

Densites, Refractive Indices of Substituted Azomethine in Different Percent of Various Solvents

A.V.Kawalkar¹, M. P. Wadekar²

¹Department of Chemistry, Amolackchand Mahavidyalaya, Yavatmal, Maharashtra, India ²Department of Applied Chemistry Division, Govt. Vidarbha Institute of Science and Humanities, Amravati, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Molecular interaction such as solute-solute, solute-solvent and solvent-solvent interactions in the substituted azomethine drug in the different percentage of organic solvent has been pointed out. In the present work refractive index and the densities of the substituted azomethine in different percent of various organic solvents were reported. The data thus helps to determines Molar refraction (Rm) and polarizability constant (α) of some different substituted azomethine in binary mixture. Observations showed that the molar refraction and polarizability constant of substituted azomethine drugs increases with increase in percent composition of organic solvents.

Keyword: Substituted azomethine, molar refraction (Rm), polarizability constant (α), refractometry. refractive index.

I. INTRODUCTION

Refractive index of a liquid is very important property, which gives ideas about geometry and structure of molecule .The refractive index (n) of the medium is the ratio of the velocity of light in vacuum to that in the medium. Its value depends upon the temperature and the wavelength of light used. Generally, the D-line of sodium is used for standard measurements. The refractive index is the ratio of angle of incident to the angle of refraction. . Measurement of refractive index shows very interesting applications in pharmaceutical, chemical, agriculture, food, oil and beverage industries.

Many searcher have reported the refractive indices in mixed solvents[1-4]. The properties of liquid such as viscosity, refractive index and ultrasonic velocity of binary mixtures are studied by many workers [5-8]. Refractrometric Study of S-trizinothiocarbamides in Dioxane-water was also reported. [9]. The viscometric, refractometric and interferometric measurements are very important in medicinal and drug chemistry role [10-12]. Oswal et al[13] have studied dielectric constants and refractive indices of binary mixtures. Dadhichi et al [14] have investigated the measurement of viscosity, refractivity index and metal ligand stability constant of substituted benzofurones in different solvents. Refractive indices of binary, ternary liquid solutions and solutions of biologically important compounds have been studied [15–21].



II. MATERIAL & METHOD

In the present investigation, refractive indices of liquid mixtures were measured with the help of Abbe's refractometer, specially designed to measure the refractive indices of the small quantities of the transparent liquid by direct reading. The ligands of which physical parameters is to be explore are synthesized by using reported protocol.. The solutions of ligand in different percent composition of binary mixtures were prepared by weight. All the weighing were made on one pan digital balance (petit balance AD_50B) with an accuracy of (± 0.001) gm.. The densities of solutions were determined by a precalibrated bicapillary pyknometer $(\pm 0.1\%)$. The constant temperature of the prism box is maintained by circulating water from thermostat at $(27\pm 0.1)0$ C.

Calculation :

The molar refraction of solvent and solution are determined by using Lorentz- Lorentz equation.

The molar refraction of different solvent, mixtures are determined from-

 $RDMF-W = X1R1 + X2R2 \quad (1)$

where , R1 and R2 are molar refractions of DMF and water respectively.

The molar refraction of solutions of ligand in DMF-water mixtures are determined from-

	()	{[]}	(2)
()						

where, n is the refractive index of solution,X1 is mole fraction of DMF, X2 is mole fraction of water and X3 is mole fraction of solute, M1, M2 and M3 are molecular weights of DMF, water and solute respectively. 'd' is the density of solution.

The molar refraction of ligand is calculated as –

Rlig = Rmix - RDMF - w (3)

The polarizability constant () of ligand is calculated from following relation-

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

 Table 1: Values of Molar Refraction of different composition of solvents.

% of Solvent Mixture	Molar polarizati		
	DMSO	Dioxane	Ethanol
20%	15.0946	14.2357	19.1123
40%	14.2355	13.1155	18.5736
60%	12.7632	10.0759	15.0522
80%	10.8125	08.2301	12.7245
100%	5.7311	4.5711	7.0932
70%	10.2257	9.0325	14.0327

Table 2: The values of refractive index (n) and density(d) of 0.01M solution of ligand in different composition of DMSO, Dioxane and Ethanol solvent at 300K

% of	Molar polarization Rm					1	Density (d) gm/cm3				
Solvent											
Mixture											
	DMS	0	Dio	xane	E	than	Dl	MSO	Dioxane		Ethanol
					ol						
					T	icond	T 1				
					1	Ligano	LI				
20%	66.89	17	57.6	5362 70.0).0866	5 1.0111		1.0051		1.0241
40%	78.06	26	65.8	65.8380		1.6871		1.0187	1.0150		1.0277
60%	83.30	22	70.8	3890 89.73		9.7373		1.0209	1.0166		1.0310
80%	91.9491 74.7		74.7	7821 95.5928			1.0255	1.0176		1.0339	
100%				102.234							
	95.47	83	79.5	.5399 7			1.0294		1.0213		1.0362
70%	84.75	19	72.7507		92.1235			1.01923	1.01695		1.0321
			I		1				1		<u>.</u>
				Li	gar	nd L 2					
20%		7	9.59			86.99	93	1.006			
		8	9	68.795	54	9		3	1.0027 1		.0072
40%		9	2.53			100.6	57	1.014			
		14	4	78.738	34	31		5	1.0131	1	.0176
60		9	9.32			109.0)5	1.018	<u>.</u>		
		9	7	86.042	26	6 22		1	1.0150 1		.0237
80%						117.4	40	1.029			
		106	.918	89.052	21	13		1	1.0284	1	.0278

100%			123.66	1.029		
	113.875	95.1013	69	7	1.0293	1.0313
70%	100 543	87.0155	114.10	1.010	1.0105	1 0257
7070	100.343	87.0155	21	5	1.0195	1.0257
			21	5		
		L	igand L3			
20%						
	78.7969	67.8873	86.3531	1.0065	1.0042	1.0093
40%			101 464			
	92 1047	77 8228	8	1 0095	1.0087	1.0130
	92.1047	11.0220	0	1.0075	1.0007	1.0150
60%			109.898			
	99.6751	86.2908	7	1.0105	1.0090	1.0231
80%	107.717					
	105.515		116.322			
	2	90.0569	6	1.0205	1.0197	1.0267
100%	111.997		121.780			
	3	95.5152	5	1.0223	1.0203	1.0310
70%	101 212	88 3011	113 637	1.0168	1.0147	1.0253
7070	3	88.3011	115.057	1.0100	1.0147	1.0255
	5		2			
		Ligand 4				
20%			102.59			
	94.9043	82.6679	96	0.9999	0.9987	1.0119
4004						
-1 070	111.382		120.67			
	3	94.0953	84	1.0163	1.0150	1.0195
60%	119.320	102.837	130.24			
	5	9	51	1.0211	1.0199	1.0232
0000			~ -			
80%	126.449	107.741	138.76			
	6	9	19	1.0283	1.0256	1.0276

100%	133.291 6	113.156 8	146.55 56	1.0299	1.0257	1.0357
70%	123.001 6	104.450 9	134.24 15	1.0235	1.0221	1.0255

Table 3: The values of Molar refraction (Rm), polarizability constant (α) of 0.01M solution of ligand in different composition of DMSO, Methanol and Acetone solvent at 300K.

%	of							
Solvent	Molar refra	action (Rm)		polarizability constant (α)				
Mixture	x103 cm3/r	nole		x10-23 cm3				
Ligand L1								
	DMSO	Dioxane	Ethanol	DMSO	Dioxane	Ethanol		
20%	66.8917	57.6362	70.0866	2.3237	2.2856	2.7794		
40%	78.0626	65.8380	81.6871	2.6975	2.6109	3.2394		
60%	83.3022	70.8890	89.7373	2.8732	2.8112	3.5587		
80%	91.9491	74.7821	95.5928	2.9955	2.9657	3.7909		
100%	95.4783	79.5399	102.2347	3.1945	3.1543	4.0543		
70%	84.7519	72.7507	92.1235	2.9511	2.9019	3.6751		
Ligand L2								
20%	79.5989	68.7954	86.9939	2.8327	2.7282	3.4499		
40%	92.5314	78.7384	100.6731	3.1923	3.1225	3.9923		



60%	99.3297 86.0426 109.0522			3.4812	3.4	121		4.3246		
80%	106.9179	89.0521		117.4013		3.5985	3.5	3.5315		4.6557
100%	113.8750	95.1013		123.6669		3.8618	3.7	714		4.9042
70%	100.543 87.02		114.1021		3.5534		3.4	3.4528		4.4836
Ligand I						1				
20%	78.7969	67.8873	80	6.3531	2	2.7357	2.69	22	3.4	245
40%	92.1047	77.8228		101.4648		3.1845	3.08	51	4.0	237
60%	99.6751	99.6751 86.2908		109.8987		3.5193	3.42	3.4220 4.3		582
80%	105.5152	105.5152 90.0569		116.3226		3.6327	3.57	3.5713 4.0		129
100%	111.9973	95.5152		121.7805		3.8125	3.78	3.7878		294
70%	101.2123	88.3011	1	13.6372	3.55	579	3.49	11	4.5	109
Ligand I	.4									
20%	94.9043 82.6679 1		102.59	102.5996 3.3		3.3115		83 4.0687		687
40%	111.382									
	3	94.0953	120.62	0 6784 3 7		3.7542		3.7315 4 7		875
60%	119.320 5									
		102.8379	130.24	451	4.15	528	4.07	82	5.1	651

80%						
	126.449					
	6					
		107.7419	138.7619	4.3272	4.2727	5.5028
100%	133.291					
	6					
		113.1567	146.5556	4.5545	4.4874	5.8119
70%	123.001	104.4509	134.2415	4.2275	4.1543	5.3415
	6					

Graphical representation of molar polarization (Rm) of all ligand at 0.01M verses concentration in different percentage of DMSO solvent



Fig. 1 Rm verses concentration of ligand L1

Graphical representation of molar polarization (Rm) of all ligand at 0.01M verses concentration in different percentage of 1,4 Dioxane solvent





Graphical representation of molar polarization (Rm) of all ligand at 0.01M verses concentration in different percentage of Ethanol solvent



The value of molar refraction of different percent composition in binary mixture are shown in table-1. From the data it is observed that value of molar refraction goes on increasing with the decrease in amount of water in percent composition. Comparatively molar refraction of DMSO is greater than acetone and methanol this is due to more value of dipole moment of DMSO.

Table-2 shows the comparative data of refractive indices and densities of DMSO, acetone and methanol in different percent composition with water. From this, it is observe that, refractive index and density increases with the increase in percent composition of organic solvent. Graphical representation between molar refraction and percent composition of DMSO, methanol and acetone shows linear relationship. (Fig.1-5 DMSO, fig.6-10 methanol, fig.11- 15 acetone) Those solvent having more value of dipole moment shows greater refractive index and density, also there is same trend in case of ligand used. Ligand having more dipole moment shows greater value of refractive index and less value of density.

Table-3 shows the comparative data of molar refraction and polarizability constant. These parameter provide important information about structural orientation of ligand in solution. From this it is observed that, molar refraction and polarizability constant in methanol is higher than DMSO and acetone. The trend regarding



increasing value of molar refraction and polarizability constant is methanol > DMSO > acetone. From this observation it is concluded that, methanol has strong hydrogen bonding, which make solution more viscous which is responsible for more bending of light towards normal. In case of DMSO, it has more value of molar refraction and polarizability constant than acetone because it has more dipole moment.

IV. REFERENCES

- [1]. S D Devsarkar, V V Pandhare, P S Kattekar, J. Engineering, 2013, (2012), 1-4.
- [2]. S S Dhondge, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 55, (2010), 3962-3968.
- [3]. G B Pethe, A A Ramteke, T R Lawankar, J Chem. Pharm., 2(4), (2010), 68-74.
- [4] . S P Wagh, Ph.D Thesis in Chemistry, Amravati University, Amravati, (2004).
- [5]. D T tayade, A M Kshirsagar, The open physical chemistry Journal, 6, (2014), 1-7.
- [6]. G. satyamaiah, M Prasad, M chandrashekhar, Indian J. Advance in chemical science, 2(2),(2014), 116-123..
- [7]. M I Aralaguppi, T M Aminabhavi, R H Balundgi, S S Joshi, J Phys Chem, 95, (1991), 5299.
- [8] . A S Burghate, P B Agrawal, S W Quazi, M L Narwade, Asian J Chem, 13(4), (2001), 1652
- [9]. D. T. Tayade, Sheikh Oriental Journal Of Chemistry, 28(1), (2012), 559-564
- [10] . S Nagar, H Singh, J. Med. Chem., 16, (2007), 178-180.
- [11] . L. Hall, J. Phys. Rev., (1998), 73-76.
- [12] . J. Pandy, et .al Shukla, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 34, (1989), 29-32.
- [13] . S L Ostwal , M V Rathnam. Indian J. Chem., 26 (1987), , 29.
- [14] . V S Jamode et.al J.Ind.Coun.of Chemist, 35 ,(2005),22,.
- [15] . M. V. Rathnam , S. Mohite Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society, 77(4), (2012). 507–521,
- [16] . A. Arce et.al, Journal of Solution Chemistry, vol. 27(10), (1998), 911–923,
- [17] . R. Talegaonkar et.al Oriental Journal Of Chemistry, 27(3) ,(2011).1285–1288,
- [18] . P. Baraldi et.al Journal of Solution Chemistry, 31(11), (2002)., 873-893,
- [19] . A. Arce et.al Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, 42(4), (1997). 721–726,
- [20] . A. N. Sonar , N. S. Pawar, "Rasayan Journal of Chemistry, 3(2), (2010) 250–254.
- [21] . S. C. Bhatia et al International Journal of ermophysics, vol. 32(6), (2011). 1163–1174,