International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology
Available online at : www.ijsrst.com
Print ISSN: 2395-6011 | Online ISSN: 2395-602X
doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST52411119

A Result Analysis of Feature-Enriched Completely Blind Image Quality Evaluator

Dhiya Gavaeikar[1], Prof. Dharna Singhai[2]
[1]M.Tech Scholar, [2]Assistant Professor
[1,2]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Radharaman Engineering College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:
Accepted: 05 Jan 2024
Published: 22 Jan 2024

Publication Issue :
Volume 11, Issue 1
January-February-2024
Page Number :
202-206

ABSTRACT

Existing –blind image quality assessment (BIQA) ways are principally opinion-aware. Measuring of image or video quality is crucial for several image-processing algorithms, like acquisition, compression, restoration, improvement, and reproduction. Traditionally, image quality assessment (QA) algorithms interpret image quality as similarity with a “reference” or “perfect” image. Here, we tend to aim to develop an opinion unaware BIQA methodology that may compete with, and maybe outperform, the present opinion-aware ways. By integration the features of natural image statistics derived from multiple cues, we tend to learn a multivariate Gaussian model of image patches from a group of pristine natural pictures.

Keywords : Blind image quality assessment, natural image statistics, multivariate Gaussian.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is a highly desirable goal to be able to reliably measure the quality of output pictures in several applications, like image acquisition, transmission, compression, restoration, improvement, etc. Quantitatively evaluating an image’s perceptual quality has been among the most difficult issues of modern image process and computational vision analysis. Algorithms that mechanically assess perceptual image quality are critical for various image process applications. This drawback is becoming increasingly necessary, because of the near-ubiquitous presence of digital pictures in our daily lives. In recent years, considerable progress has been made on the problem of assessing quality relative to likely reference image [full-reference (FR) quality assessment(QA)], and a range of successful Fr objective image quality indices are planned that correlate well with human subjective judgment of quality [1]–[11].

Recently, the video quality experts group (VQEG) [16] has enclosed RR image/video QA together of its directions for future development. In RR algorithms [17]–[1, the reference image isn't offered, however some options extracted from the reference image are made available. this is often quite useful once the reference data should be transmitted with permit bandwidth. Yet, in many, and maybe most possible applications, the availability of any reference information maybe implausible. Examples include wireless videos received by cell phones and private digital assistants, videos on the web, pictures from commercial digital cameras, and so on. Quite often as least a number of the distortions are ungues sable in advance, as is that the case, as an example, with YouTube videos. The best and most generally used quality metrics are still the mean sq. error (MSE)—the averaging square intensity variations of distorted and reference image pixels—and the scaled reciprocal of MSE, the height signal/noise ratio (PSNR). However, the MSE and its variants don't correlate well with subjective quality measures [12]–[15]. A majority of existing BIQA ways are “opinion aware”, which suggests that they're trained on a dataset consisting of distorted pictures and associated subjective scores [10]. Representative ways happiness to the present category include [11] and that they share a similar design. Within the training stage, feature vectors are extracted from the distorted pictures, then regression model is learned to map the feature vectors to the associated human subjective scores. Within the test stage, a feature vector is extracted from the test image and so fed into the learned regression model to predict its quality score. In [11], Mouthy and Bovid planned a two-step framework for BIQA, referred to as BIQI. In BIQI, given a distorted image, scene statistics are initially extracted and wont to explicitly classify the distorted image into one in every of distortions; then, a similar set of statistics are used to measure the distortion-specific quality. Following a similar paradigm. Mouthy and bovid later extended BIQI to DIIVINE using a richer set of natural scene options [12]. Each BIQI and DIIVINE assumes that the distortion sorts within the test pictures are represented within the training dataset, which is, however, not the case in several sensible applications.

II. Theory

One distinct property of opinion-unaware BIQA methods is that they have the potential to deliver higher generalization capability than their opinion-aware counterparts due to the fact that they do not depend on training samples of distorted images and associated subjective quality scores on them. However, thus far, no opinion-unaware method has shown better quality prediction power than currently available opinion-aware methods. Thus, it is of great interest and significance to investigate whether it is possible to develop an opinion-unaware model that outperforms state-of-the-art opinion-aware BIQA models. The opinion-aware BIQA methods discussed above require a large number of distorted images with human subjective scores to learn the regression model, which causes them to have rather weak generalization capability. In practice, image distortion types are numerous and an image may contain multiple interacting distortions. It is difficult to collect enough training samples for all such manifold types and combinations of distortions. If a BIQA model trained on a certain set of distortion types is applied to a test image containing a different distortion type, the predicted quality score will be unpredictable and likely inaccurate. Second, existing trained BIQA models have been trained on and thus are dependant to some degree on one of the available public databases.

III.Method

III.1. Blind image quality assessment

Image quality assessment (IQA) could be a practical research and has been attracting increasing attentions throughout the past decades because of the dramatic development of visual equipment, like TVs, digital cameras, and mobile phones. The quality of those equipments and also the pictures we tend to obtained by using this equipment affects the data perception of human beings. However, we tend to cannot get the undistorted version of those pictures in most cases. Therefore, it's necessary to develop blind IQA (BIQA) algorithms to estimate the visual quality of those pictures to help us select a far better instrumentation or image.

Due to the limited exploration of human visual system (HVS) and also the mechanism of subjective quality assessment, it's a difficult task either to extract quality-aware options or build the connection between the image options and also the visual quality. It’s thus of great issue to develop effective BIQA metrics, especially universal BIQA (UBIQA) metrics which might work for varied styles of distortions.

Until now, most BIQA metrics available try and extract statistical options based on the natural scene statistics (NSS) and learn the mapping function from the options to the quality score using the supervised learning technique based on a large quantity of labeled pictures. Though many promising BIQA metrics are planned based on this system, there are 2 drawbacks of those algorithms. First, only the labeled pictures are adopted for machine learning. However, it's been proved that using unlabeled information within the training stage will improve the training performance (Yang et al. 2006). Additionally, these metrics try and learn the direct mapping operate from the image options to the quality score. However, subjective quality analysis would well be a fuzzy method than a particular one. Equally, mortals tend to evaluate the quality of a given image by initial judging the extents it belongs to "excellent," "good," "fair," "poor," and "bad," and estimating the quality score subsequently, instead of directly giving a particular subjective quality score. This is often according to the subjective experiments conducted for constructing the IQA databases.

To overcome the said issues, we tend to propose a semi-supervised and fuzzy framework for blind image quality assessment, S2F2, during this paper. Within the planned framework, we tend to formulate the fuzzy method of subjective quality assessment by using fuzzy inference.

IV. Semi-supervised learning

The interest in semi-supervised learning has increased in recent years, significantly due to application domains wherever unlabeled information are plentiful in large volumes of high-dimensional information, like pictures, text, and bioinformatics. Semi-supervised learning addresses this drawback by using the unlabeled information, alongside the labeled information, to create higher classifier. Because semi-supervised learning needs less human effort and offers higher accuracy, it's of nice interest each in theory and in practice.

Many machine learning researchers have found that unlabeled information, once used in conjunction with a small quantity of labeled information, will produce considerable improvement in learning accuracy. Semi-supervised learning additionally shows potential as a quantitative tool to know human category learning, wherever most of the input is self-evidently unlabeled.

III.2. Fuzzy logic

The term "fuzzy logic" was introduced in fuzzy set theory planned by Zadeh (1965). During a shell, the essential principle of fuzzy logic may be a matter of degree and it deals with reasoning that the real world is approximate instead of fixed and actual. Fuzzy logic handles the idea of partial truth, wherever the reality value could vary between fully true and fully false. This ends up in a system for computing with linguistic variable.

Fuzzy logic has been applied to several fields, e.g., control theory, AI and image processing; and has light-emitting diode to promising performances. it's becoming abundantly clear that the role model for fuzzy logic is that the human mind and there's a lot of to be gained by exploiting the tolerance for imprecision in dealing with real word issues, like IQA.

V. Result

(a) A reference image, Distorted versions of (a)

(b) Minor Gaussian blur

(c) Severe Gaussian blurs

(d) Minor JPEG2K compression

(e) Severe JPEG2K compression

S. No.	Different Distorted Images	Subjective MOS Scores
(b)	Minor Gaussian Blur	4.6975
(c)	Severe Gaussian Blur	2.8616
(d)	Minor JPEG2K Compression	4.6814
(e)	Severe JPEG2K Compression	0.8523

VI. Conclusion

We have planned an effective new BIQA technique that extends and improves upon the novel "completely blind" IQA concept introduced in this paper. In this paper show the much better quality prediction performance than all the compared different methods. In this paper more powerful opinion-unaware BIQA models will be developed.

VII. REFERENCES

[1]. Lin Zhang, Lei Zhang, Alan C. Bovik, "A Feature-Enriched Completely Blind Image Quality Evaluator" IEEE trans. on image processing, vol. 24, no. 8, Aug. 2015.

[2]. Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, and B. L. Evan, "Blind measurement of blocking artifacts in images in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process., Sep. 2000,pp. 981–984.

[3]. F. Pan et al., "A locally adaptive algorithm for measuring blocking artifacts in images and videos," Signal Process., Image Commun.,vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 499–506, Jul. 2004.

[4]. H. Liu, N. Klomp, and I. Heynderickx, "A no-reference metric for perceived ringing artifacts in images," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 529–539, Apr. 2010.

[5]. Hamid Rahim Sheikh, Alan Conrad Bovik,Lawrence Cormack "No-Reference Quality Assessment UsingNatural Scene Statistics: JPEG2000" IEEE transactions on image processing, vol. 14, no. 11, november 2005

[6]. Chaofeng Li, Alan Conrad Bovik, Xiaojun Wu "Blind Image Quality Assessment Using a GeneralRegression Neural Network" IEEE transactions on neural networks, vol. 22, no. 5, may 2011

[7]. D. M. Chandler and S. S. Hemami, "VSNR: A wavelet-based visualsignal-to-noise ratio for natural images," IEEE Trans. Image Process.,vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 2284–2298, Sep. 2007.

[8]. H. R. Sheikh, M. F. Sabir, and A. C. Bovik, "A statistical evaluation ofrecent full reference image quality assessment algorithms," IEEE Trans.Image Process., vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 3441–3451, Nov. 2006.

[9]. Z. Wang, E. P. Simoncelli, and A. C. Bovik, “Multiscale structural similarity for image quality assessment,” in Proc. 37th IEEE Asil. Conf.Signals, Syst. Comput., vol. 2. Pacific Grove, CA, Nov. 2003, pp. 1398–1402.

[10]. Z. Wang and A. C. Bovik, “A universal image quality index,” IEEESignal Process. Lett., vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 81–84, Mar. 2002.

[11]. A. M. Eskicioglu and P. S. Fisher, “Image quality measures and theirperformance,” IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 2959–2965,

[12]. D. M. Chandler and S. S. Hemami, “VSNR: A wavelet-based visualsignal-to-noise ratio for natural images,” IEEE Trans. Image Process.,vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 2284–2298, Sep. 2007.

[13]. B. Girod, “What’s wrong with mean-squared error?” in Digital Imagesand Human Vision, A. B. Watson, Ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press,1993, pp. 207–220.

[14]. Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, and L. Lu, “Why is image quality assessment so difficult?” in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process.,vol. 4. Orlando, FL, May 2002, pp. 1 4.

[15]. M. P. Eckert and A. P. Bradley, “Perceptual quality metrics applied tostill image compression,” Signal Process., vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 177–200,Nov. 1998.

[16]. Z. Wang and A. C. Bovik, “Mean squared error: Love it or leave it? Anew look at signal fidelity measures,” IEEE Signal Process. Mag., vol.26, no. 1, pp. 98–117, Jan. 2009.

[17]. Z. Wang, G. Wu, H. R. Sheikh, E. P. Simoncelli, E.-H. Yang, and A. C.Bovik, “Quality-aware images,” IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 15,no. 6, pp. 1680–1689, Jun. 2006.

Cite this article as :

Dhiya Gavaeikar, Prof. Dharna Singhai, "A Result Analysis of Feature-Enriched Completely Blind Image Quality Evaluator", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology (IJSRST), Online ISSN : 2395-602X, Print ISSN : 2395-6011, Volume 11 Issue 1, pp. 202-206, January-February 2024. Available at doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST52411119

Journal URL : https://ijsrst.com/IJSRST52411119