

International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology Print ISSN: 2395-6011 | Online ISSN: 2395-602X (www.ijsrst.com) doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST523102150

Credit Card Fraud Detection

Tejaswini Patil¹, Kiran Khadare²

¹Student of M-Tech Electronics Engineering Department in J D college of Engineering & Management, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

² Professor of M-Tech Electronics Engineering Department in J D college of Engineering & Management, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:

Accepted: 05 April 2023 Published: 30 April 2023

Publication Issue

Volume 10, Issue 2 March-April-2023

Page Number 938-942

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, detecting credit card fraud is a major social issue. Credit card usage on e-commerce and banking websites has quickly expanded in recent years. The usage of credit cards in online transactions has made it simple, but it has also increased the frequency of fraud transactions. Modernization will have both beneficial and negative effects. It is always encouraged for banks and e-commerce sites to have automatic fraud detection systems as part of the operations taking place. Huge financial losses could be the outcome of credit card theft. Machine learning approaches offer good answers when searching for ways to stop credit card fraud from happening. When compared to other algorithms currently being used, the proposed system achieves greater accuracy by using a random forest application to solve the issue. All of the fundamental classifiers have the same weight, but the random forest algorithm has a relatively high weight while the others have relatively low weights due to the fact that the bootstrap sampling of decision-making and attribute selection cannot be guaranteed to be equally stable across all classifiers.

Keywords: Fraud detection, Random Forest, Decision tree, credit card.

I. INTRODUCTION

Credit card payments, cardless purchases via Google Pay, PhonePe, Samsung Pay, and PayPal are all common in daily life. The detection of fraud, which results in a significant financial loss each year, is a current issue. The scam is predicted to reach double digits by 2020 if it keeps going in this direction. The fact that the card is no longer physically necessary to complete the exchange has led to an increase in extortion transactions. The economy is impacted emotionally by fraud discovery [1]. Fraud detection is essential and necessary in this approach. To combat this issue, financial institutions must use a variety of fraud detection tools. But over time, scammers find ways to get around the strategies put in place by business owners. Fraud detection continues to increase and it remains a serious worry in society despite all the preventive measures taken by financial institutions, strengthening of law, and government doing their best efforts to eradicate fraud detection. Credit cards are frequently used in the expansion of commerce, mobile applications, Internet and particularly in web-based transactions. The use of

Copyright: © 2023, the author(s), publisher and licensee Technoscience Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited



online transactions and payments is made simpler and easier with the use of credit cards. Fraudulent transactions have a significant impact on businesses. Machine learning techniques are frequently utilised and have grown significantly in significance in many fields where spam classifiers safeguard our mail id. The fraud detection systems pick up on extraction aspects and aid in keeping the fraud detection under control [3].

Researchers have been considering several strategies for detecting fraudulent activity in credit card transactions as they build models based on artificial intelligence, data mining, fuzzy logic, and machine learning. Detecting credit card fraud is a common problem that is also quite tough to tackle. We used machine learning to build the credit card fraud detection in our suggested system. the development of machine learning methods. Fraud detection techniques that successfully use machine learning have been identified. During online transaction operations, a substantial amount of data is sent, resulting in either a true or false conclusion. Features are built inside the example fraudulent datasets. These are data points, including the credit card's country of origin, age and account balance of the customer. There are hundreds of traits, and each one contributes, in varied degrees, to the likelihood of fraud. Be aware that the machine's artificial intelligence, which is fuelled by the training set, determines the extent to which each attribute contributes to the fraud score rather than a fraud analyst. Therefore, in terms of card fraud, the weighting of a transaction that uses a credit card for fraud purposes will be equal if the use of cards to commit fraud is demonstrated to be high. detection of credit card fraud utilising by utilising the classification and regression techniques, machine learning is accomplished [2]. To categorise the fraudulent card transactions made either online or offline, we use supervised learning algorithms like the Random Forest algorithm. An evolved variation of the decision tree is the random forest. The accuracy and efficiency of Random Forest are higher than those of

other machine learning techniques. By just selecting a portion of the feature space at each split, random forest seeks to lessen the correlation problem that was previously highlighted.

II. PROPOSED SYSTEM

In proposed System, we are applying random forest algorithm for classification of the credit card dataset. Random Forest is an algorithm for classification and regression. Summarily, it is a collection of decision tree classifiers. Random forest has advantage over decision tree as it corrects the habit of over fitting to their training set. A subset of the training set is sampled randomly so that to train each individual tree and then a decision tree is built, each node then splits on a feature selected from a random subset of the full feature set [5]. Even for large data sets with many features and data instances training is extremely fast in random forest and because each tree is trained independently of the others. The Random Forest algorithm has been found to provide a good estimate of the generalization error and to be resistant to over fitting.

2.1 Implementation of Random Forest Algorithm

The graphic below shows how the random forest method is executed. Figure 1 illustrates the several processes that must be taken. The first step is the obligation to obtain and store the information. The acquired data are in the form of an excel sheet's worth of data. Data exploration involves checking the full data set and eliminating any extraneous information that may be there. However, using both the train and test data sets, the pre-processed data is then further processed using the random forest algorithm. The obtained results are confirmed to be the outcome of an illegal and fraudulent transaction.




Figure 1: Architecture diagram of the proposed system

2.1.1 Collection of Data Sets

Here, gathering the data sets is the first step. A variety of techniques, such crawling or application programme interfaces, can be used to obtain the data sets. Aspects including the customer's name, email address, card number, payment method, cellphone number, bank account number, and pin number must be included in the data sets [6]. The data set is used analysis after being collected using for the aforementioned qualities. The main difference between the training data set and the test set is that the training set has labels while the test set does not. Regression analysis is used to first train the data set, and the random forest approach is then used to test it.

2.1.2 Analysis of Data


Data preparation is followed by analysis using a variety of techniques. The functions in this data can be used to train the data and build classification prediction models. The data sets are separated into training sets and test sets using the random forest algorithm. It is made up of a variety of techniques, including data splitting and data preparation, both of which are carried out using the resampling approach.


2.1.3 Reporting Results


Credit Card Transaction Fraud Detection using 193carrying out analysis. The main difference between the training data set and the test data set is that the test set lacks labels while the training data set has labels. Regression analysis is used to first train the data set, and the random forest approach is then used to test it. Data Analysis, Data preparation is followed by analysis using a variety of techniques. The functions in this data can be used to train predictive classification algorithms. The data sets are separated into training set and remaining test set using the random forest technique. It includes a variety of tools, including those for dividing data and preparing data using the resampling method.


III.Data Processing

Random forest is a type of supervised machine learning algorithm based on ensemble learning. Ensemble learning is a type of learning where you join different types of algorithms or same algorithm multiple times to form a more powerful prediction model. The random forest algorithm combines multiple algorithms of the same type i.e., multiple decision trees, resulting in a forest of trees, hence the name "Random Forest". The random forest algorithm can be used for both regression and classification tasks.












Figure 4: Collaboration Diagram

Organize your selected data by formatting, cleaning and sampling from it. Three common data preprocessing steps are[7]:

Formatting: The data you've chosen might not be in a form that you can work with; for example, it might be in a relational database but you'd prefer it in a flat file, or it might be in a proprietary file format but you'd prefer it in a relational database or text file.

Cleaning: Data cleaning is the process of removing or replacing missing data. There can be data instances that are insufficient and lack the information you think you need to address the issue. These occurrences might need to be eliminated.

Sampling: There can be far more available selected data than you need to deal with. Algorithms may take much longer to perform on bigger amounts of data, and their computational and memory requirements may also increase. Before thinking about the entire dataset, you can take a smaller representative sample of the chosen data that may be much faster for exploring and testing ideas.

IV.RESULTS

	Credit Card Fraud Detect	ion Using Random Forest Tree Ba	ed Classifler	
E:/manoj/CreditCard/dataset/creditcard.cs	r loulad			
Train & Test Model Generated				
Total Dataset Size : 284807 Split Training Size : 199364 Split Test Size : 85443 Prediction Results				
Random Forest Accuracy				
Accuracy : 99.78816286881312				
Upload Credit Card Dataset	Generate Train & Test Model	Run Random For es t Algorithm		
Upload Credit Card Dataset Detect Fraud From Test Data	Generate Train & Test Model Clean & Fraud Transaction Dete			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ction Graph Exit	a a	⁸ م ت يز (× ⁰³³² 16/11-21

Figure 5: Credit Card Fraud Detection Using Random Forest Tree

Random Forest generate 99.78% percent accuracy while building model on train and test data. Now click on 'Detect Fraud From Test Data' button to upload test data and to predict whether test data contains normal or fraud transaction.

	Credit Card Frand Detection	Using Random Forest Tree Based Classifi	er
-0.08230081 -0.07880298 0.08210102 -0	22242213-0.1002/441_1.012/2000		
1.06523531 0.48909502 -0.1437723 0.			
-0.18336127 -0.14578304 -0.06908314 -0			
-0.33984648 0.1671704 0.12589453 -0.	0089831 0.01472417], Predicted = Transaction C	outains Cleaned Signatures	
X=[4.06000000e+02 -2.31222654e+00 1	95199201+00-160985073+00		
3.99790559e+00 -5.22187865e-01 -1.420			
1.39165725e+00 -2.77008928e+00 -2.77			
-2.89990739e+00 -5.95221881e-01 -4.28			
-1.14074718e+00 -2.83005567e+00 -1.68			
1.26910559e-01 5.17232371e-01 -3.504 3.20198199e-01 4.45191675e-02 1.778			
-1.43275875e-01], Predicted = Contains			
X=[4.06000000e+02-2.31222654e+00 1			
3.99790559e+00 -5.22187865e-01 -1.420			
1.39165725e+00 -2.77008928e+00 -2.77	227214e+00 3.20203321e+00		
	227214e+00 3.20203321e+00 925378e+00 3.89724120e-01		
1.39165725e+00 -2.77008928e+00 -2.77 -2.89990739e+00 -5.95221881e-01 -4.28 -1.14074718e+00 -2.83005567e+00 -1.68 1.26910559e-01 5.17232371e-01 -3.504	227214+00 3.20203321e+00 925378e+00 3.89724120=01 224682e-02 4.16955705e-01 93686e-02 -4.65211076e-01		
1.39165725e+00 -2.77008928e+00 -2.77 -2.89990739e+00 -5.95221881e-01 -4.28 -1.14074718e+00 -2.83005567e+00 -1.68	227214+00 3.20203321e+00 925378e+00 3.89724120=01 224682e-02 4.16955705e-01 93686e-02 -4.65211076e-01		
1.39165725e+00 -2.77008928e+00 -2.77 -2.89990739e+00 -5.95221881e-01 -4.28 -1.14074718e+00 -2.83005567e+00 -1.68 1.26910559e-01 5.17232371e-01 -3.504	227214+00 3.20203321e+00 925378e+00 3.89724120=01 224682e-02 4.16955705e-01 93686e-02 -4.65211076e-01		
1.39165725e+00 -2.77008928e+00 -2.77 -2.89990739e+00 -5.95221881e-01 -4.28 -1.14074718e+00 -2.83005567e+00 -1.68 1.26910559e-01 5.17232371e-01 -3.504	227214+00 3.20203321e+00 925378e+00 3.89724120=01 224682e-02 4.16955705e-01 93686e-02 -4.65211076e-01	Run Random Forest Algorithm	
1.39165725e+00 -2.77008928e+00 -2.77 -2.89990739e+00 -5.95221881e+01 -4.28 -1.14074718e+00 -2.83005567e+00 -1.68 1.26910559e+01 5.17232371e+01 -3.504	227214e+00 3202013321e+00 925378e+00 3.8974120e-01 224682e-02 4.16955705e-01 93686e-02 4.65211076e-01		
1.39165725+00 -2.77069236+00 -2.77 2.3990739+00 -55721381-01 -2.8 2.141474735+00 -3305557+01 -0.52 2.141474735+00 -3305557+01 -0.54 2.141047455+00 -51723271+01 -3.54 2.14104745+00 -0.51723271+01 -3.54 2.14104745+00 -0.51723271+01 -3.54 2.14104745+00 -0.51723271+01 -3.54	22714-649 3.20083316-490 9253756-490 3.897241206-81 225376-490 3.897241206-81 29856-42 4.652110766-81 99856-42 4.652110766-81 99856-42 4.652110766-81		

Figure 6: Credit Card Fraud Detection Using Random Forest Tree

In above screen beside each test data application will display output as whether transaction contains

cleaned or fraud signatures. Now click on 'Clean & Fraud Transaction Detection Graph' button to see total test transaction with clean and fraud signature in graphical format. See below screen.




Figure 7: Credit Card Fraud Detection Using Random Forest Tree

In above graph we can see total test data and number of normal and fraud transaction detected. In above graph x-axis represents type and y-axis represents count of clean and fraud transaction

V. CONCLUSION

The number of fraudulent transactions is decreased by the suggested unsupervised random forest technique. The random forest algorithm has been used in a number of experiments. The outcomes made sure that there were far fewer fraudulent transactions. This makes the system more accurate and improves the security of online transactions.

VI. REFERENCES

 Y. Sahin and E. Duman, "Detecting Credit Card Fraud by Decision Trees and Support Vector Machines, Proceedings of International Multi Conference of Engineers and Computer Scientists, vol. I, 2019.

- [2] Snehal Patil, Harshada Somavanshi, Jyoti Gaikwad, Amruta Deshmane, Rinku Badgujar," Credit Card Fraud Detection Using Decision Tree Induction Algorithm, International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing, Vol.4 Issue.4, April- 2020.
- [3] S. Sorournejad, Z. Zojaji, R.E. Atani, A.H. Monadjemi, A survey of credit card fraud detection techniques: data and technique-oriented perspective.
- [4] U. Fiore, A. De Santis, F. Perla, P. Zanetti, F. Palmieri, Using generative adversarial networksfor improving classification effectiveness in credit card fraud detection. Inf. Sci. 479, 448– 455(2021).
- [5] N. Carneiro, G. Figueira, M. Costa, A data miningbased system for credit-card fraud detectionin etail. Decis. Supp. Syst. 95.
- [6] Dahee Choi and Kyungho Lee, "Machine Learning based Approach to Financial Fraud Detection Process in Mobile Payment System", vol. 5, no. -4, December 2020, pp. 12-24.
- [7] Wei Sun, Chen-Guang Yang, Jian-Xun Qi: Credit Risk Assessment in Commercial Banks Based On Support Vector Machines, vol.6, pp 2430-2433.

Cite this article as :

Tejaswini Patil, Kiran Khadare, "Credit Card Fraud Detection", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology (IJSRST), Online ISSN : 2395-602X, Print ISSN : 2395-6011, Volume 10 Issue 2, pp. 938-942, March-April 2023. Available at doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST523102150 Journal URL : https://ijsrst.com/IJSRST523102150