### International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology



Available online at : www.ijsrst.com





Print ISSN: 2395-6011 | Online ISSN: 2395-602X

doi: https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST

# Identification of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Among Rural Primary School Children

Mrs. Lakshmi. M

M.SC. (N), Department of Child Health Nursing, Puducherry, India

#### ARTICLEINFO

#### **Article History:**

Accepted: 15 Sep 2023 Published: 10 Oct 2023

#### **Publication Issue**

Volume 10, Issue 5 September-October-2023

## Page Number

494-501

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study aimed to determine the prevalence of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) among primary school children in a semi-urban setting and to investigate the co-morbid disorders associated with ADHD. The study involved children aged 5 to 11 from 15 government schools. The Vanderbilt Assessment Scale (Teacher's Version) was used to assess for ADHD, and positive screenings were further evaluated for co-morbid factors using the Child Behavioral Checklist (CBCL) scale, followed by an assessment by a psychiatrist.

The results showed a prevalence of 9.67% for ADHD among primary school children in Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh. The prevalence was higher among males (12.98%) than females (5.63%), with the highest rates observed in the 10-11-year-old age group. The male-to-female ratio for ADHD was 2.7:1. The combined subtype was the most common (45.83%), followed by the Attention Deficit subtype (36.45%) and the Hyperactive-Impulsive subtype (17.7%). Children from lower socioeconomic backgrounds exhibited a higher vulnerability to ADHD (9.96%) than those from middle and upper socioeconomic classes (7.56%). The co-morbid condition most commonly associated with ADHD was poor academic performance (18.75%), followed by poor social behavior (17.7%).

In conclusion, the study highlights the significant prevalence of ADHD among primary school children, particularly in the context of gender and socioeconomic disparities. It emphasizes the importance of understanding and addressing ADHD to help children overcome these challenges.

**Keywords :** Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Vanderbilt Assessment Scale-Teacher's Version, Prevalence, and Socioeconomic Status.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a prevalent neurobehavioral condition that predominantly affects children and is characterized by inattentive, and impulsive behavior. Its symptoms pose significant challenges, including risk of learning disabilities, behavioral issues, and social problems that can lead to academic underachievement, substance delinquency juvenile abuse, and during adolescence and adulthood. As such, ADHD places considerable demands on mental health, educational, and judicial services.[1-9]

ADHD is a complex interplay of biological, social, and psychological factors. Genetic risk factors are strongly implicated in the neuropathology of ADHD. Social influences determine the severity of impairment, prognosis, and societal perceptions of the disorder. Psychological processes, particularly deficits in attention and information processing, bridge the gap between underlying neuropathology and observable behavioral manifestations, making ADHD valuable subject for studying child psychopathology. [10,14-17]

Historical descriptions of ADHD date back to the 19th century, with early characterizations of affected children as inattentive, excessively hyperactive, and impulsive. In the early 20th century, the syndrome was called a "Defect in moral control," encompassing soft neurological anomalies, minor congenital signs, inattentiveness. Modern classification began with the term "hyperkinetic child syndrome" and evolved through various nomenclatures. culminating in "Attention Deficit Hyperactivity

Disorder" (ADHD) in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) classifications.

The prevalence of ADHD worldwide varies due to evolving diagnostic criteria. Estimates under DSM-III criteria (1980) ranged from 9.1% to 12% in the US and 5.8% to 11.2% internationally. DSM-III-R criteria (1987) reported rates of 7.1% to 12.8% in the US and 3.9% to 14.4% internationally. DSM-IV criteria (1994) yielded rates of 9.5% to 16.1% in the US and 2.4% to 19.8% internationally, with higher rates attributed to changes in diagnostic definitions. Recent data from the CDC in 2011-2012 showed a 42% increase in ADHD diagnoses and a 28% increase in medication usage among US children aged 4-17 years compared to previous years. [11-12]

# **Objectives**

- To find out the prevalence and gender distribution of ADHD among primary school children.
- To find out the presence of any comorbid disorders associated with ADHD.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Study Design: Descriptive Cross-sectional study
- Study Population: Government Primary School children in and around Tirupati.
- between 5 and 11 were selected from 15 schools in Tirupati. Sample sizes were calculated depending on the prevalence of ADHD from the previous studies using the formula 4pq/L2. Prevalence of ADHD of

earlier studies is 10%. Allowable error at 20%, Alpha level at 5%,10% for responders.

#### **Inclusion Criteria:**

Children between the age group of 5 to 11 years.

#### **Exclusion Criteria:**

- Children with a history of seizures/developmental delay.
- Children with a history of neurologic illness/Endocrine disorders.
- Children with a history of chronic illness/prolonged drug intake.

#### Tools:

# Tool 1: Vanderbilt Assessment Scale- Teacher's version:

This is a rating scale based on DSM diagnostic criteria for ADHD. It consists of several behavior parameters. Teachers rate this scale. The scale has two components: symptom assessment and impairment in performance.

#### Tool 2: Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) Scale:

This scale is used to assess the presence of comorbid factors, social behavior, and academic performance of those children who were identified as having ADHD.

The tools were prepared after reviewing related literature, taking the opinion of experts, and reviewing existing tools.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

After obtaining the necessary approvals, the study was initiated with great care and attention to detail. Prior permission was sought from the District Educational Officer of Tirupati to

conduct the study at various Primary Schools. 750 children between the ages of 5 and 11 years were randomly selected from 15 schools in Tirupati. Before the study began, informed written consent was obtained from their parents, ensuring their participation was voluntary and they were fully aware of the nature of the study.

The teachers were given a comprehensive awareness campaign regarding ADHD in two sessions. During the first session, the teachers were sensitized about ADHD with the help of audio-visual aid in Telugu. The academic and social implications of ADHD were explained in detail so that they could understand the condition well. During the second session, the teachers were trained to fill the Vanderbilt assessment scale Teacher's version with the help of a PowerPoint presentation. This training ensured that they could assess the children accurately and confidently.

Following the awareness campaign, the presence of ADHD was then assessed by using the Vanderbilt assessment scale Teacher's version by their respective class teachers. Periodic school visits were undertaken during this period to clarify their doubts and help the class teachers assess the children and fill out the questionnaire. This was done to ensure that the data gathered was accurate and reliable.

The researchers then analysed the filled up questionnaire, and those children screened positive were instructed to attend the hospital with their parents. Children with impaired assessment were verified and reassessed for any comorbid factors by using the Child Behavioural Checklist (CBCL) scale, the information for which was sought from their parents using a

questionnaire in the outpatient department. This was done to ensure the children received the best possible care and that any underlying conditions were identified and treated appropriately.

All children screened positive were subjected to psychiatrist evaluation for a final confirmation of diagnosis and further management. Children diagnosed with ADHD were regularly followed up in our outpatient department with periodic psychiatrist opinions. This ensured that the children received the best possible care and that their condition was closely monitored to ensure that they remained healthy and happy.

#### **III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 : CHILDREN SUSPECTED TO HAVE ADHD

Children	Number	Percentage
suspected to		(%)
have ADHD		
ADHD	120	12.00
Normal	880	88.00
Total	1000	100

Data presented in Table 1, shows that Among 1000 school children screened by their respective class teachers, 120 children were positive using Vanderbilt Assessment Scale-Teacher's Version.

**Table 2: ADHD DIAGNOSED** 

	Number	Percentage
ADHD		
Diagnosed		
among		

suspected		
ADHD +ve	96	80.00
ADHD +ve	7	5.83
(Dropouts)		
ADHD –ve	17	14.17
Total	120	100

Of the 113 (7) children dropped out of 120) screened positive, the psychiatrist confirmed 96 children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

Table 3: ADHD PREVALENCE

	Number	Percentage
ADHD		
Prevalence		
ADHD +ve	96	9.67
Total	993	100

The prevalence of ADHD in this study is 9.67% (96 out of 993).

Table 4: AGE WISE DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL CHILDREN

Age in years	Total no of children
5	32
6	130
7	177
8	146
9	170
10	168
11	177
Total	1000

Among the 1000 children in this study, 345 were between the age group of 10 to 11 years, 316



children were between the age group of 8 to 9 years, and 339 were less than or equal to 7 years.

Table 5: AGEWISE PREVALENCE OF ADHD

Age in years	Total no: of children with ADHD	Prevalence (%)
5	3	9.37
6	12	9.23
7	17	9.60
8	12	8.21
9	15	8.82
10	18	10.71
11	19	10.73

Age Distribution	ADHD	Normal
	Group	
N	96	897
Mean	8.21	8.50
SD	1.65	1.77
Unpaired 't' Test		0.1051

Prevalence of ADHD was found to be highest among the children between the age group of 10 and 11 years.

GENDER	NUMBER OF
	CHILDREN
Male	539
Female	461
Total	1000

Total number of children screened-1000 Number of Male children-539 Number of Female children-461

Table 6:GENDER DISTRIBUTION OF ADHD

GENDER	NUMBER	OF	%
	CHILDREN		
Male	70		72.92
Female	26		27.08
Total 96		100	
Chi-square test		0.001	

Number of male children having ADHD =70(72.92%)

Number of female children having ADHD= 26(27.1%)

Table 7 : GENDERWISE PREVALENCE OF ADHD

GENDER	ADHD	Total	Prevalence
			of ADHD
Male	70	539	12.98
Female	26	461	5.63
Total	96	1000	

ADHD is 2.7 times more common in males than females.

Table 8 : ADHD SUBTYPES

	ADHD Subtypes	Number	Percentage
٦	Attention	35	36.46
	Deficit		
	Hyperactive-	17	17.71
_	Impulsive		
	Combined	44	45.83
	Total	96	100

In this study, the most common subtype was the combined subtype (45.83%), followed by the

Attention Deficit subtype (36.46%) and Hyperactive-Impulsive type (17.71%)

Table 9 : GENDERWISE DISTRIBUTION OF ADHD SUBTYPES

Gender	Attention	Hyperactivity	Combined
	deficit		
Male	21(60%)	17(100%)	32(72.7%)
Female	14(40%)	0	12(27.3%)
Total	35	17	44

In this study, combined subtype of ADHD was the most common among male children. Attention deficit is the most common subtype in female children.

A hyperactive-impulsive subtype of ADHD was found exclusively in male children.

**Table 10: SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS** 

Presence of	Number	Percentage	
Comorbidities in			
ADHD			
Present	58	60.42	
Absent	38	39.58	
Total	96	100	

60.42% of children with ADHD had comorbid conditions like reading difficulty, writing difficulty, behavioral difficulties, poor social behavior, poor academic performance, and conduct disorder.

Table 11 : NUMBER OF COMORBIDITIES IN ADHD

Number of Comorbidities in	Number	Percentage
ADHD		
One	45	77.59
Two	12	20.69
Three	1	1.72
Total	58	100

Most children (77.59%) had only one associated comorbid condition, and 22.41% had two or more comorbid conditions.

Table 12: COMORBID CONDITIONS IN ADHD

Comorbid	No: of	Prevalence (%)
condition	children	
Reading	13	13.5
difficulty		
Writing	10	10.4
difficulty		
Behavioural	11	11.45
difficulty		
Poor social	17	17.7
behavior		
Poor academic	18	18.75
Performance		
Conduct	2	2.08
disorder		

Poor academic performance (18.75%) and social behaviour (17.7%) were the most frequently observed comorbid conditions.

Table 13 : COMORBIDITIES IN ADHD SUBTYPES

Subtypes	Total	Comorbidities	(%)
Attention	35	17	48.57%
Deficit			
Hyperactive-	17	7	41.47%
Impulsive			
Combined	44	34	77.27%
Total	96	58	

Combined subtypes followed by Attention deficit subtypes of ADHD were frequently associated with comorbid conditions.

#### IV. REFERENCES

- [1]. Nelson Text Book of Paediatrics 19th edition, 29.2 AttentionDeficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, page 107-110.
- [2]. Sandberg, S. and Barton, J. (1996). Historical development. In Hyperactivity disorders of childhood (ed. S. Sandberg), pp. 1–25. Cambridge University Press.
- [3]. Schachar, R.J. (1985). The history of the hyperkinetic syndrome. In The hyperkinetic child (ed. E. Taylor), pp. 19–40. Spastics International Medical Publications/Heinemann, London.
- [4]. Still, G.F. (1902). The Coulstonian lectures on some abnormal psychical conditions in children. Lancet, 1, 77–82, 163–8, and 1008–12.
- [5]. Laufer, M.W., Denhoff, E., and Solomons, G. (1957). Hyperkinetic impulse disorder in children's behavior problems. Psychosomatic Medicine, 19, 38–49.
- [6]. American Psychiatric Association (1968).

  Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (2nd edn). American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- [7]. World Health Organization (1978). Mental disorders: glossary and guide to their classification in accordance with the ninth revision of the International classification of diseases. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- [8]. American Psychiatric Association (1980).

  Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (3rd edn). American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- [9]. American Psychiatric Association (1994). Diagnostic and statistical classification of diseases and related health problems (4th edn). American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- [10]. Bauermeister JJ, Alegria M, Bird HR et al. Areattentionalhyperactivitydeficitsunidimensio nal or multidimensional syndromes? Empirical

- findings from a community survey. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1992;31:423-31.
- [11]. King C, Young RD. Attentional deficits with and without hyperactivity teacher and peer perceptions. J Abnorm Child Psychol1982;10:483-95.
- [12]. Szatmari P, Offord DR, Boyle MH. Ontario Child Health Study:prevalence of attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. J ChildPsychol Psychiatry 1989;30:219-30.
- [13]. Verhulst FC, Berden GF, Sanders-Woudstra JA.

  Mental health inDutchchildren (II). The
  prevalence of psychiatric disorder
  andrelationship between measures.

  ActaPsychiatrScand 1985;72(Suppl.324):1-45.
- [14]. August GJ, Garfinkel BD. Behavioral and cognitive subtypes of ADHD. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1989;28:739-48.
- [15]. August GJ, Realmuto GM, MacDonald AW III et al. Prevalenceof ADHD and comorbid disorders among elementary school children screened for disruptive behavior. J Abnorm Child Psychol1996;24:571-95.
- [16]. Pelham WE Jr, Gnagy EM, Greenslade KE et al. Teacher ratingsof DSM-III-R symptoms for the disruptive behavior disorders. JAm Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1992;31:210-8.
- [17]. Shaffer D, Fisher P, Dulcan MK et al. The NIMH DiagnosticInterview Schedule for Children Version 2.3 (DISC-2.3): description, acceptability, prevalence rates, and performance in the MECA Study. Methods for theEpidemiology of Child and AdolescentMental Disorders Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1996;35:865-77.
- [18]. Gomez-Beneyto M, Bonet A, Catala MA et al. Prevalence ofmental disorders among children in Valencia, Spain. ActaPsychiatrScand 1994;89:352-7.
- [19]. Breton JJ, Bergeron L, Valla JP et al. Quebec child mental healthsurvey: prevalence of DSM-

- III-R mental health disorders. J ChildPsychol Psychiatry 1999;40:375-84.
- [20]. Zohar AH, Ratzoni G, Pauls DL et al. An epidemiological study of obsessive-compulsive disorder and related disorders in Israeli adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1992;31:1057-61.
- [21]. Verhulst FC, van der Ende J, Ferdinand RF et al. The prevalenceof DSM-III-R diagnoses in a national sample of Dutch adolescents. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1997;54:329-36.
- [22]. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Lynskey MT. Prevalence and comorbidity of DSM-III-R diagnoses in a birth cohort of 15 yearolds. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1993;32:1127-34.
- [23]. Gimpel GA, Kuhn BR. Maternal report of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in preschool children. Child Care Health Dev 2000;26:163-76.
- [24]. Hudziak JJ, Heath AC, Madden PF et al. Latent class and factoranalysis of DSM-IV ADHD: a twin study of female adolescents. JAm Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1998;37:848-57.

#### Cite this article as:

Mrs. Lakshmi. M, "Identification of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Among Rural Primary School Children", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology (IJSRST), Online ISSN: 2395-602X, Print ISSN: 2395-6011, Volume 10 Issue 5, pp. 494-501, September-October 2023.

Journal URL: https://ijsrst.com/IJSRST5231045