

Themed Section: Science and Technology

# Live Green Biomass of a Grassland Community of Kaptipada Forest Range of Mayurbhanj District in Odisha, India

D. L. Bhuyan<sup>1</sup>, K. L. Barik<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lecturer in Botany, Anandapur College, Anandapur, Keonjhar, Odisha, India <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor of Botany, North Orissa University, Takatpur, Baripada, Odisha, India, Corresponding Email- <u>lochannou@gmail.com</u>

# **ABSTRACT**

The live green biomass of a grassland community of Kaptipada forest range (21° 51' N; 86° 53'E) in Odisha was carried out following "short term harvest method" of Odum (1). The live green biomass values of the experimental site exhibited an increasing trend from July to August and then attend a peak in the month of September (1485.90 g m<sup>-2</sup>). Onwards, a gradual decrease in value was observed showing a minimum of 116.14 g m<sup>-2</sup> during April. Thereafter, the value again showed an increasing trend till the end of the sampling period. The mean live green biomass of the community was found to be 760.41 g m<sup>-2</sup>. The mean live green biomass value of the community, when compared to other grassland communities, present findings did not show similarity. This variation in live green biomass value might be due to the variation in topography, species composition, climatic conditions, soil characteristics and the biotic interference of the locality.

Keywords: Grassland, Community, Biomass, Live Green.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Grassland plays an important role for overall growth and development of herbivores. Besides, from the prehistoric times to till date, man has been dependent on the grasses for food, shelter and unani medicine. The knowledge about the live green portion of various plant species is essential for analysis of functional aspects of a community. Literature review reveals a lot of information on live green biomass of different herbaceous communities at various climatic regions by Odum (1), Golley (2), Kelly et al. (3), Varshney (4), Mall & Billore (5), Trivedi & Misra (6), Malana & Misra (7), Misra & Misra (8), Naik (9), Behera (10), Pucheta et al. (11), Barik (12), Wenhong et al. (13), Kar (14), Chawpattanayak & Barik (15), Rout & Barik (16), Dash & Barik (17) and many others. However, very little work has been made so far on the live green

biomass of a grassland community especially in the forest belt of Odisha.

# 1.1 Aim of the Study

The aim of this investigation is to study the live green biomass of a grassland community of Kaptipada forest range of Mayurbhanj district in Odisha.

## 1.2 Study Site and Environment

The experimental site was selected at Kaptipada forest range (21° 51′ N and 86° 53′E), situated at a distance of 56 kms away from North Orissa University and 52 kms from Baripada, the District headquarter of Mayurbhanj in the state of Odisha. The altitude of the site is above 135.7m. The experimental site was protected from grazing and human interferences for a period of one year prior to start of this investigation. The climate of the locality is monsoonal with three

distinct seasons viz. rainy (July to October), winter (November to February) and summer (March to June). The total rainfall during the study period was 1389.4 mm of which a maximum of 289.6 mm was recorded during July. The mean minimum and mean maximum atmospheric temperature was found to be normal. January showed the lowest temperature (13.37°C) whereas April experienced the highest temperature (41.21°C). The soil of the experimental site was found to be almost neutral. The available phosphorus content was high in upper soil and minimum in lower soil profile. The available potassium content was high in middle soil and minimum in lower soil profile. The organic carbon content of soil was found to be very low (18).


## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Harvest method of Odum (1) was employed for the estimation of various compartmental biomasses. 10 quadrats of 50cm x 50cm size were randomly harvested / clipped, 1cm above the ground during the last week of each month. The samples were packed in polythene bags separately. The dead leaves, stems, seeds, flowers etc. lying on the ground, known as litter, were handpicked from each clipped plot, bagged and labelled. Roots including the remaining shoot bases were collected by excavating 25cm x 25cm monolith to a depth of 30cm at the centre of each clipped plot. All these samples were labelled properly and brought to the laboratory. All green plant materials were separated and are referred as live green compartment. All yellow / yellowish brown dry plant materials known as standing dead were separated from the mother plant. The below ground portion containing root, rootstocks, rhizomes etc. were washed with low pressure tap water. Care was taken not to leave any plant material escape during processing. All these plant materials i.e. live green, standing dead, litter and below ground compartments were first dried in open and then transferred to an

oven for drying at 80 °C for 24 hours and weighed. The biomass values were expressed as g m<sup>-2</sup>.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the monthly variation in live green biomass of the experimental site. It was observed that, the live green biomass of the community gradually increased from July to August and then peaked in the month of September. Onwards, the value exhibited a decreasing trend and lowest during April. Again an increasing trend of green biomass value was observed till the end of sampling period. A maximum of 1485.9 g m<sup>-2</sup> and a minimum of 116.14 g m<sup>-2</sup> of live greenbiomass value were observed during September and April respectively. The rainfall, atmospheric temperature and relative humidity of the locality perhaps goes in favour of the growth and department of the plant as a result, from July to September and April to July, a gradual increase in live green biomass value was observed. From September to April a gradual decrease in live green biomass value was observed. This might be due to adverse climatic condition followed by drying of green foliage in the community.



**Figure 1.**Monthly variation in live green biomass value (g m<sup>-2</sup>) of experimental grassland community during the study period (values are Mean  $\pm$  SD, n=5 each).

Table-1 reveals the mean live green biomass of different herbaceous communities. On comparison the mean live green biomass of the present community did not show similarity with the others. The value was found to be less than the values reported by Pucheta et al. (11) and Kar (14) where as higher than that reported by Golley (2), Kelly et al. (3), Vershney (4),

Mall & Billore (5), Trivedi & Misra (6), Malana & Misra (7), Misra & Misra (8), Naik (9), Behera (10), Barik (12), Wenhonget al. (13), Chawpattanayak & Barik (15), Rout & Barik (16) and Dash & Barik (17).

**Table 1**. Mean live green biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>) of different herbaceous communities.

Author (s)	Location	Type of community (dominated)	Mean live green biomass
Golley (1965)	South Carolina	Andropogon	90.95
Kelly et al. (1969)	Tennessee	Andropogon	219.10
Vershney (1972)	New Delhi	Heteropogon	333.80
Mall & Billore (1974)	Ratlam	Sehima	104.10
Trivedi & Misra (1979)	Jhansi	Sehima	197.60
Malana & Misra (1982)	Berhampur	Aristida	296.10
Misra & Misra (1984)	Berhampur	Aristida	342.70
Naik (1985)	Rourkela	Mixed type	516.90
Behera (1994)	Phulbani	Heteropogon	333.50
Pucheta et al. (2004)	Argentina	Deyeuxia	974.53
Barik (2006)	Berhampur	Aristida	441.30
Wenhong et al. (2008)	China	Meadow	196.70
Kar (2012)	Rangamatia	Mixed type	2886.20
Chawpattanayak & Barik (2013)	Rairangpur	Chrysopogon	326.81
Rout & Barik (2014)	Bangiriposi	Cynodon	391.85
Dash & Barik (2015)	Jharpokharia	Chrysopogon	460.85
Present Study	Kaptipada	Mixed type	760.41

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The live green biomass value of the experimental grassland community of Kaptipada forest range of Odisha did not show similarity with other grassland communities of different location. This variation in live green biomass might be due to the influence of topography, soil characteristic, species composition, biotic interference and climatic condition of the locality.

## V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the District Agriculture Officer, Baripada for providing necessary meteorological data and the Soil Chemist, District Soil Testing Laboratory, Govt. of Odisha, Mayurbhanj, Baripada for analyzing the soil samples of the experimental site.

#### VI. REFERENCES

- 1]. E.P. Odum, Organic production and turnover in the old field succession, Ecology. 41, (1960) 39-49.
- [2]. F.B. Golley, Structure and function of an old field Broom sedge community. Ecol. Monogr., 35: (1965) 113- 137.
- [3]. J.M. Kelly, P.A. Opstrup, J.S.Olson, S.L. Auerbach, G.M. Vandyne, Models of seasonal productivity in eastern Tennessee. Festuca and Andropogn ecosystem, Oak Ridge National Lab. Report, 4310, (1969) 296.
- [4]. C.K. Varshney, Productivity of Delhi grasslands. In: Tropical Ecology with an emphasis on organic production, P. M. Golley and F. B. Golley (eds.), Univ. of Georgia, Athens. (1972) 27-42.

- [5]. L.P. Mall, S.K. Billore, Dry matter structure and its dynamics in Sehima grassland community. I. Dry matter structure, Trop. Ecol., 15, (1974) 108-118.
- [6]. B.K. Trivedi, G.P. Misra, Seasonal variation in species composition, plant biomass and net community production of two grasslands in Sehima, Dichanthium cover type, Trop. Ecol., 20, (1979) 114-125.
- [7]. M. Malana, B.N. Misra, Above ground standing crop biomass and net primary production of a tropical grassland in relation to burring. Ind. J. Ecol., 9:2, (1982) 191-196.
- [8]. M.K. Misra, B.N. Misra, Biomass and primary production in India grassland, Trop. Ecol., 25, (1984) 239-247.
- [9]. B.K. Naik, Phytosociology and primary production of a natural grassland community of western Orissa. Ph.D. Thesis, Sambalpur University, Sambalpur, Orissa (1985).
- [10]. B.K. Behera, Community structure, primary production and energetic of a grassland community of Boudh-Kandhamal (Dist-Phulbani) in Orissa, Ph D. Thesis, Berhampur University, Berhampur, Orissa (1994).
- [11]. E. Pucheta, I. Bonamici, M. Cabido, S. Diaza, Below ground biomass and productivity of a grazed site and a neighboring ungrazed exclosure in a grassland in central Argentina. Austral Ecology. 29, (2004) 201-208.
- [12]. K.L. Barik, Ecological analysis of an upland grassland community of Eastern Orissa, India. Ekologia, 5: 1-2, (2006) 137-150.
- [13]. M. WenHong, Y. YuanHe, H. JinSheng, Z. Hui, F. JingYun, Above and belowground biomass in relation to environmental factors in temperate grasslands, Inner Mongolia. Sci. in China Series C-Life sci. 51: 3, (2008) 263-270.
- [14]. P.K. Kar, Life from and primary productivity of a grassland community of Rangamatia (Dist-Mayurbhanj) in Orissa. Ph.D. Thesis, North

- Orissa University, Takatpur, Baripada, Orissa, India (2012).
- [15]. B.N. Chawpattanayak, K.L. Barik, Live green biomass of a grassland community of Rairangpur in the district of Mayurbhanj, Odisha, Int. J. of Adv. Res. in Sci. & Engi. 2:7, (2013) 163-167.
- [16]. P.K. Rout, K.L. Barik, Live green biomass of a grassland community of Bangiriposi in Odisha. Int. J. of Scientific Res. in Sci. Engi. & Tech. 1:1, (2014) 97-101.
- [17]. A. Dash, K.L. Barik, Standing crop biomass of a grassland community of Mayurbhanj District, Odisha, Int. J. of Scientific Research, 4: 8, (2015) 319-321.
- [18]. D.L. Bhuyan, K.L. Barik, Assessment of floral diversity of a grassland community of Kaptipada forest range of Mayurbahnj district in Odisha, India, Int. J. Scientific Research, 6: 2, (2017) 663-665.