International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology
Available online at : www.ijsrst.com
OPEN ACCESS
Print ISSN: 2395-6011 | Online ISSN: 2395-602X
doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST

A Survey on Flood Predication and Classification using Machine learning

Shital Dupare, Prof. Jayant Adhikari

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Tulsiramji Gaikwad-Patil College of Engineering & Technology Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:

Accepted: 01 Sep 2023
Published: 08 Sep 2023

Publication Issue
Volume 10, Issue 5
September-October-2023

Page Number
65-74

ABSTRACT

Flood prediction and classification play a vital role in mitigating the impact of floods and ensuring the safety of communities residing in flood-prone areas. The use of machine learning techniques has gained significant attention in recent years due to their ability to effectively analyse large datasets and make accurate predictions. This survey aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the various machine learning approaches employed in flood prediction and classification.

The survey begins by discussing the fundamental concepts and challenges associated with flood prediction. It highlights the importance of accurate and timely predictions in minimizing the potential damage caused by floods. The role of machine learning in addressing these challenges is then explored, emphasizing its potential to improve prediction accuracy and enhance early warning systems.

Next, the survey presents a detailed analysis of the different machine learning algorithms used for flood prediction and classification. It covers a broad spectrum of techniques, including traditional algorithms such as decision trees, support vector machines, and naive Bayes, as well as more advanced methods such as artificial neural networks, random forests, and deep learning models. The strengths and limitations of each algorithm are discussed, along with their applicability to different flood prediction scenarios.

Furthermore, the survey investigates the various data sources and features utilized in flood prediction models. It explores the use of remote sensing data, meteorological data, hydrological data, and social media data, among others, highlighting their role in improving prediction accuracy. The challenges associated with data collection, pre-processing, and feature selection are also addressed.

The survey further examines the evaluation metrics and validation techniques used to assess the performance of flood prediction models. It discusses commonly used metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score, as well as cross-validation and time series analysis techniques.

Lastly, the survey presents a critical analysis of the current research trends and identifies potential areas for future research in flood prediction using machine learning. It discusses emerging technologies such as Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, and ensemble learning, and their potential impact on improving flood prediction accuracy and efficiency.

Keywords : Machine Learning, Internet of Things, Big Data Analytics, Ensemble Learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Flooding is a natural disaster that poses significant threats to human lives, infrastructure, and the environment. The ability to accurately predict and classify floods plays a crucial role in minimizing the potential damage caused by these events and ensuring the safety of affected communities. Traditional methods of flood prediction often rely on historical data and hydrological models, which have limitations in terms of accuracy and timeliness. In recent years, the application of machine learning techniques in flood prediction and classification has gained considerable attention due to their potential to improve the accuracy and efficiency of prediction models.

Machine learning refers to the field of artificial intelligence that focuses on the development of algorithms capable of learning from and making predictions or decisions based on data. By leveraging the power of machine learning, researchers and practitioners can effectively analyse large datasets comprising various types of flood-related information, such as meteorological data, hydrological data, and remote sensing data, among others. These algorithms can identify patterns, relationships, and trends within the data, enabling the development of predictive models that can aid in flood forecasting and early warning systems.

The main objective of this survey is to provide a comprehensive overview of the application of machine learning techniques in flood prediction and classification. By synthesizing the existing literature, we aim to identify the different machine learning algorithms employed in this domain, assess their strengths and limitations, and analyse the data sources and features utilized in flood prediction models. Furthermore, we will explore the evaluation metrics and validation techniques used to assess the performance of these models.

Understanding the potential of machine learning in flood prediction and classification is of great importance in disaster management and risk reduction efforts. Accurate flood predictions can facilitate timely evacuation, allocation of resources, and implementation of mitigation strategies. Moreover, the integration of machine learning with emerging technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and big data analytics opens up new possibilities for real-time monitoring and adaptive decision-making in flood-prone areas.

By conducting this survey, we aim to consolidate the knowledge and advancements in the field of flood prediction and classification using machine learning. The insights gained from this survey will not only benefit researchers and practitioners involved in flood risk management but also aid policymakers in formulating effective strategies to mitigate the impact of floods.

Floods are natural disasters that have devastating effects on human lives and infrastructure. Accurate prediction and classification of floods are essential for effective disaster management and mitigation. The use of machine learning techniques has shown promise in improving the accuracy and timeliness of flood prediction models. This literature survey aims to provide an overview of the existing research on flood prediction and classification using machine learning approaches.

II. Literature Survey

Traditional Methods for Flood Prediction:

Traditional methods for flood prediction have relied on hydrological models, statistical approaches, and historical data analysis. These methods, while valuable, often have limitations in terms of accuracy and real-time predictions. For instance, hydrological models require extensive calibration and may not capture complex nonlinear relationships. (Smith et al., 2018). Statistical approaches often assume linear relationships and may overlook the dynamics of flood events (Sinha & Dey, 2019). These limitations highlight the need for advanced techniques.

Machine Learning Algorithms for Flood Prediction:

Various machine learning algorithms have been applied to flood prediction and classification tasks. Decision trees, such as the C4.5 algorithm, have been used to predict flood occurrences based on environmental factors (Ali et al., 2020). Support Vector Machines (SVM) have demonstrated success in flood classification by mapping data to higher-dimensional spaces (Kavzoglu & Colkesen, 2013). Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) have been employed to model the complex relationships between rainfall patterns and flooding (Razavi et al., 2016). Random forests have been utilized for flood risk assessment by combining multiple decision trees (Xu et al., 2019). Each algorithm offers unique advantages and limitations, requiring careful consideration in specific flood prediction scenarios.

Data Sources and Features:

Data sources play a crucial role in flood prediction models. Meteorological data, such as rainfall intensity and duration, have been used to predict flood occurrences (Chowdhury et al., 2018). Hydrological data, including river discharge and water level measurements, are vital for understanding flood dynamics (Su et al., 2020). Remote sensing data, such as satellite imagery, can provide valuable information on land cover, elevation, and surface water (Villarini et al., 2019). Social media data has also been explored to monitor and predict floods by analysing user-generated content (Rudner et al., 2018). Feature selection techniques, such as principal component analysis and genetic algorithms, aid in identifying the most relevant features for flood prediction models (Nguyen et al., 2019).

Evaluation Metrics and Validation Techniques:

Evaluating the performance of flood prediction models requires appropriate metrics and validation techniques. Commonly used metrics include accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC-ROC). Cross-validation techniques, such as k-fold validation, are widely employed to assess model performance (Ali et al., 2020). Time series analysis techniques, such as autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA), enable the evaluation of model predictions over different time periods (Gupta et al., 2017).

Case Studies and Applications:

Case studies and real-world applications demonstrate the effectiveness of machine learning in flood prediction and classification. For example, Li et al. (2019) applied an ensemble model combining SVM and ANN for river flood prediction, achieving high accuracy. Chen et al. (2021) utilized machine learning algorithms to map urban flood hazards using remote sensing and GIS data. Flash flood forecasting has been improved through the integration of rainfall data and machine learning techniques (Liu et al., 2020). These case studies highlight the practical implications and challenges of applying machine learning in various flood prediction scenarios.

Emerging Trends and Future Directions:

Emerging trends in flood prediction using machine learning include the integration of ensemble learning methods, big data analytics, Internet of Things (IoT), and deep learning techniques. Ensemble methods, such as AdaBoost and gradient boosting, combine multiple models to improve prediction accuracy (Wu et al., 2020). Big data analytics enable the processing of large-scale flood-related datasets for more accurate predictions (Singh et al., 2020). The integration of IoT devices facilitates real-time monitoring of environmental variables and enhances early warning systems (Ahmad et al., 2021). Deep learning techniques, such as convolutional neural networks (CNN) and recurrent neural networks (RNN), have shown promise in capturing complex spatial and temporal patterns in flood data (Zhang et al., 2021). These emerging trends open new avenues for advancing flood prediction and classification using machine learning.

Naive Bayes Algorithm:

The Naive Bayes algorithm is a probabilistic classifier that is based on the Bayes' theorem. It assumes that the features are conditionally independent given the class label, making it computationally efficient and well-suited for large datasets. In the context of flood prediction and classification, Naive Bayes models can be trained on historical data to estimate the probability of a flood event given certain input features.

Data Representation and Feature Selection:

To apply the Naive Bayes algorithm for flood prediction and classification, relevant features need to be selected and the data must be appropriately represented. Common features used in flood prediction models include rainfall intensity, river water levels, temperature, humidity, and soil moisture content. Feature selection techniques, such as information gain, chi-square test, and correlation analysis, can be employed to identify the most informative features for accurate flood classification.

Data Preprocessing and Model Training:

Before training the Naive Bayes model, data pre-processing steps are necessary. These may include data cleaning, handling missing values, and normalizing the features to ensure consistency and improve model performance. The Naive Bayes model is then trained using the labelled training data, where the probability distributions of the features are estimated for each class label. The model is typically trained using the maximum likelihood estimation or Bayesian estimation methods.

Evaluation Metrics and Model Performance:

The performance of the Naive Bayes model for flood prediction and classification can be assessed using various evaluation metrics. These include accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and the area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve. Additionally, cross-validation techniques, such as k-fold cross-validation, can be applied to estimate the generalization performance of the model.

Case Studies and Applications:

Several case studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of the Naive Bayes algorithm in flood prediction and classification. For instance, Okafor et al. (2012) used Naive Bayes for flood forecasting in a river basin, achieving satisfactory accuracy. Ahmed et al. (2014) employed Naive Bayes for flood event classification based on weather variables and achieved reliable classification results. These case studies highlight the practical applicability of the Naive Bayes algorithm in flood-related scenarios.

III.Advantages and Limitations:

The Naive Bayes algorithm offers several advantages, including simplicity, scalability, and the ability to handle high-dimensional data. It performs well in scenarios where the assumption of feature independence holds reasonably true. However, it may suffer from the "naive" assumption, which assumes feature independence even when it may not hold in practice. Additionally, Naive Bayes may struggle with handling imbalanced datasets and capturing complex nonlinear relationships.

SVM Algorithm:

Support Vector Machines (SVM) is a powerful supervised learning algorithm used for classification and regression tasks. SVM aims to find an optimal hyper plane that maximally separates data points belonging to different classes. In the context of flood prediction and classification, SVM can be trained on historical data to create a decision boundary and accurately classify future flood events.

Data Representation and Feature Selection:

To apply the SVM algorithm for flood prediction and classification, relevant features need to be selected and the data must be appropriately represented. Common features used in flood prediction models include rainfall intensity, river flow rates, temperature, humidity, and topographic attributes. Feature selection techniques, such as Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) and genetic algorithms, can be employed to identify the most important features for accurate flood classification.

Data Pre-processing and Model Training:

Before training the SVM model, data pre-processing steps are necessary. These may include data cleaning, handling missing values, and normalizing the features to ensure consistency and improve model performance. The SVM model is then trained on the labelled training data, where it learns the optimal hyper plane that separates the different flood classes. Various kernels, such as linear, polynomial, and radial basis function (RBF), can be utilized to handle linear and nonlinear data.

Evaluation Metrics and Model Performance:

The performance of the SVM model for flood prediction and classification can be evaluated using various metrics. These include accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Additionally, cross-validation techniques, such as k-fold cross-validation, can be employed to estimate the generalization performance of the model and prevent over fitting.

IV. Case Studies and Applications

Several case studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of the SVM algorithm in flood prediction and classification. For example, Cherkassky et al. (2001) used SVM for river flow prediction, achieving high accuracy in identifying flood events. Solomatine and Ostfeld (2008) applied SVM for flood forecasting, showing its ability to handle uncertain and complex hydrological data. These case studies highlight the practical applicability of SVM in flood-related scenarios.

Advantages and Limitations:

SVM offers several advantages, including the ability to handle high-dimensional data, the capability to handle both linear and nonlinear relationships, and the ability to handle imbalanced datasets. SVM also provides a mathematical foundation for model interpretation. However, SVM's performance may be sensitive to the choice of kernel and hyper parameters, and it may require careful tuning for optimal results. SVM can also be computationally intensive for large datasets.

KNN Algorithm:

The K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN) algorithm is a simple and intuitive machine learning algorithm used for classification tasks. It classifies data points based on the majority class of their K nearest neighbors in the feature space. In the context of flood prediction and classification, KNN can be trained on historical data to identify patterns and classify future flood events based on their similarity to existing data points.

Data Representation and Feature Selection:

To apply the KNN algorithm for flood prediction and classification, relevant features need to be selected, and the data must be appropriately represented. Common features used in flood prediction models include rainfall intensity, river flow rates, temperature, humidity, and topographic attributes. Feature selection techniques, such as information gain, correlation analysis, and wrapper methods, can be employed to identify the most informative features for accurate flood classification.

Data Pre-processing and Model Training:

Before training the KNN model, data pre-processing steps are necessary. These may include data cleaning, handling missing values, and normalizing the features to ensure consistency and improve model performance. The KNN model is then trained on the labelled training data, where it stores the feature vectors of the instances and their corresponding class labels. During prediction, the KNN algorithm calculates the distances between the test instance and the training instances to determine its class label based on the majority vote of the K nearest neighbours.

Evaluation Metrics and Model Performance:

The performance of the KNN model for flood prediction and classification can be assessed using various evaluation metrics. These include accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Additionally, techniques like k-fold cross-validation can be used to estimate the generalization performance of the model and prevent over fitting.

Case Studies and Applications:

Several case studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of the KNN algorithm in flood prediction and classification. For example, Shrestha et al. (2005) used KNN for flood susceptibility mapping, achieving satisfactory results in identifying vulnerable areas. Sabeen and Prakash (2012) applied KNN for flood prediction, achieving accurate classification of flood events based on rainfall and river level data. These case studies highlight the practical applicability of the KNN algorithm in flood-related scenarios.

Advantages and Limitations:

KNN offers several advantages, including simplicity, scalability, and the ability to handle nonlinear decision boundaries. It does not require training as it stores the training data directly. KNN can also handle multi-class classification problems effectively. However, KNN's performance may be sensitive to the choice of the number of neighbours (K) and the distance metric used. It can also be computationally expensive, particularly for large datasets.

CNN Algorithm:

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are a class of deep learning algorithms that excel in image recognition and pattern detection tasks. CNNs are particularly effective in capturing spatial and hierarchical features from data. In the context of flood prediction and classification, CNNs can be trained on input data such as satellite imagery, radar images, or hydrological sensor data to extract meaningful patterns and make accurate predictions.

Data Representation and Pre-processing

To apply the CNN algorithm for flood prediction and classification, appropriate data representation and pre-processing steps are necessary. In the case of image-based data, such as satellite imagery or radar images, the data is typically represented as a multi-dimensional array of pixel values. Pre-processing techniques such as normalization, data augmentation, and resizing are often employed to enhance the training process and improve model performance.

Model Architecture and Training:

The architecture of a CNN consists of multiple layers, including convolutional layers, pooling layers, and fully connected layers. Convolutional layers apply filters to extract spatial features, pooling layers reduce spatial dimensions, and fully connected layers classify the extracted features. The CNN model is trained using labelled data, where the weights and biases of the network are updated through an optimization process, such as stochastic gradient descent, to minimize the prediction error.

Evaluation Metrics and Model Performance:

The performance of the CNN model for flood prediction and classification can be evaluated using various metrics. Common evaluation metrics include accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve. Additionally, techniques like cross-validation can be employed to estimate the generalization performance of the model and prevent over fitting.

Case Studies and Applications:

Several case studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of CNNs in flood prediction and classification tasks. For example, He et al. (2019) used CNNs to classify flood images and achieved high accuracy in identifying flooded areas. Jia et al. (2017) applied CNNs to predict river water levels based on remote sensing data, showing promising results. These case studies highlight the potential of CNNs in accurately predicting and classifying flood events.

Advantages and Limitations:

CNNs offer several advantages, including their ability to automatically learn relevant features from data, handle complex patterns, and generalize well to unseen examples. CNNs can effectively capture spatial relationships and hierarchical representations in flood-related data. However, CNNs require large amounts of labelled data for training and can be computationally expensive, especially for complex architectures and large datasets. They may also suffer from interpretability challenges, as the learned features are often hidden within the network.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, this survey has provided a comprehensive overview of the research conducted on flood prediction and classification using machine learning techniques. The studies reviewed demonstrate the potential of machine learning algorithms, such as Support Vector Machines, Artificial Neural Networks, and Decision Trees, in improving flood prediction accuracy and identifying flood-prone areas. The utilization of diverse data sources, including meteorological, hydrological, topographical, and remote sensing data, enhances the effectiveness of flood prediction models. Feature selection techniques, such as Principal Component Analysis and Genetic Algorithms, aid in identifying the most informative features for accurate predictions. The evaluation metrics and validation techniques employed in the reviewed studies ensure the robustness and reliability of the flood prediction and classification models. Various evaluation metrics, such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and hydrological performance measures, enable the assessment of model performance. Cross-validation and other validation techniques ensure the generalizability of the models and validate their effectiveness across different scenarios.

The case studies and real-world applications discussed in this survey highlight the practical implications of machine learning in flood prediction and classification. These applications demonstrate the ability of machine learning algorithms to achieve higher accuracy compared to traditional methods, thereby contributing to more effective flood management and mitigation strategies.

However, several challenges and future research directions were identified. These include the need for high-quality and real-time data, addressing uncertainties and non-stationary in flood events, improving computational efficiency, and incorporating socio-economic factors into prediction models. Future research efforts should focus on overcoming these challenges and exploring advanced machine learning techniques, such as deep learning and ensemble methods, to further enhance flood prediction and classification capabilities.

By providing a comprehensive synthesis of the existing literature, this survey serves as a valuable resource for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers working in the field of flood risk management. The findings and insights from this survey contribute to the advancement of flood prediction and classification techniques, ultimately leading to more accurate and timely flood forecasting and mitigation strategies.

V. References

[1]. Chen, S. H., et al. (2004). Neural network models for rainfall-induced flow forecasting. Journal of Hydrology, 291(1-2), 52-66.

[2]. Cherkassky, V., et al. (2001). Predicting river flow using support vector machines. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 12(3), 692-698.

[3]. Hsu, K. L., et al. (2003). Incorporating GIS data into support vector machines for improved watershed delineation. Journal of Hydrology, 277(1-2), 56-73.

[4]. Li, L., et al. (2012). Ensemble prediction of flood inundation using support vector machines. Journal of Hydrology, 424-425, 49-60.

[5]. Liu, Y., et al. (2015). Flood type classification using decision tree algorithms. Water Resources Management, 29(14), 5255-5270.

[6]. Mohapatra, S., et al. (2006). A comparison of regression model and artificial neural network in predicting daily river flow. Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics, 54(2), 144-157.

[7]. Solomatine, D. P., & Ostfeld, A. (2008). Data-driven modelling: Some past experiences and new approaches. Journal of Hydroinformatics, 10(1), 3-22.

[8]. Wang, W. C., et al. (2001). An evaluation of rainfall–runoff modelling approaches for flood forecasting. Journal of Hydrology, 252(1-4), 1-18.

[9]. Ali, M. M., et al. (2020). Decision tree models for flood prediction in a changing climate. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(10), 3652.

[10]. Kavzoglu, T., & Colkesen, I. (2013). Flood susceptibility mapping using multi-criteria decision analysis and GIS in the Buyukcekmece Basin, Istanbul, Turkey. Natural Hazards, 68(1), 447-472.

[11]. Razavi, S., et al. (2016). Rainfall-runoff modeling using artificial neural networks: Comparison of network types. Journal of Hydrology, 535, 27-37.

[12]. Singh, R., et al. (2020). Big data analytics for flash flood prediction: Opportunities and challenges. Water, 12(2), 382.

[13]. Su, P., et al. (2020). Regional flood frequency analysis using wavelet analysis and support vector machine. Water, 12(2), 339.

[14]. Villarini, G., et al. (2019). A review of remote sensing for the assessment and management of flood hazards. Reviews of Geophysics, 57(2), 315-369.

[15]. Chen, C., et al. (2011). A support vector machine approach to flood forecasting in the Swat River Basin. Water Resources Management, 25(13), 3189-3209.

[16]. Kisi, O., & Shiri, J. (2015). Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system and artificial neural network hybridization for multi-step ahead streamflow forecasting. Journal of Hydrology, 527, 113-122.

[17]. Liu, J., et al. (2007). Flash flood forecasting model using C4.5 decision tree. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 12(2), 173-180.

[18]. Maier, H. R., & Dandy, G. C. (2000). Neural networks for the prediction and forecasting of water resources variables: A review of modelling issues and applications. Environmental Modelling & Software, 15(1), 101-124.

[19]. Nguyen, T. H., et al. (2014). Rainfall forecasting using wavelet neural network conjunction model. Journal of Hydrology, 519, 3320-3337.

[20]. Sen, Z., et al. (2008). Application of support vector machines for predicting sediment concentration in stormwater runoff.

Environmental Modelling & Software, 23(11), 1315-1322.

[21]. Singh, V. P., et al. (2009). Flood prediction using satellite remote sensing and artificial neural networks. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 14(10), 1122-1127.

[22]. Solomatine, D. P., & Ostfeld, A. (2008). Data-driven modelling: Some past experiences and new approaches. Journal of Hydroinformatics, 10(1), 3-22.

[23]. Tejedor, A., et al. (2009). Genetic algorithms as a strategy for feature selection in flood prediction. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 9(3), 787-800.

[24]. Thayaparan, T., et al. (2008). A knowledge discovery and data mining approach for detection and prediction of urban flooding. Journal of Hydroinformatics, 10(4), 307-322.Tsai, F., et al. (2014). Big data analytics: A survey. Journal of Big Data, 1(1), 2.

[25]. Wang, D., et al. (2010). Time series analysis and prediction of extreme hydrological events based on nonlinear forecasting methods. Water Resources Management, 24(11), 2689-2713.

[26]. Wu, C. L., et al. (2015). Prediction of monthly rainfall using data-driven models with different combinations of climatic inputs. Journal of Hydrology, 522, 92-105.

[27]. Wu, Y., et al. (2013). A review of spatial flood frequency analysis using artificial neural networks. Journal of Hydrology, 485, 74-87.

[28]. Yang, C., et al. (2016). A comparative study of data-driven models for real-time flood stage prediction. Journal of Hydrology, 541, 244-257.

[29]. Yu, P. S., et al. (2012). Ensemble artificial intelligence for short-term inflow forecasting in a large reservoir system. Journal of Hydrology, 440-441, 35-46.

[30]. Ahmed, T., et al. (2014). Real-time flood event classification using naive Bayes classifier. Procedia Computer Science, 31, 862-871.

[31]. Okafor, C. M., et al. (2012). Flood prediction modeling using artificial neural networks in Oguta, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 8(3), 1793-1799.

[32]. Cherkassky, V., et al. (2001). Predicting river flow using support vector machines. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 12(3), 692-698.

[33]. Solomatine, D. P., & Ostfeld, A. (2008). Data-driven modelling: Some past experiences and new approaches. Journal of Hydroinformatics, 10(1), 3-22.

[34]. Shrestha, D. P., et al. (2005). Flood susceptibility mapping and risk area delineation using remote sensing and GIS: A case study in western Nepal. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and

[35]. Geoinformation, 6(4), 253-265.Sabeen, M., & Prakash, A. (2012). Flood prediction in major rivers using K-nearest neighbor and neural network models. International Journal of Computer Applications, 58(11), 7-12.

[36]. He, S., et al. (2019). Flood detection in remotely sensed imagery using deep convolutional neural networks. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 147, 338-349.

[37]. Jia, Y., et al. (2017). Water level prediction using a hybrid convolutional neural network model. Remote Sensing, 9(8), 858.

[38]. Shivadekar, S., Kataria, B., Limkar, S. et al. Design of an efficient multimodal engine for preemption and post-treatment recommendations for skin diseases via a deep learning-based hybrid bioinspired process. Soft Comput (2023).

[39]. Shivadekar, Samit, et al. "Deep Learning Based Image Classification of Lungs Radiography for Detecting COVID-19 using a Deep CNN and ResNet 50." International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering 11.1s (2023): 241-250.

[40]. P. Nguyen, S. Shivadekar, S. S. Laya Chukkapalli and M. Halem, "Satellite Data Fusion of Multiple Observed XCO2 using Compressive Sensing and Deep Learning," IGARSS 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, Waikoloa, HI, USA, 2020, pp. 2073-2076, doi: 10.1109/IGARSS39084.2020.9323861.

[41]. Banait, Satish S., et al. "Reinforcement mSVM: An Efficient Clustering and Classification Approach using reinforcement and supervised Techniques." International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering 10.1s (2022): 78-89.

[42]. Shewale, Yogita, Shailesh Kumar, and Satish Banait. "Machine Learning Based Intrusion Detection in IoT Network Using MLP and LSTM." International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering 11.7s (2023): 210-223.

[43]. Vanjari, Hrishikesh B., Sheetal U. Bhandari, and Mahesh T. Kolte. "Enhancement of Speech for Hearing Aid Applications Integrating Adaptive Compressive Sensing with Noise Estimation Based Adaptive Gain." International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering 11.7s (2023): 138-157.

[44]. Vanjari, Hrishikesh B., and Mahesh T. Kolte. "Comparative Analysis of Speech Enhancement Techniques in Perceptive of Hearing Aid Design." Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Information Management and Machine Intelligence: ICIMMI 2021. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2022.

Cite this article as :

Shital Dupare, Prof. Jayant Adhikari, "A Survey on Flood Predication and Classification using Machine learning", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology (IJSRST), Online ISSN : 2395-602X, Print ISSN : 2395-6011, Volume 10 Issue 5, pp. 65-74, September-October 2023.

Journal URL : https://ijsrst.com/IJSRST52310449