

International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology

Available online at : www.ijsrst.com

doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST52310652



Print ISSN: 2395-6011 | Online ISSN: 2395-602X

Correlation between Age and Abdominal Diameter for Estimating Size-Specific dose in Pediatric CT examination

Alifa Adhika Putri, Choirul Anam', Eko Hidayanto, Riska Amilia, Ariij Naufal

Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Diponegoro University, Semarang Indonesia Corresponding author: anam@fisika.fsm.undip.ac.id

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:

ABSTRACT

Accepted: 01 Dec 2023 Published: 10 Dec 2023

Publication Issue

Volume 10, Issue 6 November-December-2023 Page Number 338-344 This study aims to investigate the correlation between abdominal diameter against the their ages in pediatric Computed Tomography (CT) examination. The retrospective images from 96 patients ranging from 0-16 years scanned by the Siemens Somatom Go Top 128 Multi-slice CT scanner were evaluated. In a total of 46 patients were scanned by tube current modulation (TCM) approach and the remaining 50 patients were examined whitout TCM. The effective diameter (Deff) for every patient was automatically calculated using IndoseCT. The evaluation of the size and age correlation was carried out using a regression approach from AAPM Task Group 204. In addition, size-specific dose estimate (SSDE) was also calculated using IndoseCT. The results shows that the patient's diameters increase along the increase of ages $(R^2 > 0.68)$ with rapid growth in the ages of 0-1 years. It is also found that the increase in the patient's diameter leds to the decrease of SSDE in the non-TCM protocol, while the trend in the TCM protocol is different. The SSDE had a tendency to increase along with the increase in patient sizes ($R^2 < 0.40$).

Keywords: effective diameter (D_{eff}), size-specific dose estimation (SSDE), tube current modulation (TCM), pediatric CT.

I. INTRODUCTION

The utilization of computed tomography (CT) as an imaging modality has grown rapidly [1-2]. The CT has a great contribution to aiding patient diagnoses [3-4], however CT potentially imposes a ionizing radiation risk [5-6]. Despite the CT imaging only contributes 11% to the total ionizing radiation exposure, the overall radiation dose exceeds two-thirds of the total

dose received [7]. Therefore CT examination needs to be monitored since high-dose exposure poses a risk of inducing cancer in patients, especially in pediatric patients [8-9]. The cells in pediatric patients are actively proliferating, therefore a slight radiation exposure has the potential to disrupt cell growth in children [10]. A study by Smoll et al. [11] indicated that head CT examination in pediatric patients potentially increase brain cancer occurrence by 3.7%

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.



among Australians. Therefore, minimizing the received dose in pediatric CT is crucial to adhere to the As Low as Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) principle [12].

The dose quantification in CT examinations generally relies on the Volumetric Computed Tomography Dose Index (CTDIvol) and Dose Length Product (DLP) [13]. Unfortunately, both matrices only consider the output dose of CT and do not consider the patient's body geometry which highly contributes to the dose. In the same dose output, the larger patient's diameter, the smaller dose will be absorbed by the patient[14]. Therefore, the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) introduced a new matrix for estimating patient dose, known as Size-specific Dose Estimates (SSDE). The patient's diameter for calculating SSDE was initially using the effective diameter (Deff). The SSDE has been reported to effectively estimate absolute organ doses with a difference of $\pm 10\%$ [16]. Another study by Brady et al. [17] also reported that using SSDE resulted in a better agreement (about 50%) with the measured dose by the dosimeter.

Unfortunately, calculating the diameter of each patient manually is tedious and time-consuming, while for a clinical application, the calculation must be fast and effective. Hence, utilizing age as a reference for SSDE measurements has become one alternative to achieve a more efficient dose measurement. Cheng et al. [18] reported that the Deff and age have a high correlation in abdominal examinations, leading to a faster calculation of SSDE. A study by Fahmi et al. [19] also reported that using the age and D_{eff} correlates greater than 0.8 ($R^2 > 0.8$), indicating a strong correlation between the patient's age and Deff. Nevertheless, the previous study [19] was only conducted on pediatric head examinations and did not evaluate other CT examination such as abdominal examination which often occurs in clinical examinations [20-21]. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the relationship between the age and abdominal size of pediatric patients. This study also

evaluates examinations with and without tube current modulation (TCM) features.

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

A. Patient images

This study utilized retrospective images from 96 pediatric patients, ranging from 0-16 years. A total of 46 patients were scanned with TCM, while 50 patients were examined without TCM (tube current of 240 mA). The scans were performed using the Siemens Somatom Go Top 128 Multi-slice CT installed at Dr. Kariadi Central General Hospital, Semarang, Indonesia. The remaining parameters were set constant for all patients, with a tube voltage of 80-100 kVp, a rotation time of 0.5 seconds, and with axial mode. Both contrast-enhanced and non-contrast images were employed, collected between May 2021 and May 2022, and images were stored in the Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) format.

B. Correlation between size and ages

For determining the relationship between patient size and age, the patient's body size needs to be measured beforehand. Measurements of D_{eff} were automatically carried out using the IndoseCT software [22] (Figure 1). D_{eff} was measured from the cross-sectional area of the patient's images. Therefore, the measurement was carried out by creating a Region of Interest (ROI) that covers the entire cross-section of the patient's image. The ROI was automatically generated by segmenting the image using a threshold of -300 Hounsfield unit (HU). From the ROI, the area (*A*) and D_{eff} were calculated using Equation (1).

$$D_{eff, A} = 2\sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}}$$
(1)

The correlation between the D_{eff} values with patient age was analyzed using a regression approach defined by AAPM 204, as described by Equation (2).



Parameters a, b, c, and d were calculated using the least squares method, utilizing quadratic regression in Python software Additionally the R-squared (R^2)

value is also computed to investigate the correlation between two parameters.



Figure 2. Graphical user interface (GUI) on IndoseCT for measuring SSDE.

C. SSDE calculation

The SSDE was calculated using equation (3). The value of CTDI_{vol} is the output from the scanner obtained from DICOM information in mGy units. Meanwhile, the conversion factor (f) is obtained from AAPM Report No. 204 and it is a function of D_{eff}. The example of SSDE measurement using IndoseCT is shown in Figure 2.

$$SSDE = CTDI_{vol} \times f \tag{3}$$

III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Relationship between Defragainst age

The relationship between D_{eff} and age is depicted in Figure 3. The parameters a, b, c, and d were 5.2427, -0.0602, 6.4361, and 8.5770. Figure 3 shows that the D_{eff} increased with the increase of age with $R^2 =$ 0.6580. This value was much lower than the regression obtained in the head area of $R^2 = 0.8$ reported previously [19]. A lower correlation on abdominal examination may be due to more variative abdominal sizes than the head for every age. A drastic increase in abdominal diameter occurs in patients aged 0-1 year, while the growth decreases with age. Previous studies by Fahmi et al. [19] reported that the growth of children's heads was massive as the patient ages. While the growth of the thoracic and abdominal areas increases linearly with the patient ages as reported by Kleinman et al [23].



Figure 3. The relationship between D_{eff} against the age.

B. Relationship of CTDIvol against Deff

Figure 4 shows the relationship between $CTDI_{vol}$ and D_{eff} with TCM (a) and without TCM (b). Figure 4(a) shows that the use of TCM causes the $CTDI_{vol}$ is dependent of D_{eff} . For patients with D_{eff} of 15-20 cm, the $CTDI_{vol}$ in the range of 2-3 mGy. Whereas for patients with large diameter of 30-33 cm, the $CTDI_{vol}$ in the range of 6-8 mGy. This is happened because the use of TCM, the tube current changes dinamicallay

according to patient size. The increase in tube current, of course, has an impact on the increase in CTDI_{vol} [24]. This was different when the TCM feature is turned off as in Figure 4(b). It shows that without TCM, the CTDI_{vol} was independent of D_{eff}. The CTDI_{vol} was constant around 3.5 mGy for all sizes of patients.



Figure 4. The relationship between the CTDIvol and Deff, (a) with TCM and (b) without TCM.



Figure 5. The relationship between the SSDE and Deff with (a) TCM approach (b) and without TCM approach

C. Relationship of SSDE againts Deff

The relationship between SSDE and D_{eff} is shown in Figure 5. When using TCM, SSDE increases linearly with increasing D_{eff} , although with a weak R^2 value

 $(R^2 = 0.30)$. Meanwhile, in non-TCM, SSDE decreases exponentially with increasing D_{eff} (with $R^2 = 0.98$).

The use of TCM in pediatric patients can be an alternative to dose reduction. This study found that although TCM was able to reduce the dose in patients

341

with smaller diameters. A study by Papadakis et al. [25] found that the use of TCM in pediatric patients can only reduce 2-10% of the dose received. Another study by Schlattl et al. [26] also found that the use of TCM can only reduce 10% of the organ dose conversion coefficient compared with non-TCM. However, the use of TCM is still recommended for pediatric abdominal CT examinations for dose reduction.

A comparison of the relationship between D_{eff} and the age of patients found in this study and AAPM is shown in Figure 6. Coefficients a, b, c, and d in this study were: 5.2427, -0.0602, 6.4361, and 8.5770. While the coefficients a, b, c, and d in AAPM TG 204 are 18.7885, 0.1948, -1.0600, and -7.6244. The regression value in this study is $R^2 = 0.9466$, slightly more than the regression value generated by AAPM of $R^2 = 0.9714$. The differences may be due to the difference in the posture of Indonesian children (the current study) and American children (the AAPM's report). A study by Nightingale et al. [27] reported that East Asian children have lower bode mass index (BMI) values compared to children from Africa-Caribbean.

This study has some limitations. First, we evaluated a limited number of patients. Evaluation in a wider population from various hospitals and CT scanners is needed to provide more accurate trends. Second, the size of the patient is only represented using D_{eff} , which still ignores the composition of the patient's tissue. The use of water-equivalent diameter (D_w) as a more accurate patient size can be performed in the future study.



Figure 6. The relationships between D_{eff} and age from this study (red line) and AAPM TG 204 (black line).

IV.CONCLUSION

The relationship between D_{eff} and age is successfully investigated. The D_{eff} increases with the increase of age following non-linear equation with $R^2 = 0.6580$. The use of TCM for pediatric abdominal examination successfully decreased the dose received by patients with small diameters with $R^2 = 0.30248$. Meanwhile, without TCM, the dose increases as the patient's age decreases.

V. REFERENCES

- Willemink MJ, den Harder AM, de Jong PA, Leiner T. De toekomst van de CT-scan; gaat CT de conventionele röntgendiagnostiek vervangen? [The future of the CT scan; will CT replace conventional radiography?]. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 2014;158:A7438.
- [2] Brenner DJ. Should we be concerned about the rapid increase in CT usage?. *Rev Environ Health*. 2010;25(1):63-68. doi:10.1515/reveh.2010.25.1.63
- [3] Kirby M, Smith BM. Quantitative CT Scan Imaging of the Airways for Diagnosis and Management of Lung Disease. *Chest.* 2023;164(5):1150-1158. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2023.02.044
- [4] Thakur SK, Singh DP, Choudhary J. Lung cancer

identification: a review on detection and classification. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 2020;39(3):989-998. doi:10.1007/s10555-020-09901-x

- [5] Coakley FV, Gould R, Yeh BM, Arenson RL. CT radiation dose: what can you do right now in your practice? *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011;196(3):619-625. doi:10.2214/AJR.10.5043
- [6] Qi LP, Li Y, Tang L, et al. Evaluation of dose reduction and image quality in chest CT using adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction with the same group of patients. *Br J Radiol.* 2012;85(1018):e906-e911.

doi:10.1259/bjr/66327067

- [7] Kutanzi KR, Lumen A, Koturbash I, Miousse IR.
 Pediatric Exposures to Ionizing Radiation: Carcinogenic Considerations. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016;13(11):1057. doi:10.3390/ijerph13111057
- [8] Nagayama Y, Oda S, Nakaura T, et al. Radiation Dose Reduction at Pediatric CT: Use of Low Tube Voltage and Iterative Reconstruction. *Radiographics*. 2018;38(5):1421-1440. doi:10.1148/rg.2018180041
- [9] Sadetzki S, Mandelzweig L. Childhood exposure to external ionizing radiation and solid cancer risk. Br J Cancer. 2009;100(7):1021-1025. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604994
- [10] Smoll NR, Brady Z, Scurrah KJ, Lee C, Berrington de González A, Mathews JD. Computed tomography scan radiation and brain cancer incidence. *Neuro Oncol.* 2023;25(7):1368-1376. doi:10.1093/neuonc/noad012
- [11] Slovis TL. Children, computed tomography radiation dose, and the As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) concept. *Pediatrics*. 2003;112(4):971-972. doi:10.1542/peds.112.4.971
- [12] Xu J, He X, Xiao H, Xu J. Comparative Study of Volume Computed Tomography Dose Index and Size-Specific Dose Estimate Head in Computed Tomography Examination for Adult Patients Based on the Mode of Automatic Tube Current

Modulation. *Med Sci Monit*. 2019;25:71-76. doi:10.12659/MSM.913927

- [13] Smith-Bindman R, Miglioretti DL. CTDIvol, DLP, and effective dose are excellent measures for use in CT quality improvement. *Radiology*. 2011;261(3):999-1000. doi:10.1148/radiol.11111055
- [14] American Association of Physicists in Medicine."Size-specific dose estimates (SSDE) in pediatric and adult body CT examinations." AAPM report 204 (2011): 1-30.
- [15] McCollough CH, McCollough SL, Schneider JJ, et al. Dependence of Water-equivalent Diameter and Size-Specific Dose Estimates on CT Tube Potential. *Radiology*. 2022;303(2):404-411. doi:10.1148/radiol.210860
- [16] Moore BM, Brady SL, Mirro AE, Kaufman RA. Size-specific dose estimate (SSDE) provides a simple method to calculate organ dose for pediatric CT examinations. *Med Phys.* 2014;41(7):071917. doi:10.1118/1.4884227
- [17] Hardy AJ, Bostani M, Kim GHJ, Cagnon CH, Zankl MA, McNitt-Gray M. Evaluating Size-Specific Dose Estimate (SSDE) as an estimate of organ doses from routine CT exams derived from Monte Carlo simulations. *Med Phys.* 2021;48(10):6160-6173. doi:10.1002/mp.15128
- [18] Cheng PM, Vachon LA, Duddalwar VA. Automated pediatric abdominal effective diameter measurements versus age-predicted body size for normalization of CT dose. *J Digit Imaging.* 2013;26(6):1151-1155. doi:10.1007/s10278-013-9623-6
- [19] Fahmi A, Anam C, Suryono S, Ali MH, Jauhari A. Correlation between age and head diameters in the paediatric patients during CT examination of the head. *Polish J Med Phys Eng.* 2019;25(4):229-235. doi:10.2478/pjmpe-2019-0030
- [20] Khan HH, Subedi S, Kumar S, Lyons H. The Pattern of CT Scan Use in the Diagnosis of Abdominal Pain in Children Presenting to the Emergency Department of a Tertiary Community



Hospital. *Cureus.* 2021;13(10):e19162. doi:10.7759/cureus.19162

- [21] Fahimi J, Herring A, Harries A, Gonzales R, Alter H. Computed tomography use among children presenting to emergency departments with abdominal pain. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(5):e1069e1075. doi:10.1542/peds.2012-0739
- [22] Anam C, Haryanto F, Widita R, et al. Automated Estimation of Patient's Size from 3D Image of Patient for Size Specific Dose Estimates (SSDE). Adv Sci Eng Med. 2015;7(10):892-896. doi:10.1166/asem.2015.1780
- [23] Kleinman PL, Strauss KJ, Zurakowski D, Buckley KS, Taylor GA. Patient size measured on CT images as a function of age at a tertiary care children's hospital. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2010;194(6):1611-1619. doi:10.2214/AJR.09.3771
- [24] McCollough CH, McCollough SL, Schneider JJ, et al. Dependence of Water-equivalent Diameter and Size-specific Dose Estimates on CT Tube Potential. *Radiology*. 2022;303(2):404-411. doi:10.1148/radiol.210860
- [25] Papadakis AE, Perisinakis K, Damilakis J. Angular on-line tube current modulation in multidetector CT examinations of children and adults: the influence of different scanning parameters on dose reduction. *Med Phys.* 2007;34(7):2864-2874. doi:10.1118/1.2747048
- [26] Schlattl H, Zankl M, Becker J, Hoeschen C. Dose conversion coefficients for paediatric CT examinations with automatic tube current modulation. *Phys Med Biol.* 2012;57(20):6309-6326. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/57/20/6309
- [27] Nightingale CM, Rudnicka AR, Owen CG, Cook DG, Whincup PH. Patterns of body size and adiposity among UK children of South Asian, black African-Caribbean and white European origin: Child Heart And health Study in England (CHASE Study). *Int J Epidemiol.* 2011;40(1):33-44. doi:10.1093/ije/dyq180

Cite this article as :

Alifa Adhika Putri, Choirul Anam, Eko Hidayanto, Riska Amilia. Ariij Naufal. "Correlation between Age and Abdominal Diameter for Estimating Size-Specific dose in Pediatric CT examination", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology (IJSRST), Online ISSN: 2395-602X, Print ISSN: 2395-6011, Volume 10 Issue 6, pp. 338-344, November-December 2023. Available at doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST52310652

Journal URL : https://ijsrst.com/IJSRST52310652