

# Deciphering the Complex Environment of Gender Barriers in Cancer Treatment

Mitushi Singh<sup>1</sup>, Sunita Gangwar<sup>2</sup>, Sandhya Punetha<sup>3</sup>, Priyesh Kumar Singh<sup>4</sup>, Sonali Gupta<sup>5</sup>, Gorika Tomar<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1,2,5</sup> Assistant Professor, BIU University (Bareilly), Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>3,4</sup>PhD Scholar, Mahatama Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University (Bareilly), Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>6</sup>Assistant Professor, Dev Bhumi Uttarakhand University (Dehradun), Uttarakhand, India

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article History:

Accepted: 05 Jan 2024

Published: 19 Jan 2024

### Publication Issue :

Volume 11, Issue 1

January-February-2024

### Page Number :

135-149

## ABSTRACT

This review explores the complex and diverse terrain of gender disparities in the field of cancer therapy. Understanding the importance of fair healthcare, this in-depth evaluation investigates the numerous elements of gender discrepancies, shining into focus their origins, expressions, and repercussions in the framework of cancer care. We weave our way through the differences in diagnosis of cancer, treatment options, and survivor experiences that people with different genders encounter, drawing on a wide range of academic papers, clinical research, and socio-cultural assessments. The impact of institutional biases, healthcare regulations, and societal norms on the continuation of these variations are examined as well in this research. It also fills in the holes in the literature and suggests directions for future study to break down barriers related to gender to make sure an improved and all-inclusive strategy for chemotherapy for cancer. By conducting this investigation, the study hopes to further the current conversation about advocating gender-responsive healthcare practices, which would eventually lead to better results and a higher standard of living for patients with cancer.

**Keywords** - Cancer, Gender, Healthcare, Barriers, Inclusive strategy, Cancer treatment

## I. INTRODUCTION

Cancer treatment disparities based on gender are alarming, highlighting the need for a nuanced understanding of the factors contributing to these disparities, affecting all sexes [1]. Biological

differences between males and females, including hormonal influences and genetic predispositions, significantly impact cancer susceptibility, progression, and treatment response. Breast cancer, for example, predominantly affects females. Hormonal fluctuations complicate treatment approaches [2].

Sociocultural factors, including cultural norms and expectations, significantly influence health-seeking behaviours and treatment decisions, particularly in cancer. Stigma and gender-specific roles can deter timely intervention and negatively impact health outcomes [3]. Gender-based treatment disparities are influenced by factors like access to healthcare, communication styles, shared decision-making dynamics, and societal expectations. These factors can lead to delayed diagnosis, reduced intervention efficacy, and disparate outcomes between genders, necessitating tailored interventions [4].

Cancer's psychosocial impacts exacerbate gender-related treatment disparities, necessitating a comprehensive approach considering unique challenges for male and female patients. Systemic challenges in healthcare, including institutional policies, clinical trial participation, and research funding distribution, further perpetuate these disparities [5].

### **Importance of grasping gender-specific challenges in cancer therapy**

1. **Enhancing Therapeutic Outcomes-** Gender-specific cancer treatment is essential for improving efficacy, as biological differences like hormonal and genetic variations can affect patient responses to therapies [6,7,8].
2. **Improving Access to Reliable and Efficient Healthcare-** The study highlights the importance of addressing gender-specific barriers to improve access to quality cancer care, highlighting the influence of socioeconomic factors, cultural norms, and healthcare-seeking behaviours on the timing and quality of care [9,10,11].
3. **Promoting Knowledge-Based Decision Making-** Understanding gender-specific factors in treatment decisions is crucial for informed patient and healthcare provider decision-making. Tailoring communication and shared decision-making processes can address unique needs, preferences, and concerns [12,13,14].
4. **Equity in Healthcare Access-** Encouraging equality in medical care can be facilitated by identifying and comprehending gender-specific constraints. Healthcare professionals and legislators can create focused interventions to guarantee that every patient have equal access to prompt and high-quality cancer care by acknowledging the difficulties faced by people of different genders [15,16,17].
5. **Reducing Diagnostic Delays-** The effectiveness of cancer treatment depends on a timely diagnosis. Dismantling gender-specific barriers facilitates the identification of issues such as cultural norms, stigma, and societal expectations that lead to delays in getting medical assistance. By addressing these problems, early detection obstacles can be lowered, resulting in early diagnosis and action [18,19,20].
6. **Enhancing Patient Involvement and Compliance-** Barriers based on a patient's gender may affect their participation in therapy and their adherence to it. For example, attempts to improve patient interaction and involvement can be informed by a recognition of how cultural norms or society expectations influence treatment decisions. This, in turn, contributes to better treatment adherence and improved overall outcomes [21,22,23].
7. **Advancing Preventive Strategies and Public Health-** Comprehending barriers that are specific to gender plays a role in crafting focused public health campaigns and preventive measures. Healthcare organisations can reduce the incidence of cancer by addressing the barriers that prevent people from getting preventive screenings or changing to healthier lifestyles. These interventions can be specifically designed to address gender-related issues [24,25,26].

### **Biological Aspects: Distinctions Between Genders in The Rate, Course, And Outcomes of Cancer Treatment**

Numerous studies have examined the impact of biological variables on cancer incidence, development, and treatment outcomes. These studies have shown interesting gender-specific distinctions that add to the intricacy of cancer management. These differences result from the complex interaction of sex hormones, genetics, and the fundamental physiology of different forms of cancer [27].

- 1) **Impacts of Hormones-** Hormones are essential for the initiation and spread of several malignancies. For instance, oestrogen has been connected to the emergence of diseases that primarily afflict women: ovarian and breast cancer. On the other hand, a higher incidence of cancer of the prostate in men has been linked to testosterone. Each gender's hormonal milieu produces a distinct biological environment that affects tumour behaviour in addition to cancer risk [28,29,30].
- 2) **Predispositions Generic-** The gender differences in cancer that have been reported are largely due to genetic factors. One gender may be more susceptible to some malignancies than the other due to gene mutations and variances. For example, mutations in the genes responsible for BRCA1 and BRCA2 are linked to a higher risk of cancers of the ovaries and breasts in women. Gender-specific cancer risk factors, including men's testicular cancer, are also influenced by genetic variations [31,32,33].
- 3) **Pharmacology of Cancer and Sexual Hormones-** Sex hormones affect the biology of tumour and how well they respond to treatment in addition to their function in the formation of cancer. Hormone therapies target the hormone receptors that exist in cancer cells, such as the oestrogen receptors in breast cancer. Modifying treatment options requires an understanding of the molecular nuances of these interactions. Furthermore, the menstrual cycle including

menopause's hormonal variations can have an impact on the effectiveness of treatments and their side effect profiles [34,35,36].

- 4) **Variations in Immunology-** Recent data indicates that disparities in gender also exist in the immune system's ability to combat cancer. The ability of males and females' immune systems to identify and eradicate cancer cells may differ. This difference may have an impact on immunotherapies' efficacy, a fast-developing area of cancer treatment. It could be beneficial to investigate the immunological quirks unique to each gender to improve immunotherapy approaches [37,38,39].
- 5) **Types of Cancer with Differences in Gender-** Given that some malignancies, such those of the breast, ovaries, prostate, and testicles, have unique biological characteristics, gender bias must be taken into consideration when developing focused and successful treatment strategies [40,41,42].

### **Sociocultural Aspects: Moulding Views, Convictions, and Actions in Cancer Treatment**

Sociocultural factors have a significant impact on cancer care by influencing people's attitudes, beliefs, and behaviours around getting and receiving treatment. Gender-based differences in health outcomes are partly caused by societal norms, stigma, including gender roles, all of which have a significant impact on how cancer is experienced [43,44,45].

- 1) **Traditions and Fear in Society-** Society's norms and expectations about health-seeking behaviours can have a substantial impact on when people seek medical assistance. Cancer stigma, which is frequently brought on by false beliefs and anxiety, can cause delays in diagnosis and the start of therapy. Male and female malignancies are regarded significantly due to gender-specific expectations as well as preconceptions, which can impact how people interact with medical professionals and navigate their diagnosis [46,47,48].

- 2) **Roles of Gender in Health-Seeking Practices-** Traditional gender roles can influence how individuals perceive and respond to symptoms of illness. Societal expectations regarding masculinity and femininity may lead to disparities in health-seeking behaviours. Men, for example, might be less inclined to seek medical help due to the perception of stoicism and self-reliance associated with traditional masculinity. Addressing these gender-specific norms is essential for promoting early detection and timely intervention [49,50,51].
- 3) **Delayed Recognition and Commencement of Treatment-** The delayed diagnosis and commencement of cancer therapy is influenced by socio-cultural factors, which can influence treatment outcomes and survival rates. Cultural habits and attitudes, such as a preference for alternative treatment or mistrust of traditional healthcare, might cause a delay in receiving medical care. Reducing gender-specific differences in cancer care requires an understanding of and attention to these aspects [52,53,54].
- 4) **Inequalities in Cancer Education and Awareness-** Differences in knowledge about health and preventive behaviours across genders have been influenced by variations in cancer knowledge and educational programmes. With focused awareness campaigns, women, for example, might be more aware of the importance of screening for cervical and breast cancer. Men, however, might not be as conscious of the need for prostate cancer screenings. To address these differences, gender-specific and culturally relevant educational activities are needed to raise awareness and encourage preventive behaviour [55,56,57].
- 5) **Cultural Competence in the Provision of Healthcare-** Overcoming socio-cultural hurdles in healthcare delivery requires cultural competence. Healthcare professionals must be

cognizant of and considerate of the various cultural backgrounds that their patients come from. To improve patient involvement and trust, communication tactics and treatment plans should be customised to patients' cultural traditions and beliefs. This will ultimately lead to better cancer care outcomes [58,59,60].

- 6) **Peer and Community Influence-** Health-related decisions are heavily influenced by the opinions of peers and the community. The willingness of people to seek medical attention and follow treatment programmes can be positively impacted by support from friends, family, and community networks. On the other hand, social norms that forbid candid conversations about cancer can exacerbate feelings of loneliness and obstruct the development of support systems [61,62,63].

#### **Factors Affecting the Healthcare System: Dissecting Gender Differences in Cancer Care**

Gender disparities in cancer diagnosis, treatment, and screening are largely caused by inequalities in the healthcare system. The experiences of people seeking cancer care are shaped by the intricate interactions among various elements within the system of healthcare, from resource allocation to decision-making procedures [64,65,66].

- 1) **Unequal Resource Distribution-** Unequal access to healthcare due to socioeconomic and geographic inequities is a major contributing factor to gender differences in cancer treatment. Access to cutting-edge facilities, specialised medical staff, and cutting-edge technology may vary by geography or socioeconomic status. This imbalance can lead to delayed diagnoses and fewer treatment options, lowering the overall standard of cancer care [67,68,69].
- 2) **Gender Bias in Healthcare Decision Making-** Gender bias in healthcare decisions is a widespread problem that can influence both the diagnosis and the therapy options available to patients. According to research, women, in

specific, may struggle to get their symptoms recognised seriously, resulting in delays or misdiagnoses. Furthermore, gender stereotypes could affect therapy recommendations, perhaps altering the confrontational nature of therapeutic interventions including the consideration of non-traditional treatments [70,71,72].

- 3) **Insufficient Female Participation in Clinical Trials-** The underrepresentation of women in clinical trials poses a significant challenge in understanding the gender-specific effectiveness and side effects of cancer treatments. Historically, clinical trials have included disproportionately fewer women, leading to gaps in evidence-based medicine tailored to female physiology. This limitation can result in suboptimal treatment recommendations for women, as their responses to therapies may differ from those observed in predominantly male study populations [73,74,75].
- 4) **Cancer screening programmes are accessible-** Gender gaps also affect access to cancer surveillance programmes, including discrepancies in the accessibility and use of screenings for cervical, breast, and prostate cancer. Screening participation rates can be influenced by socioeconomic status, cultural variations, and healthcare policies. Initiatives to promote the accessibility as well as understanding of testing programmes are critical for early detection along with intervention [76,77,78].
- 5) **Healthcare Policy and System-Level Interventions-** Addressing gender inequities in cancer care involves a systematic approach that includes the creation and carrying out of healthcare policies as well as interventions. Promoting for policies that prioritise gender equity in the distribution of resources, research funding, including clinical trial recruitment can help create a more inclusive healthcare environment. System-level initiatives, such as educational programmes to promote awareness of gender-specific health issues among healthcare

personnel, are critical for reducing bias along with improving patient outcomes [79,80,81].

- 6) **Collaborations across disciplines-** Breaking down divisions between healthcare specialisations through cross-disciplinary partnerships is critical for providing comprehensive cancer care. A multidisciplinary approach guarantees that every aspect of an individual's experience, including gender differences, are considered. Collaborations among cancer specialists, health care providers, psychologists, as well as social workers can improve the overall care of patients with cancer [82,83,84].

### **Psychological And Emotional Effect: Managing Distinct Genders in The Cancer Journey**

The battle with cancer is not just physical, but also emotional and psychological, and it varies by gender. Cancer's psychological and emotional toll has an impact on ways to cope, treatment adherence, and general well-being, emphasising the significance of tailoring patient-centered treatments to the individual needs of both male and female individuals with cancer [85,86,87].

- 1) **Strategies for coping-** Men and women have quite different psychological responses to cancer diagnoses. Gender-specific social norms and standards may create coping techniques, influencing how individuals deal with the emotional problems of cancer. Men may feel pressured to conform to traditional conceptions of masculinity, which can lead to an inclination to internalise feelings or use problem-solving techniques. Women, on the other hand, may choose to express themselves mentally and to seek social support. Understanding these variances is critical for delivering individualised psychological support [88,89,90].
- 2) **Drug Compliance-** The psychological as well as emotional consequences of cancer can influence compliance with treatment. Gender-specific factors, including perceived social roles, tasks, and societal expectations, may contribute to

deviations in the way people approach therapies. Men, impacted by accepted standards of optimism, may be less likely to seek support from others or express issues, which could influence their compliance to treatment plans [91,92].

- 3) **Quality of Health and Wellbeing-** Cancer has a negative impact on both physical and mental wellbeing. Cancer's emotional burden, which includes worry, despair, along with existential concerns, can have an influence on the quality of life for men and women alike. Understanding the gender-specific characteristics of emotional distress enables healthcare providers to deliver focused supportive care. Psychosocial therapies, counselling services, as well as assistance groups can help cancer patients feel better overall [93].
- 4) **Effects on Social Support and Relationships-** Cancer's psychological and emotional issues extend beyond the individual to include relationships including social support networks. Communication methods, carer dynamics, and standards of support may differ between genders. Recognising and resolving these differences is critical for building good communication as well as maintaining supportive relationships, both of which play an important part in dealing with the emotional effects of cancer [94,95,96].
- 5) **Philosophy of Existence and Interpretation-** A cancer diagnosis frequently inspires people to consider existential questions and the significance of life. These existential struggles can appear differently in men and women. Interventions that explore or tackle gender-specific existential problems can help to create a more comprehensive strategy to cancer care, allowing patients to have a sense of meaning and purpose despite the hardships [97,98,99].
- 6) **Patient-Centred Approaches-** Recognising and managing the psychological along with emotional burden of cancer utilising patient-centered treatments is critical. Tailoring psychosocial support offerings to meet gender requirements

ensures that people receive comprehensive care that addresses not just the medical components of the condition, but also its emotional and psychological characteristics. Integrating mental health specialists into cancer care teams promotes an integrated approach regarding patient wellbeing [100,101,102].

### Future Prospectives

#### 1) Understanding Gender Differences in Cancer Biology

- Research Plans- Future research should look more deeply at the genetic variations among male and female malignancies. This include studying the hormonal, genetic, along with molecular variables that influence cancer formation, progression, as well as response to treatment [103,104].
- Personalised medicine- Developing personalised and gender-specific treatment options based on the unique genetic makeup of male and female malignancies is critical. This could include customising medicines to target certain pathways or making hormone-based therapy more effective [105,106].

#### 2) Addressing Sociocultural Barriers

- Cultural competency in healthcare- Efforts should be focused on enhancing cultural awareness among healthcare providers. This includes recognising and resolving cultural beliefs, attitudes, and behaviours that might contribute to gender inequities in cancer treatment [107,108].
- Educational Programmes- Public awareness initiatives and educational programmes can assist to dispel prejudices and myths about gender and cancer, resulting in a more informed and understanding community [109,110].

#### 3) Promoting Gender Equality in Clinical Research

- Multidisciplinary Clinical Trials- It is critical that clinical studies involve a varied range of participants. Women have rarely been included in clinical research, leaving gaps in our

understanding of how therapies affect different genders [111,112].

- Data Disaggregated by Sex- In clinical studies, researchers should gather and report data separately for men and women. This enables a more sophisticated examination of treatment outcomes as well as side effects according to gender [113,114].

#### 4) Healthcare Policy for Gender Equity

- Reforming policies- Advocacy for legislation changes that require equal opportunities for women in healthcare, especially cancer treatment methods, should be a top focus. Policies should be devised to ensure that every person, regardless of gender, has equitable access to excellent and timely cancer care [115,116].
- Coverage for insurance- To promote fairness in healthcare access, health insurance coverage must include gender-specific cancer tests, treatments, and follow-up care [117,118].

#### 5) Interdisciplinary Interaction and Patient Advocacy

- Collaboration in Research Teams- Bringing together experts from many fields, such as cancer treatment, genetics, psychology, and sociology, can provide a more comprehensive knowledge of gender differences in cancer care. Interdisciplinary partnerships can produce novel solutions and more thorough interventions [119,120].
- Strengthening Patient Advocacy Groups- Engaging patient advocacy organisations in the battle for gender parity in cancer care has the potential to amplify the opinions of those affected. These organisations can play an important role in increasing awareness, influencing policies, as well as helping those navigating the healthcare system [121,122].

## II. CONCLUSION

Cancer affects all genders, but treatment outcomes vary. Addressing biological, sociocultural, access-related, psychological, and systemic factors is crucial

to bridge gender-based gaps in cancer treatment. Collaboration between healthcare, policymakers, and society is essential. The biological variables influencing gender-specific variations in cancer incidence, development, and response to treatment underscore the necessity of individualised and gender-specific cancer care strategies. Understanding the complex interactions among immunological responses, hormones, and genetics lays the groundwork for improving the efficacy of chemotherapy for both sexes and for precision medicine to advance.

Sociocultural variables have a significant impact on the cancer care process by influencing attitudes, practices, and behaviours surrounding seeking medical attention. To create an equal and welcoming healthcare environment and, eventually, lessen gender-specific differences in cancer outcomes, it is imperative to acknowledge and address cultural norms, stigma, along with gender roles. Encouraging culturally competent care along with targeted training programmes can help advance cancer prevention and treatment in a more knowledgeable and proactive manner.

Addressing gender inequities in cancer care requires a comprehensive review of healthcare system determinants. Systemic actions, such as resource distribution and clinical trial participation, are required to establish a fairer environment. We may endeavour to close gender disparities in cancer care outcomes by enacting regulations that encourage gender-sensitive healthcare and encouraging collaboration among healthcare professionals.

The gender-specific emotional and psychological impacts of cancer is critical for providing efficient, patient-centred care. Recognising the different coping methods, treatment adherence trends, and emotional requirements of male and female individuals with cancer allows healthcare providers to provide a supportive and empathic atmosphere that improves their general health through the cancer journey.

The future of bridging gender differences in cancer treatment demands a collaborative effort by researchers, healthcare professionals, governments, and society. By addressing genetic variations, socio-cultural barriers, and institutional disadvantages, we may work towards a more equitable and welcoming cancer care system that benefits people of all genders.

### III. REFERENCES

- [1]. Oliver JS, Martin MY, Richardson L, Kim Y, Pisu M. Gender differences in colon cancer treatment. *Journal of Women's Health*. 2013 Apr 1;22(4):344-51.
- [2]. Platz EA, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Kantoff PW, Giovannucci E. Racial variation in prostate cancer incidence and in hormonal system markers among male health professionals. *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2000 Dec 20;92(24):2009-17.
- [3]. Celie KB, Jackson C, Agrawal S, Dodhia C, Guzman C, Kaufman T, Hellenthal N, Monie D, Monzon J, Ocegüera L. Socioeconomic and gender disparities in anal cancer diagnosis and treatment. *Surgical oncology*. 2017 Jun 1;26(2):212-7.
- [4]. Zonderman AB, Ejiogu N, Norbeck J, Evans MK. The influence of health disparities on targeting cancer prevention efforts. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2014 Mar 1;46(3):S87-97.
- [5]. Perz J, Ussher JM, Butow P, Wain G. Gender differences in cancer carer psychological distress: an analysis of moderators and mediators. *European journal of cancer care*. 2011 Sep;20(5):610-9.
- [6]. Irelli A, Sirufo MM, D'Ugo C, Ginaldi L, De Martinis M. Sex and gender influences on cancer immunotherapy response. *Biomedicines*. 2020 Jul 21;8(7):232.
- [7]. Legato M, editor. *Principles of Gender-Specific Medicine: Sex and Gender-Specific Biology in the Postgenomic Era*. Academic Press; 2023 Apr 3.
- [8]. Saunders S, Hammond C, Thomas R. Exploring gender-related experiences of cancer survivors through creative arts: A scoping review. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2019 Jan;29(1):135-48.
- [9]. van Wijk CM, Van Vliet KP, Kolk AM. Gender perspectives and quality of care: towards appropriate and adequate health care for women. *Social Science & Medicine*. 1996 Sep 1;43(5):707-20.
- [10]. Rana RH, Alam F, Alam K, Gow J. Gender-specific differences in care-seeking behaviour among lung cancer patients: a systematic review. *Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology*. 2020 May;146:1169-96.
- [11]. Lunenfeld B. The ageing male: demographics and challenges. *World journal of urology*. 2002 May;20(1):11-6.
- [12]. Peterson VS. *A critical rewriting of global political economy: Integrating reproductive, productive and virtual economies*. Routledge; 2004 Mar 1.
- [13]. Porroche-Escudero A. *Listening to women: political narratives of breast cancer in Spain* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sussex).
- [14]. Cutter MA. *Thinking Through Breast Cancer: A Philosophical Exploration of Diagnosis, Treatment, and Survival*. Oxford University Press; 2018 Mar 6.
- [15]. Dhatt R, Theobald S, Buzuzi S, Ros B, Vong S, Muraya K, Molyneux S, Hawkins K, González-Beiras C, Ronsin K, Lichtenstein D. The role of women's leadership and gender equity in leadership and health system strengthening. *Global Health, Epidemiology and Genomics*. 2017;2:e8.
- [16]. Torres-Estrada AM. *Perceived Patient-Provider Communication Quality (PPPCQ), Health Information Seeking Challenges*



- (HISC), and the Intersection of Social Identities across the Cancer Care Continuum.
- [17]. Zimmermann K, Khare MM, Wright C, Hasler A, Kerch S, Moehring P, Geller S. Application of a gender-based approach to conducting a community health assessment for rural women in Southern Illinois. *Evaluation and Program Planning*. 2015 Aug 1;51:27-34.
- [18]. Miller AJ. *Gender disparities in diagnosis and pain management*. Temple University; 2018.
- [19]. Baiu I, Titan AL, Martin LW, Wolf A, Backhus L. The role of gender in non-small cell lung cancer: a narrative review. *Journal of thoracic disease*. 2021 Jun;13(6):3816.
- [20]. Cardinali P, Migliorini L, Rania N. The caregiving experiences of fathers and mothers of children with rare diseases in Italy: Challenges and social support perceptions. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2019 Aug 5;10:1780.
- [21]. Rico JE. A seminar on the dynamics, prevention, and legal implications of clergy sexual misconduct.
- [22]. Doak M, Fish L, Thiemann C. *Report of the Task Force on Compassionate Care for Victims of Clergy Sexual Misconduct*.
- [23]. Reid KD. *Interventions to Address Victims of Clergy Sexual Misconduct*. AuthorHouse; 2019 Mar 15.
- [24]. Kulbok PA, Baldwin JH. From preventive health behavior to health promotion: Advancing a positive construct of health. *Advances in Nursing Science*. 1992 Jun 1;14(4):50-64.
- [25]. Smith JL, Hall IJ. Advancing health equity in cancer survivorship: opportunities for public health. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2015 Dec 1;49(6):S477-82.
- [26]. Baheiraei A, Mirghafourvand M, Mohammadi E, Nedjat S, Charandabi SM, Rajabi F, Majdzadeh R. Health-promoting behaviors and social support of women of reproductive age, and strategies for advancing their health: Protocol for a mixed methods study. *BMC public health*. 2011 Dec;11(1):1-5.
- [27]. Dobruch J, Daneshmand S, Fisch M, Lotan Y, Noon AP, Resnick MJ, Shariat SF, Zlotta AR, Boorjian SA. Gender and bladder cancer: a collaborative review of etiology, biology, and outcomes. *European urology*. 2016 Feb 1;69(2):300-10.
- [28]. Gebhard C, Regitz-Zagrosek V, Neuhauser HK, Morgan R, Klein SL. Impact of sex and gender on COVID-19 outcomes in Europe. *Biology of sex differences*. 2020 Dec;11:1-3.
- [29]. Fajkovic H, Halpern JA, Cha EK, Bahadori A, Chromecki TF, Karakiewicz PI, Breinl E, Merseburger AS, Shariat SF. Impact of gender on bladder cancer incidence, staging, and prognosis. *World journal of urology*. 2011 Aug;29:457-63.
- [30]. Payne S. 'Smoke like a man, die like a man?': a review of the relationship between gender, sex and lung cancer. *Social science & medicine*. 2001 Oct 1;53(8):1067-80.
- [31]. Borchers AT, Gershwin ME. Sociological differences between women and men: implications for autoimmunity. *Autoimmunity reviews*. 2012 May 1;11(6-7):A413-21.
- [32]. Lipshultz SE, Adams MJ, Colan SD, Constine LS, Herman EH, Hsu DT, Hudson MM, Kremer LC, Landy DC, Miller TL, Oeffinger KC. Long-term cardiovascular toxicity in children, adolescents, and young adults who receive cancer therapy: pathophysiology, course, monitoring, management, prevention, and research directions: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2013 Oct 22;128(17):1927-95.
- [33]. Zisman AL, Nickolov A, Brand RE, Gorchow A, Roy HK. Associations between the age at diagnosis and location of colorectal cancer and the use of alcohol and tobacco: implications

- for screening. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2006 Mar 27;166(6):629-34.
- [34]. Wagner AD, Oertelt-Prigione S, Adjei A, Buclin T, Cristina V, Csajka C, Coukos G, Dafni U, Dotto GP, Ducreux M, Fellay J. Gender medicine and oncology: report and consensus of an ESMO workshop. *Annals of Oncology*. 2019 Dec 1;30(12):1914-24.
- [35]. Klein SL, Morgan R. The impact of sex and gender on immunotherapy outcomes. *Biology of sex differences*. 2020 Dec;11(1):1-0.
- [36]. Regitz-Zagrosek V, editor. *Sex and gender differences in pharmacology*. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012 Oct 2.
- [37]. Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. *Nature Reviews Immunology*. 2016 Oct;16(10):626-38.
- [38]. Flanagan KL, Fink AL, Plebanski M, Klein SL. Sex and gender differences in the outcomes of vaccination over the life course. *Annual review of cell and developmental biology*. 2017 Oct 6;33:577-99.
- [39]. Scully EP, Haverfield J, Ursin RL, Tannenbaum C, Klein SL. Considering how biological sex impacts immune responses and COVID-19 outcomes. *Nature Reviews Immunology*. 2020 Jul;20(7):442-7.
- [40]. Shaaban AM, Ball GR, Brannan RA, Cserni G, Benedetto AD, Dent J, Fulford L, Honarpisheh H, Jordan L, Jones JL, Kanthan R. A comparative biomarker study of 514 matched cases of male and female breast cancer reveals gender-specific biological differences. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2012 Jun;133:949-58.
- [41]. Dobruch J, Daneshmand S, Fisch M, Lotan Y, Noon AP, Resnick MJ, Shariat SF, Zlotta AR, Boorjian SA. Gender and bladder cancer: a collaborative review of etiology, biology, and outcomes. *European urology*. 2016 Feb 1;69(2):300-10.
- [42]. Micheli A, Mariotto A, Rossi AG, Gatta G, Muti P, EURO CARE Working Group. The prognostic role of gender in survival of adult cancer patients. *European Journal of Cancer*. 1998 Dec 1;34(14):2271-8.
- [43]. Zeidler DL, Walker KA, Ackett WA, Simmons ML. Tangled up in views: Beliefs in the nature of science and responses to socioscientific dilemmas. *Science education*. 2002 May;86(3):343-67.
- [44]. Aquino VV, Zago MM. The meaning of religious beliefs for a group of cancer patients during rehabilitation. *Revista latino-americana de enfermagem*. 2007;15:42-7.
- [45]. Good BJ. *Medicine, rationality and experience: an anthropological perspective*. Cambridge University Press; 1993 Nov 26.
- [46]. Furedi F. *Culture of fear revisited*. A&C Black; 2006 Oct 26.
- [47]. Averill JR. *Emotion and anxiety: Sociocultural, biological, and psychological determinants*. In *Emotions and Anxiety (PLE: Emotion)* 2015 May 1 (pp. 99-142). Psychology Press.
- [48]. Finkler K. The kin in the gene: the medicalization of family and kinship in American society. *Current anthropology*. 2001 Apr;42(2):235-63.
- [49]. Nyoni C. *Socio-cultural factors and practices that impede upon behavioural change of Zimbabwean women in an era of HIV/AIDS* (Doctoral dissertation, University of South Africa).
- [50]. Kleinman A. *Patients and healers in the context of culture: An exploration of the borderland between anthropology, medicine, and psychiatry*. Univ of California Press; 1980.
- [51]. Risor MB. Illness behavior and functional somatic symptoms—rethinking the concept of illness behavior from an anthropological perspective. *Social Theory & Health*. 2006 May 1;4(2):180-201.

- [52]. Petersen A. *The body in question: A socio-cultural approach*. Routledge; 2007 Jan 24.
- [53]. Cordella M, Poiani A, Cordella M, Poiani A. *Language and the Cross-Cultural Dimension of Cancer. Behavioural Oncology: Psychological, Communicative, and Social Dimensions*. 2014:351-460.
- [54]. Tolley EE, Ulin PR, Mack N, Robinson ET, Succop SM. *Qualitative methods in public health: a field guide for applied research*. John Wiley & Sons; 2016 May 9.
- [55]. Sulik G, Zierkiewicz E. *Gender, power, and feminisms in breast cancer advocacy: Lessons from the United States and Poland*. *Journal of Gender and Power*. 2014(1):111-45.
- [56]. Redha AN. *Immigrant Arab Women: Knowledge, Beliefs and Attitudes towards Breast Cancer and Cancer Awareness Practice (Doctoral dissertation, University of Portsmouth)*.
- [57]. Indoro J. *The Experiences of Men Living With Untreated Prostate Cancer (PCa) in Papua New Guinea (Doctoral dissertation, Auckland University of Technology)*.
- [58]. Chansky MY. *Cultural competence in medicine: A framework for improving health outcomes for Indigenous and minority patients*. Prescott College; 2011.
- [59]. Eloi KE. *The perceptions of Tongan patients about western healthcare providers cultural competence in public health: A qualitative study (Doctoral dissertation, Capella University)*.
- [60]. Purtilo RB, Haddad AM, Doherty RF. *Health professional and patient interaction*. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2012 Dec 21.
- [61]. Zeidler DL, Walker KA, Ackett WA, Simmons ML. *Tangled up in views: Beliefs in the nature of science and responses to socioscientific dilemmas*. *Science education*. 2002 May;86(3):343-67.
- [62]. Saratovsky KD, Feldmann D. *Cause for change: The why and how of nonprofit millennial engagement*. John Wiley & Sons; 2013 Jan 31.
- [63]. Susanto T, Isworo TA, Rokhmah D. *Factors Influencing Sexuality, Gender and Norms of Adolescent: A Cross-Sectional Study Among Adolescent in Indonesia*. *Journal of Health Research*. 2023;37(1):15-25.
- [64]. Patel SR, Suero-Abreu GA, Ai A, Ramachandran MK, Meza K, Florez N. *Inequity in care delivery in cardio-oncology: dissecting disparities in underrepresented populations*. *Frontiers in Oncology*. 2023 Jun 9;13:1124447.
- [65]. Schmidt CE, Bestmann B, Kuchler T, Longo WE, Rohde V, Kremer B. *Gender differences in quality of life of patients with rectal cancer. A five-year prospective study*. *World journal of surgery*. 2005 Dec;29:1630-41.
- [66]. Peintinger F, Reitsamer R, Stranzl H, Ralph G. *Comparison of quality of life and arm complaints after axillary lymph node dissection vs sentinel lymph node biopsy in breast cancer patients*. *British journal of cancer*. 2003 Aug;89(4):648-52.
- [67]. Obermeyer Z, Powers B, Vogeli C, Mullainathan S. *Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations*. *Science*. 2019 Oct 25;366(6464):447-53.
- [68]. Papageorge MV, Evans DB, Tseng JF. *Health care disparities and the future of pancreatic cancer care*. *Surgical Oncology Clinics*. 2021 Oct 1;30(4):759-71.
- [69]. García GG, Iyengar A, Kaze F, Kierans C, Padilla-Altamira C, Luyckx VA. *Sex and gender differences in chronic kidney disease and access to care around the globe*. *In Seminars in nephrology* 2022 Mar 1 (Vol. 42, No. 2, pp. 101-113). WB Saunders.

- [70]. Ankem K. Factors influencing information needs among cancer patients: A meta-analysis. *Library & information science research*. 2006 Mar 1;28(1):7-23.
- [71]. Siegrist T, Savage C, Shabsigh A, Cronin A, Donat SM. Analysis of gender differences in early perioperative complications following radical cystectomy at a tertiary cancer center using a standardized reporting methodology. *InUrologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations* 2010 Jan 1 (Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 112-117). Elsevier.
- [72]. Mandelblatt J, Figueiredo M, Cullen J. Outcomes and quality of life following breast cancer treatment in older women: when, why, how much, and what do women want?. *Health and quality of Life Outcomes*. 2003 Dec;1:1-1.
- [73]. McCarthy EP, Ngo LH, Roetzheim RG, Chirikos TN, Li D, Drews RE, Iezzoni LI. Disparities in breast cancer treatment and survival for women with disabilities. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2006 Nov 7;145(9):637-45.
- [74]. DeSantis CE, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, Siegel RL, Stein KD, Kramer JL, Alteri R, Robbins AS, Jemal A. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2014. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2014 Jul;64(4):252-71.
- [75]. Newman LA, Hurd T, Leitch M, Kuerer HM, Diehl K, Lucci A, Giuliano A, Hunt KK, Putnam W, Wells SA. A report on accrual rates for elderly and minority-ethnicity cancer patients to clinical trials of the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2004 Oct 1;199(4):644-51.
- [76]. Machens A, Dralle H. Prognostic impact of N staging in 715 medullary thyroid cancer patients: proposal for a revised staging system. *Annals of surgery*. 2013 Feb 1;257(2):323-9.
- [77]. DeSantis CE, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, Siegel RL, Stein KD, Kramer JL, Alteri R, Robbins AS, Jemal A. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2014. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2014 Jul;64(4):252-71.
- [78]. Enger SM, Thwin SS, Buist DS, Field T, Frost F, Geiger AM, Lash TL, Prout M, Yood MU, Wei F, Silliman RA. Breast cancer treatment among older women in integrated health care settings. *Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2006 Sep 9;24(27):4377.
- [79]. Miller KD, Nogueira L, Mariotto AB, Rowland JH, Yabroff KR, Alfano CM, Jemal A, Kramer JL, Siegel RL. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2019. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2019 Sep;69(5):363-85.
- [80]. Obermeyer Z, Powers B, Vogeli C, Mullainathan S. Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science*. 2019 Oct 25;366(6464):447-53.
- [81]. Ankem K. Factors influencing information needs among cancer patients: A meta-analysis. *Library & information science research*. 2006 Mar 1;28(1):7-23.
- [82]. Allum W, Lordick F, Alsina M, Andritsch E, Ba-Ssalamah A, Beishon M, Braga M, Caballero C, Carneiro F, Cassinello F, Dekker JW. ECCO essential requirements for quality cancer care: Oesophageal and gastric cancer. *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology*. 2018 Feb 1;122:179-93.
- [83]. Stalburg CM, Stein TA. An interdisciplinary course in women's health integrating basic and clinical sciences: clinical anatomy and women's health. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2002 Sep 1;187(3):S49-52.
- [84]. Bandini M, Ahmed M, Basile G, Watkin N, Master V, Zhu Y, Prakash G, Rodriguez A, Ssebakumba MK, Leni R, Cirulli GO. A global

- approach to improving penile cancer care. *Nature Reviews Urology*. 2022 Apr;19(4):231-9.
- [85]. Hagedoorn M, Sanderman R, Bolks HN, Tuinstra J, Coyne JC. Distress in couples coping with cancer: a meta-analysis and critical review of role and gender effects. *Psychological bulletin*. 2008 Jan;134(1):1.
- [86]. Stenberg U, Ruland CM, Miaskowski C. Review of the literature on the effects of caring for a patient with cancer. *Psycho-oncology*. 2010 Oct;19(10):1013-25.
- [87]. Thomas C, Morris SM, Harman JC. Companions through cancer:: the care given by informal carers in cancer contexts. *Social science & medicine*. 2002 Feb 1;54(4):529-44.
- [88]. Legg MJ. What is psychosocial care and how can nurses better provide it to adult oncology patients. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing, The*. 2011 Mar;28(3):61-7.
- [89]. Lashbrook MP, Valery PC, Knott V, Kirshbaum MN, Bernardes CM. Coping strategies used by breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer survivors: a literature review. *Cancer nursing*. 2018 Sep 1;41(5):E23-39.
- [90]. Der Molem V. Relating information needs to the cancer experience: 1. Information as a key coping strategy. *European Journal of Cancer Care*. 1999 Dec;8(4):238-44.
- [91]. Butow P, Price MA, Shaw JM, Turner J, Clayton JM, Grimison P, Rankin N, Kirsten L. Clinical pathway for the screening, assessment and management of anxiety and depression in adult cancer patients: Australian guidelines. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2015 Sep;24(9):987-1001.
- [92]. Sabry WM, Vohra A. Role of Islam in the management of psychiatric disorders. *Indian journal of psychiatry*. 2013 Jan 1;55(Suppl 2):S205-14.
- [93]. Berry D. EBOOK: Risk, Communication and Health Psychology. McGraw-Hill Education (UK); 2004 May 16.
- [94]. Arora NK, Finney Rutten LJ, Gustafson DH, Moser R, Hawkins RP. Perceived helpfulness and impact of social support provided by family, friends, and health care providers to women newly diagnosed with breast cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2007 May;16(5):474-86.
- [95]. Bloom JR, Stewart SL, Johnston M, Banks P, Fobair P. Sources of support and the physical and mental well-being of young women with breast cancer. *Social science & medicine*. 2001 Dec 1;53(11):1513-24.
- [96]. Parker PA, Baile WF, Moor CD, Cohen L. Psychosocial and demographic predictors of quality of life in a large sample of cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological, Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer*. 2003 Mar;12(2):183-93.
- [97]. Seale C. Cancer heroics: a study of news reports with particular reference to gender. *Sociology*. 2002 Feb;36(1):107-26.
- [98]. Li QP, Mak YW, Loke AY. Spouses' experience of caregiving for cancer patients: a literature review. *International nursing review*. 2013 Jun;60(2):178-87.
- [99]. Greenstein M, Breitbart W. Cancer and the experience of meaning: A group psychotherapy program for people with cancer. *American journal of psychotherapy*. 2000 Oct;54(4):486-500.
- [100]. Van Ee B, Hagedoorn M, Smits C, Kamper A, Honkoop A, Slaets J. Gender differences in older persons' experiences with cancer and cancer care: A position paper. *THE ART OF LIVING WITH CANCER AT AN ADVANCED AGE*. 2021:161.
- [101]. Gorod A, Hallo L, Merchant S. Governance of patient-centred care: A systemic approach to cancer treatment. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*. 2021 Mar;38(2):257-71.

- [102]. Dowsett SM, Saul JL, Butow PN, Dunn SM, Boyer MJ, Findlow R, Dunsmore J. Communication styles in the cancer consultation: preferences for a patient-centred approach. *Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological, Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer*. 2000 Mar;9(2):147-56.
- [103]. Rodin J, Ickovics JR. Women's health: Review and research agenda as we approach the 21st century. *American psychologist*. 1990 Sep;45(9):1018.
- [104]. Maas AH, van der Schouw YT, Regitz-Zagrosek V, Swahn E, Appelman YE, Pasterkamp G, Ten Cate H, Nilsson PM, Huisman MV, Stam HC, Eizema K. Red alert for women's heart: the urgent need for more research and knowledge on cardiovascular disease in women: proceedings of the workshop held in Brussels on gender differences in cardiovascular disease, 29 September 2010. *European heart journal*. 2011 Jun 1;32(11):1362-8.
- [105]. Weitzel JN, Blazer KR, MacDonald DJ, Culver JO, Offit K. Genetics, genomics, and cancer risk assessment: state of the art and future directions in the era of personalized medicine. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2011 Sep;61(5):327-59.
- [106]. Mishra PJ, Bertino JR. MicroRNA polymorphisms: the future of pharmacogenomics, molecular epidemiology and individualized medicine.
- [107]. Brach C, Fraserirector I. Can cultural competency reduce racial and ethnic health disparities? A review and conceptual model. *Medical care research and review*. 2000 Nov;57(1\_suppl):181-217.
- [108]. Betancourt JR, Green AR, Carrillo JE, Owusu Ananeh-Firempong II. Defining cultural competence: a practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. *Public health reports*. 2016 Nov 15.
- [109]. Wells G, Claxton G, editors. *Learning for life in the 21st century: Sociocultural perspectives on the future of education*. John Wiley & Sons; 2008 Apr 15.
- [110]. Bain LE, Awah PK, Geraldine N, Kindong NP, Siga Y, Bernard N, Tanjeko AT. Malnutrition in Sub-Saharan Africa: burden, causes and prospects. *Pan African Medical Journal*. 2013;15(1).
- [111]. Polite BN, Adams-Campbell LL, Brawley OW, Bickell N, Carethers JM, Flowers CR, Foti M, Gomez SL, Griggs JJ, Lathan CS, Li CI. Charting the future of cancer health disparities research: a position statement from the American Association for Cancer Research, the American Cancer Society, the American Society of Clinical Oncology, and the National Cancer Institute. *Cancer Research*. 2017 Sep 1;77(17):4548-55.
- [112]. Zhang W, Doherty M, Arden N, Bannwarth B, Bijlsma J, Gunther KP, Hauselmann HJ, Herrero-Beaumont G, Jordan K, Kaklamanis P, Leeb B. EULAR evidence based recommendations for the management of hip osteoarthritis-report of a task force of the EULAR standing committee for international clinical studies including therapeutics (ESCISIT). *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*. 2004 Oct 7.
- [113]. Azcona G, Bhatt A, Encarnacion J, Plazaola-Castaño J, Seck P, Staab S, Turquet L. From insights to action: Gender equality in the wake of COVID-19. *United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women)*; 2020.
- [114]. Heidari S, Babor TF, De Castro P, Tort S, Curno M. Sex and gender equity in research: rationale for the SAGER guidelines and recommended use. *Research integrity and peer review*. 2016 Dec;1(1):1-9.

- [115]. Sen G, George A, Ostlin P, Ramos S. Unequal, Unfair, Ineffective and Inefficient Gender Inequity in Health: Why it exists and how we can change it.
- [116]. Rubery J. Austerity and the future for gender equality in Europe. *ILR Review*. 2015 Aug;68(4):715-41.
- [117]. Moss NE. Gender equity and socioeconomic inequality: a framework for the patterning of women's health. *Social science & medicine*. 2002 Mar 1;54(5):649-61.
- [118]. Castro MC, Massuda A, Almeida G, Menezes-Filho NA, Andrade MV, de Souza Noronha KV, Rocha R, Macinko J, Hone T, Tasca R, Giovanella L. Brazil's unified health system: the first 30 years and prospects for the future. *The lancet*. 2019 Jul 27;394(10195):345-56.
- [119]. Aboelela SW, Larson E, Bakken S, Carrasquillo O, Formicola A, Glied SA, Haas J, Gebbie KM. Defining interdisciplinary research: Conclusions from a critical review of the literature. *Health services research*. 2007 Feb;42(1p1):329-46.
- [120]. Hall KL, Feng AX, Moser RP, Stokols D, Taylor BK. Moving the science of team science forward: collaboration and creativity. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2008 Aug 1;35(2):S243-9.
- [121]. Gurses AP, Kim G, Martinez EA, Marsteller J, Bauer L, Lubomski LH, Pronovost PJ, Thompson D. Identifying and categorising patient safety hazards in cardiovascular operating rooms using an interdisciplinary approach: a multisite study. *BMJ quality & safety*. 2012 Oct 1;21(10):810-8.
- [122]. Haluza D, Jungwirth D. ICT and the future of health care: aspects of health promotion. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2015 Jan 1;84(1):48-57.

**Cite this article as :**

Mitushi Singh, Sunita Gangwar, Sandhya Punetha, Priyesh Kumar Singh, Sonali Gupta, Gorika Tomar, "Deciphering the Complex Environment of Gender Barriers in Cancer Treatment", *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology (IJSRST)*, Online ISSN : 2395-602X, Print ISSN : 2395-6011, Volume 11 Issue 1, pp. 135-149, January-February 2024. Available at doi : <https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST52411115>

Journal URL : <https://ijsrst.com/IJSRST52411115>