi-domain and pseudo-single domain (MD+PSD); 16 to 48 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ - Coarse stable single domain (coarse SSD); 48 to 88 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ - Fine SSD; 88 to 136 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ - Fine SSD mixture; and 136 to 200 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ - SSD/Superparamagnetic (SSD/SP) transition. Samples having relatively high χ_{fd} % values have a higher % of SP grains.

Most of the samples from Zone 1 (Figure 4a) have coarse SSD grain size; but a few have MD + PSD grain size. The SP grain percentage is < 50. Such magnetic minerals may be lithogenous [45] [46] [47]. The possibility of their anthropogenic origin may be ruled out as the lake is situated away from industries and pollution sources. Samples from Zones 2 and 3 plot in the fine SSD region whereas a few do so in the coarse SSD region (Figure 4) and have \sim 50 % contribution from SP grains. These data indicate that the magnetic minerals present in these zones formed during pedogenesis [27] [35]. This contention is also supported by high values of χ_{fd} % in Zone 2 (average = 8.37) and Zone 3 (average = 8.67).

The χ_{ARM} *vs.* χ_{If} bi-plot, also known as the King's plot (Figure 4b) [41], is used to decipher both the concentration and the (magnetic) grain size of magnetic minerals [6] [43] [48] [49] [50]. The King's plot for samples of HK sediments suggests that Zone 1 has a lower concentration of magnetic minerals when compared to Zones 2 and 3. Figure 5 also indicates that Zone 1 is made up of magnetically coarse grained minerals whereas Zones 2 and 3 contain fine grained magnetic minerals.

Zone 1 contains а higher proportion of magnetically 'hard' minerals like hematite and goethite as evident from the relatively low S-ratio values (average = 0.85) compared to Zones 2 (average = 0.93) and 3 (average = 0.94; Figure 5) [37] [51]. Peaks in HIRM values at some depths indicate the presence of high-coercivity magnetic minerals like hematite and goethite in the samples (Figure 3). The relatively high S-ratio value of HK sediments (average = 0.90) indicates that the HK sediments are dominated magnetically "soft" minerals. Besides, by the statistically significant correlation between $\chi_{\rm lf}$ and $\chi_{\rm fd}$ (r = 0.97, n = 367, 1% level of significance) indicates that the HK magnetic signal is controlled by pedogenic magnetite. Based on the above discussion, it is evident that HK sediments constitute a natural archive of paleoclimatic data.

C. Relationship between Magnetic Susceptibility and Instrumental Rainfall Data

In spite of the uncertainty in the age-depth model and variations in sedimentation rate, we attempted correlation between χ_{If} and instrumental rainfall data (Figure 6). Magnetic susceptibility data were correlated with annual rainfall data (4-year moving average) for the AD 1930 to AD 2011 period for Peninsular All-India, India, Karnataka (http://www.tropmet.res.in; Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology), and Chikkamagalur Station (India Meteorological Department and Karnataka State Natural Disaster Monitoring Centre).



Figure 6. Correlation of magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_{\rm lf}$) of HK sediments with instrumental rainfall data (4-year moving average) for peninsular India, All-India, Karnataka and Chikkamagalur Station.

Magnetic susceptibility is positively correlated with rainfall data (n = 22) for Peninsular India (r = 0.48, p = 0.023), All-India (r = 0.36, p = 0.10), Karnataka (r = 0.34, p = 0.11) and Chikkamagalur Station (r = 0.21, p = 0.23). It may be noted that dam construction in AD 1967 may have had some effect on the sedimentation rate and hence on the χ_{1f} -rainfall correlation. The lowest rainfall (4-year moving average) of Peninsular India (805.97 mm), All-India (648.39 mm) and Karnataka (1105.51 mm) was recorded for AD 2011, which corresponds to the lowest χ_{1f} value (248.98 x10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹).

The lowest rainfall (698.55 mm) of Chikkamagalur Station also corresponds to a trough (275.49 x 10^{-8} m³ kg⁻¹) in $\chi_{\rm lf}$ values. The highest $\chi_{\rm lf}$ value (331.92 x 10^{-8} m³ kg⁻¹) corresponds to the highest rainfall of 1115.16 mm for Peninsular India during AD 2007. The highest rainfall of 981.52 mm for All-India during AD 1959 corresponds to a peak (299.97 x 10^{-8} m³ kg⁻¹) in the $\chi_{\rm lf}$ curve. However, the highest rainfall (1605.81 mm) of Karnataka during AD 1963 corresponds to a trough in $\chi_{\rm lf}$ (252.35 x 10^{-8} m³ kg⁻¹). This may be because Chikkamagalur Station received a relatively low rainfall (841.05 mm) during AD 1963. Another possible reason for the mismatch could be uncertainty in the chronology, and the comparison of $\chi_{\rm If}$ data with 4-year moving average rainfall data. The highest rainfall of 1108.03 mm for Chikkamagalur Station corresponds to a peak in the $\chi_{\rm If}$ curve (307.82 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹). Based on the statistically significant positive correlation of $\chi_{\rm If}$ with instrumental rainfall data, we suggest that $\chi_{\rm If}$ may be used as a proxy for rainfall in a tropical region like HK with medium rainfall (1925 mm yr⁻¹).

D. Paleorainfall Variations during the Past $\widetilde{}$ 1250 Years

From the foregoing discussion, it is apparent that the HK sediment magnetic signal is catchment-derived and not attributable to anthropogenic magnetite, biogenic magnetite and greigite or to dissolution of magnetic minerals. This is borne out by the presence of pedogenic SP magnetite (high χ_{fd} % values) in the HK sediments, and magnetic enhancement of top-soil from HK catchment [30]. Further, HK χ_{H} is positively correlated with instrumental rainfall data for the past 80 years. Therefore, it appears reasonable to suggest that $\chi_{\rm lf}$ variations in the HK sediment core are related to paleorainfall variations. Based on this premise, we reconstructed paleorainfall variations in the study area. In doing so, we presume that there was no slumping bioturbation of sediments and there was or conformable deposition at HK with no episodes of rapid sedimentation. The dam construction in AD 1967 may have subsequently increased the sedimentation rate in the lake; to that extent, dates younger than AD 1967 would be affected.

Down-core variations of rock magnetic parameters for the HK sediment core are displayed in Figure 5 and the Pearson's correlation matrices for concentrationdependant environmental magnetic parameters given in Table 3. Based on the variation in χ_{1f} , HK core may be divided into three zones: Zone 1 (1.25 – 0.79 cal. ka B.P.), Zone 2 (0.78 – 0.37 cal. ka B.P.) and Zone 3 (0.36 cal. ka B.P. – Present).

In Zone 1, magnetic susceptibility (χ_{1f}) exhibits low values, ranging from 57.18 to 191.40 x 10^{-8} m³ kg⁻¹. The average $\chi_{\rm lf}$ value is 75.85 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹. Other concentration-dependant magnetic parameters like χ_{fd} , χ_{ARM} and SIRM also display similar trends. The ranges of these values are from 0.63 to 6.76 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹, from 0.010 to 0.39 x 10^{-5} m³ kg⁻¹ and from 355.71 to 1380.94 x 10^{-5} A m² kg⁻¹ respectively (Figure 5). The average values of χ_{fd} , χ_{ARM} and SIRM respectively are $2.20 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$, 0.10 x $10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ and 496 x 10^{-5} A m² kg⁻¹. The % frequency-dependent susceptibility value (χ_{fd} %) ranges between 0.63 and 6.76 and the average χ_{fd} % value is 3.03. A relatively low concentration of magnetic minerals, as indicated by the low values of χ_{1f} and other concentrationdependant parameters, indicates a relatively low rainfall.

Magnetic susceptibility is correlated weakly with $\chi_{fd}(r)$ = 0.27, p = 00), moderately with $\chi_{\text{ARM}} (r = 0.48, p = 00)$ and strongly with SIRM (r = 0.83, p = 00) (Table 3a; n = 140) suggests a low concentration of pedogenic magnetic minerals. The ranges (average value in parentheses) of magnetic grain size ratio values are: SIRM/ χ_{1f} = 6.51 to 10.82 x 10³A m⁻¹ (6.5 x 10³ A m⁻¹), $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\chi_{\text{If}} = 0.15$ to 3.82 (1.32), $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\chi_{\text{fd}} = 2.27$ to 174.78 (49.05), and $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\text{SIRM} = 1.59$ to 49.53 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ (20.64 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹). Low values of magnetic grain size-related ratios (XARM/XIF, XARM/Xfd, and XARM/SIRM) indicate a coarser magnetic grain size. This is suggestive of low rainfall and weak chemical weathering and weak pedogenesis in the catchment. As a result, the production of pedogenic magnetic minerals was low. A relatively high rainfall was recorded around 1.06, 1.15 and 1.24 cal. ka B.P. Rainfall was deficient about 0.87 and 0.92 cal. ka B.P.

The sediments of Zone 2 register a two-fold increase in χ_{If} , χ_{fd} , χ_{ARM} and SIRM values (Figure 5), indicating a

relatively high rainfall. Magnetic susceptibility values range between114.88 and 398.54 x 10^{-8} m³kg⁻¹ and the average value is 242.33 x 10^{-8} m³kg⁻¹. The χ_{fd} , χ_{ARM} and SIRM values range respectively from 5.2 to 35.39 x 10^{-8} m³kg⁻¹ (average = 20.59 x 10^{-8} m³kg⁻¹), from 0.37 to 2.15 x 10^{-5} m³kg⁻¹ (average = 1.26 x 10^{-5} m³kg⁻¹) and from 901.92 to 3502.44 x 10^{-5} A m² kg⁻¹(average = 2194.09 x 10^{-5} A m²kg⁻¹.

Relatively high χ_{fd} % values compared to Zone 1 are documented in this zone and they range between 4.52 and 13.55 (average = 8.38). Relatively high values of magnetic grain size-related inter-parametric ratios (χ_{ARM}/χ_{1f} , χ_{ARM}/χ_{fd} , and $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$) indicate a finer magnetic grain size. Their ranges (average values in parentheses) are: χ_{ARM}/χ_{1f} = between 3.23 and 3.82 (average = 5.22), χ_{ARM}/χ_{fd} = between 41.62 and 101.53 (average = 63.74), and $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ = between 38.49 and 116.76 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ (average = 57.59 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹).

The relatively high values of concentrationdependant parameters and the strong positive correlation (n = 114) of magnetic susceptibility with χ_{fd} (r = 0.90, p = 00), χ_{ARM} (r = 0.86, p = 00) and SIRM (r = 0.90, p = 00) (Table 3b) indicate that the magnetic signal is dominated by pedogenic magnetic minerals. This is suggestive of a relatively high rainfall and relatively strong chemical weathering and pedogenesis in the catchment.

Zone 3 samples exhibit very high values of concentration-dependant magnetic parameters during the beginning of this period; however, they decrease and remain steady afterwards (Figure 5). Magnetic susceptibility values range from 242.98 to 905.89 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹ and the average value is 408.99 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹. The χ_{fd} , χ_{ARM} and SIRM values range respectively from 18.64 to 78.86 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹ (average = 34.54 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹), from 1.35 to 4.13 x 10⁻⁵ m³ kg⁻¹ (average = 1.99 x 10⁻⁵m³ kg⁻¹) and from 2236.21 to 9196.22 x 10⁻⁵ A m² kg⁻¹ (average = 3881.35 x 10⁻⁵ A m² kg⁻¹). The χ_{fd}^{rd} % value ranges between 5.35 and 13.81 (average = 8.67).

All the high values indicate a significantly high rainfall during 0.36 cal. ka B.P. – Present.

Table 3. Pearson's Correlation Matrices ForConcentration-Dependant Rock Magnetic ParametersFor The Three Zones In The Hirekolale LakeSediment Core

(a) Zo	one 1 samp	les (1254 -7 (n = 140)	789 cal. yr)	r. B.P.)
	χŀŕ	χ _{fd}	Xarm	SIRM
χŀŕ	1			
χ _{fd}	0.27	1		
Xarm	0.48	0.35	1	
SIRM	0.83	0.16	0.32	1
(b) Z	one 2 samp	oles (782-36	68 cal. yr.	B.P)
	1	(n = 114)		
	χŀŕ	χ _{fd}	Xarm	SIRM
χŀf	1			
Xfd	0.90	1		
Xarm	0.86	0.85	1	
SIRM	0.90	0.80	0.73	1
(c) Zone 3 samples (364 cal. yr. B.P Present)				
(n = 116)				
	χıf	χ _{fd}	χarm	SIRM
χıf	1.00			
Xfd	0.94	1.00		
Xarm	0.98	0.94	1.00	
SIRM	0.99	0.90	0.97	1.00

Magnetic susceptibility is significantly correlated (n = 116) with χ_{fd} (r = 0.94, p = 00), χ_{ARM} (r = 0.98, p = 00) and SIRM (r = 0.99, p = 00) (Table 3c). The statistically significant positive correlation between χ_{If} and χ_{fd} suggests that the magnetic signal is dominantly controlled by pedogenically formed magnetic minerals. It is reasonable to surmise that a high concentration of pedogenic magnetic minerals formed due to the

intense chemical weathering and strong pedogenesis induced by high rainfall. The average values of SIRM / χ_{If} , χ_{ARM}/χ_{If} , χ_{ARM}/χ_{fd} and $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ ratios are 9.44 x 10³A m⁻¹, 4.85, 56.71 and 51.66 x 10⁻⁵ m A⁻¹ respectively. The fine magnetic grain size (= high values of magnetic grain size-related ratios like χ_{ARM}/χ_{If} , χ_{ARM}/χ_{fd} and $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$) suggest high rainfall conditions during this period. Considerably high concentrations of magnetic minerals are recorded during the period 0.36-0.28 cal. ka B.P. A significantly high rainfall was recorded around 0.31 cal. ka B.P (significantly high χ_{If} value of 905.89 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹) and low rainfall during 0.03 cal. ka B.P. (low χ_{If} value of 242.49 x 10⁻⁸ m³ kg⁻¹).

E. Comparison of $\chi_{\rm F}$ Data with Incoming Solar Radiation (Insolation)

We compared the incoming solar radiation (insolation) (at 14° N latitude, June 21; Figure 7) for the past 1200 years with HK $\chi_{\rm lf}$ data to check whether insolation has any control on HK rainfall [52].

We are aware, in this context, of the uncertainty in our C-14 dates and possible variations in sedimentation rate. A moderate correspondence may be seen between insolation and HK $\chi_{\rm If}$ data (Figure 7). A lower rainfall during 1.25-0.79 cal. ka B.P. corresponds to low insolation during this period. The relatively high rainfall during 0.79-0.37 cal. ka B.P further corresponds to an increase in insolation. From 0.36 cal. ka B.P. to Present, HK received an even higher rainfall with an increase in insolation.

However, there is no corresponding peak in insolation for the $\chi_{\rm lf}$ peak around 330 cal. yr. B.P. This $\chi_{\rm lf}$ peak is also documented in other paleoclimatic records (Figure 8 b, c and f), for example in the Thimmannanayakanakere (TK) $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record, Pookot $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record and Gupteshwar speleothem δ^{18} O record. Considering the correspondence between insolation and $\chi_{\rm lf}$ data, we interpret that insolation seems to have had an influence on monsoonal rainfall. Shankar et al. (2006) too demonstrated that insolation had an influence on the southwest monsoon during the past 3700 years [3]. Bhattacharyya and Narasimha (2005) analysed the relationship between four solar indices and seven regional Indian Monsoon rainfall time series between AD 1871 and AD 1990, and concluded that the Indian monsoon rainfall is controlled by solar activity [53].



Figure 7. Comparison of HK χ_{lf} data with insolation data (14° N latitude, June 21) [52].

F. Comparison with Other Paleoclimatic Records from the Region

We compared the HK Lake sediment $\chi_{\rm ff}$ record (Figure 8a) with the $\chi_{\rm ff}$ record from Thimmannanayakanakere, Chitradurga (Figure 8b) [3], $\chi_{\rm ff}$ record from Pookot Lake, Wayanad (Figure 8c) [18], δ^{18} O of Akalagavi speleothem (Figure 8d) [54], ring-width index of a teak tree from Kerala (Figure 8e) [55] and δ^{18} O of Gupteshwar speleothem (Figure 8f) [56].

Again, we are aware of the limitations imposed by the uncertainty in geochronology and the possible variations in sedimentation rate while comparing the data. Hirekolale catchment recorded a high rainfall (=



Figure 8. Comparison of (a) HK sediment $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record with (b) Sediment $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record from Thimmannanayakanakere, Chitradurga [3]; (c) Sediment $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record from Pookot Lake, Wayanad District [18]; (d) δ^{18} O of Akalagavi speleothem [54]; (e) Ring-width index of a teak tree from Kerala [55] and (f) δ^{18} O of Gupteshwar speleothem [56].

high $\chi_{\rm If}$ values) during AD 1642. This high-rainfall event corresponds to the small maximum between the Maunder and Spörer Minima. Shankar et al. (2006) too reported high rainfall during AD 1642 for Chitradurga region from Thimmannanayakanakere sediment $\chi_{\rm If}$ data (Figure 8b) [3]. Yadava et al. (2004) suggested that the Akalagavi speleothem growth started around 1666 AD (ER1) when rainfall was high (Figure 8d) [54]. Borgaonkar et al. (2010) too documented a high rainfall during AD 1665 in a treering from Southern India (Figure 8d) [55].

During AD 1650 Pookot Lake catchment (Figure 8c) was also characterised by high rainfall as suggested by the small peak in the Pookot $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record [18]. It appears that this high-rainfall event is widespread across the Indian subcontinent. The relatively high rainfall record of HK during AD 1493 may be correlated with the high rainfall of AD 1505 recorded by Shankar et al. (2006) [3] and Borgaonkar et al. (2010) [55] after

allowing a margin for dating errors. Hirekolale Lake received a relatively low rainfall during 1.25 -0.79 cal. ka B.P. A similar trend is also documented in the TK $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record during this period. Sandeep et al. (2015) too recorded a relatively low rainfall during 1.25-0.79 cal. ka B.P. [18].

The AD 1876-1877 drought recorded in the proxy data of TK $\chi_{\rm lf}$, Akalagavi speleothem δ^{18} O and Kerala tree-ring width record is not documented in the HK sediment $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record. The AD 1777 drought recorded by Yadava et al. (2004) too is not documented in the HK $\chi_{\rm lf}$ data but the AD 1796 drought appears as a small trough in $\chi_{\rm F}$ during AD 1791 in the HK data. The AD 1675 and AD 1695 drought years documented by Yadava et al. (2004) [54] are not seen in the HK $\chi_{\rm lf}$ record. These drought years are also recorded by Borgaonkar et al. (2010) [55]. Such inconsistencies would have arisen from dating uncertainties or from the spatial variability of the Indian monsoon [57]. A relatively high rainfall recorded during AD 1165-1351 coincides with the Medieval Warm Period (MWP; AD 1100 - AD 1400). Magnetic susceptibility data of Pookot Lake [18] and Thimmannanayakanakere [3] have also documented a relatively high rainfall during MWP. Reconstructed rainfall data using the Gupteshwar speleothem record suggest a relatively high rainfall during MWP [58] [59].

III. CONCLUSIONS

We draw the following conclusions based on the environmental magnetic investigations of the Hirekolale Lake sediment core:

- 1. The HK sediment magnetic signal is mainly catchment-derived and not attributable to anthropogenic magnetite, biogenic magnetite and greigite or dissolution of magnetic minerals.
- 2. Magnetic susceptibility is positively correlated with rainfall (n = 22) for Peninsular India (r = 0.48,

p = 0.023), All-India (r = 0.36, p = 0.10), Karnataka (r = 0.34, p = 0.11) and Chikkamagalur Station (r = 0.21, p = 0.23).

- Paleorainfall has been reconstructed for the HK region for the past ~ 1250 years, which has many similarities with instrumental rainfall data and other published paleorainfall reconstructions for Southern India.
- 4. Moderate correspondence of the HK $\chi_{\rm lf}$ data with insolation for the past 1200 years underscores the Sun's influence on the southwest monsoon.
- 5. Many of the high- and low-rainfall events discerned in this study are correlatable with published proxy climate data from different parts of India; for e.g., lake sediment χ_{1f} records, speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ data and tree ring-width index.
- 6. Magnetic susceptibility may be used as a rainfall proxy in tropical regions even with a moderate rainfall regime (1925 mm yr⁻¹).
- 7. Thus, this study shows that the HK lake sediments constitute a natural archive of paleorainfall data, and that magnetic susceptibility can be used as a proxy for rainfall in tropical regions with moderate rainfall. The study demonstrates the potential for application in other tropical regions.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial assistance to carry out this work was provided through a research project titled "A multiproxy investigation of sediments from southern Indian tanks to determine paleoclimate" (ISRO-GBP dated 8-12-2008) to RS. The magnetic instruments used in this study were procured through grants to RS under a research project sponsored by the Department of Ocean Development (now Ministry of Earth Sciences), Government of India. The AMS ¹⁴C dating was carried

out with funds from the Natural Science Foundation of China (41290254) and the National Basic Research Program of China (2013CB955900) to ZW. The University Grants Commission, Government of India, granted Junior and Senior Research Fellowships (BSR-RFSMS) to SBS. Discussions with and suggestions from Anish K Warrier and K Sandeep were useful.

V. REFERENCES

- [1]. Wu P, Christidis N and Stott P (2013). Anthropogenic impact on Earth's hydrological cycle. Nat Clim Change 3: 807-810
- [2]. Lunt DJ, Elderfield H, Pancost R, Ridgwell AG, Foster L, Haywood A, Kiehl J, Sagoo N, Shields C, Stone EJ, Valdes P (2013). Warm climates of the past - a lesson for the future? Philos Trans R Soc London 37: 1-13
- [3]. Shankar R, Prabhu CN, Warrier AK, Vijaya Kumar GT and Sekar B (2006). A multi-decadal rock magnetic record of monsoonal variations during the past 3700 years from a tropical Indian tank. J Geol Soc India 68: 447-459
- [4]. Shetty SB, Shankar R, Weijian Z and Peng C (2016). Magnetic susceptibility as a proxy for paleorainfall: New data from Hirekolale lake sediments, Southern India. 35th IGC Rept
- [5]. Warrier AK and Shankar R (2009). Geochemical evidence for the use of magnetic susceptibility as a paleorainfall proxy in the tropics. Chem Geol 265: 553-562
- [6]. Sandeep K, Shankar R, Warrier AK, Yadava MG, Ramesh R, Jani RA, Weijian Z and , Xuefeng L (2017). A multi-proxy lake sediment record of Indian summer monsoon variability during the Holocene in southern India. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.03.02
- [7]. Warrier AK, Shankar R and Sandeep K (2014).Sedimentological and carbonate data evidence for lake level variations during the past 3700

years from a southern Indian lake. Palaeogeogr [15]. Evans ME and Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 397: 52-60 enhancement an

- [8]. Janbu AD, Paasche and , Talbot MR (2011). Paleoclimate changes inferred from stable isotopes and magnetic properties of organic-rich lake sediments in Arctic Norway. J Paleolimnol 46: 29
- [9]. Dixit Y, Hodell DA, Sinha R and Petrie CA (2015). Oxygen isotope analysis of multiple, single ostracod valves as a proxy for combined variability in seasonal temperature and lake water oxygen isotopes. J Paleolimnol 53: 35-45
- [10]. Swann GEA, Mackay AW, Leng M and Demoray F (2005). Climatic change in Central Asia during MIS 3/2: a case study using biological responses from Lake Baikal. Glob Planet Chang 46: 235-253
- [11]. Barr C, Tibby J, Gell P, Tyler J, Zawadzki A and Jacobsen GE (2014). Climate variability in south-eastern Australia over the last 1500 years inferred from the high-resolution diatom records of two crater lakes. Quat Sci Rev 95: 115-13
- [12]. Bhattacharyya A, Sandeep K, Misra S, Shankar R, Warrier AK, Weijian Z and Xuefeng L (2015). Vegetational and climatic variations during the past 3100 years in southern India: evidence from pollen, magnetic susceptibility and particle size data. Environ Ear Sci 74: 3559-3572
- [13]. Corella JP, Valero-Garcés BL, Vicente-Serrano SM, Brauer A and Benito G (2016). Three millennia of heavy rainfalls in Western Mediterranean: frequency, seasonality and atmospheric drivers. Sci Rep 6
- [14]. Maxbauer DP, Feinberg JM, Fox DL and Clyde WC (2016). Magnetic minerals as recorders of weathering, diagenesis, and paleoclimate: A core–outcrop comparison of Paleocene–Eocene paleosols in the Bighorn Basin, WY, USA. Earth Planet Sci Lett 452: 15–26

- [15]. Evans ME and Heller F (1994). Magnetic enhancement and palaeoclimate: Study of a Loess/ palaeosol couplet across the Loess Plateau of China. Geophys J Int 117: 257-264
- [16]. Nie J, Zhang R, Necula C, Heslop D, Liu Q, Gong L and Banerjee S (2014). Late Miocene– early Pleistocene paleoclimate history of the Chinese Loess Plateau revealed by remanence unmixing. Geophys Res Lett 41: 2163-2168
- [17]. Nie J, Song Y and King JW (2016). A review of recent advances in red-clay environmental magnetism and paleoclimate history of the Chinese Loess Plateau. Front Earth Sci 4: 1-13
- [18]. Sandeep K, Shankar R, Warrier AK, Weijian Z and Xuefeng Lu (2015). The Environmental magnetic record of palaeoenvironmental variations during the past 3100 years: A possible solar influence? J Appl Geophys 118: 24-36
- [19]. Radhakrishna BP and Vaidyanadhan R (1997).Geology of Karnataka. Geological Society of India, Bangalore
- [20]. Blaauw M (2010). Methods and code for 'classical' age-modelling of radiocarbon sequences. Quat Geochronol 5: 512-518
- [21]. R Development Core Team (2010). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, ISBN 3-900051-07-0. http://www.R-project.org.
- [22]. Reimer PJ, Baillie MGL, Bard E, Bayliss A, Beck JW, Blackwell PG, Bronk RC, Buck CE, Burr GS, Edwards RL, Friedrich M, Grootes PM, Guilderson TP, Hajdas I, Heaton TJ, Hogg AG, Hughen KA, Kaiser KF, Kromer B, McCormac FG, Manning SW, Reimer RW, Richards DA, Southon JR, Talamo S, Turney CSM, van der Plicht J and Weyhenmeyer CE (2009). IntCal09 and Marine09 radiocarbon age calibration curves, 0-50,000 years cal BP. Radiocarbon 51: 1111-1150
- [23]. Walden J (1999). Sample collection and preparation. In: Walden, J., Smith, J.P., Oldfield,

F. (Eds.), Environmental Magnetism - A
Practical Guide. Technical Guide No 6.
Quaternary Research Association, London: 26-34

- [24]. Walden JF, Oldfield F and Smith J (1999).Environmental Magnetism: A Practical Guide.Technical Guide No. 6, Quaternary Research Association, London
- [25]. Thompson R and Oldfield F (1986).Environmental Magnetism. Allen & Unwin, London: 227p
- [26]. Walden J (1999) Remanence measurements. In: Walden, J., Smith, J.P., Oldfield, F. (Eds.), Environmental Magnetism - A practical guide. Technical Guide No 6. Quaternary Research Association, London: 63–88
- [27]. Evans ME and Heller F (2003). Environmental magnetism. Principles and applications of enviromagnetics. San Diego, USA
- [28]. Sekar B, Rajagopalan G and Bhattacharyya A (1994). Chemical analysis and 14C dating of a sediment core from Tsokar lake, Ladakh and its implications on climatic changes. Curr Sci 67: 36-39
- [29]. Maher BA and Thompson R (1995).Palaeorainfall reconstruction from pedogenic magnetic susceptibility variations in the Chinese loess and paleosols. Quat Res 44: 383-391
- [30]. Shetty SB and Shankar R (2016). Environmental magnetic studies of soil profiles from tropical Southern India: A window to pedogenic processes and sediment-source linkage. 35th IGC Rept
- [31]. Sandeep K, Warrier AK, Harshavardhana BG and Shankar R (2012). Rock Magnetic Investigations of Surface and sub-surface soil Samples from five Lake Catchments in Tropical Southern India. Int J Environ Res 6: 1-18
- [32]. Taylor RM, Maher BA and Self PG (1987). Magnetite in soils: I, The synthesis of singledomain and superparamagnetic magnetite. Clay Miner 22: 411-422

- [33]. Oldfield F (1994). Toward the discrimination of fine grained ferrimagnets by magnetic measurements in lake and nearshore-marine sediments. J Geophys Res 99: 9045-9050
- [34]. Oldfield F (2007). Sources of fine grained magnetic minerals in sediments: a problem revisited. The Holocene 17: 1265-1271
- [35]. Oldfield F and Crowther J (2007). Establishing fire incidence in temperate soils using magnetic measurements. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 249: 362-369
- [36]. Oldfield F, Battarbee RW, Boyle JF, Cameron NG, Davis B, Evershed RP, Mcgovern AD, Jones V, Thompson R and Walker R (2010). Terrestrial and aquatic ecosystem responses to Late Holocene climate change recorded in the sediments of Lochan Uaine, Cairnorms, Scotland. Quat Sci Rev 29: 1040-1054
- [37]. Maher BA (1986). Characterisation of soils by mineral magnetic measurements. Phys Earth Planet Int 42: 76-92
- [38]. Thompson R, Stober JC, Turner GM, Oldfield F, Bloemendal J, Dearing JA and Rummery TA (1980). Environmental Applications of Magnetic Measurements. Science 207: 481-486
- [39]. Maher BA (1988). Magnetic properties of some synthetic sub-micron magnetites. Geophys J R Astron Soc 94: 83–96.
- [40]. Dearing JA, Bird PM, Dann RJL and Benjamin SF (1997). Secondary ferromagnetic minerals in Welsh soils: a comparison of mineral magnetic detection methods and implications for mineral formation. Geophys J Int 130: 727-736
- [41]. King J, Banerjee SK, Marvin J and ozdemir o (1982). A comparison of different magnetic methods of determining the relative grain size of magnetite in natural materials: some results from lake sediments. Earth Planet Sci Lett 59: 404-419
- [42]. Oldfield F, Hunt A, Jones MDH, Chester R, Dearing JA, Olsson L and Prospero JM (1985).

Magnetic differentiation of atmospheric dust. Nature 317: 516-518

- [43]. Warrier AK, Mahesh BS, Rahul M, Shankar R, Asthana R and Ravindra R (2014). Glacialinterglacial climatic variations at the Schirmacher Oasis, East Antarctica: The first report from environmental magnetism. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoeco 1412: 249-260.
- [44]. Gautam P, Blaha U, Appel E and Neupane G(2004). Environmental magnetic approachtowards the quantification of pollution inKathmandu urban area, Nepal. Phys Chem Earth29: 973-984
- [45]. Hus JJ and Han J (1992). The contribution of loess magnetism in China to the retrieval of past global changes - some problems. Phys Earth Planet Inter 70: 154-168
- [46]. Maher BA and Thompson R (1992). Paleoclimatic significance of the mineral magnetic record of the Chinese loess and paleosols. Quat Res 37: 155-170
- [47]. Banerjee SK, Hunt CP and Liu XM (1993). Separation of local signals from the regional paleomonsoon record of the Chinese loess plateau: a rock magnetic approach. J Geophys Res Lett 20: 843-846
- [48]. Bloemendal J, Ehrmann W, Hambrey MJ, McKelvey BC, Matthews R and Whitehead JM (2003). Geochemical and rock magnetic records from sediments of the Cenozoic Pagodroma Group, Prince Charles Mountains, East Antarctica: implications for provenance and weathering. Antarct Sci 15: 365-378
- [49]. Sagnotti L, Macri P, Camerlenghi A and Rebesco M (2001). Environmental magnetism of Antarctic Late Pleistocene sediments and interhemispheric correlation of climatic events. Earth Planet Sci Lett 192: 65-80
- [50]. Quijano L, Chaparro M, Marie DC and Navas A (2014). Relevant magnetic and soil parameters as potential indicators of soil conservation status of

Mediterranean agroecosystems. Geophys J Int 198: 1805-1817

- [51]. Verosub KL and Roberts AP (1995).Environmental magnetism: Past, present, and future. J Geophys Res 100: 2175-2192
- [52]. Berger A (1978). Long-term variations of daily insolation and Quaternary climatic changes. J Atmospheric Sci 35: 2362-2367
- [53]. Bhattacharyya S and Narasimha R
 (2005).Possible association between Indian monsoon rainfall and solar activity. J Geophys Res Lett 32: 1-5
- [54]. Yadava MG, Ramesh R and Pant GB (2004).Past monsoon rainfall variations in Peninsular India recorded in a 331-year old speleothem. The Holocene 14: 517-524
- [55]. Borgaonkar HP, Sikder AB, Ram S and Pant GB (2010). El Niño and related monsoon drought signals in 523-year-long ring width records of teak (Tectonagrandis L.F.) trees from south India. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 28: 74-84
- [56]. Yadava MG and Ramesh R (2005). Monsoon reconstruction from radiocarbon dated tropical Indian speleothems. The Holocene 15: 48-59
- [57]. Rajagopalan, G., Sukumar, R., Ramesh, R., Pant,
 R.K. and Rajagopalan, G. (1997). Late
 Quaternary vegetational and climatic changes
 from tropical peats in southern India- An
 extended record up to 40,000 years BP. Current
 Science, v. 73 (1), pp. 60-63
- [58]. Yadava MG and Ramesh R (1999).Palaeomonsoon record of the last 3400 years from speleothems of tropical India. Gondwana Geol Mag 4: 141-156
- [59]. Yadava MG and Ramesh R (1999). Speleothems- useful proxies for past monsoon rainfall. J Sci Ind Res 58: 339-348